

Antidifferentiating First Order Noncommutative Functions

Leonard C. Stevenson

Drexel University

SEAM, March 18, 2017

The Noncommutative Space

Let

- 1 \mathcal{R} be a commutative ring with identity,
- 2 \mathcal{M} be an \mathcal{R} -module, and
- 3 $\mathcal{M}^{n \times n}$ be the module of all $n \times n$ matrices with entries from \mathcal{M} .

Define the noncommutative space over \mathcal{M} to be

$$\mathcal{M}_{nc} := \bigsqcup_{n=1}^{\infty} \mathcal{M}^{n \times n}$$

Noncommutative Sets

For $\Omega \subseteq \mathcal{M}_{nc}$

① $\Omega_n := \Omega \cap \mathcal{M}^{n \times n}$.

② Ω is a *noncommutative set* (nc set) if

$$X \in \Omega_n, Y \in \Omega_m \implies X \oplus Y \in \Omega_{n+m}$$

Definition of Noncommutative Function

A function $f : \Omega \rightarrow \mathcal{N}_{nc}$ such that

$$f(\Omega_n) \subseteq \mathcal{N}^{n \times n},$$

for $n = 1, 2, \dots$ is called a noncommutative function if f respects intertwinings:

$$SX = YS \implies Sf(X) = f(Y)S.$$

Example of a Noncommutative Function

Consider the matrix polynomial $f(X) = X^2$. In this case,

$$SX = YS \implies$$

$$Sf(X) = SX^2 = (SX)X = (YS)X = Y(SX) = Y(YS) = Y^2S = f(Y)S$$

The Difference-Differential Operator

Let

- 1 $f : \Omega \rightarrow \mathcal{N}_{nc}$ be an nc function.
- 2 $\begin{bmatrix} X & Z \\ 0 & Y \end{bmatrix} \in \Omega_{n+m}$ for some $X \in \Omega_n$, $Y \in \Omega_m$ and $Z \in \mathcal{M}^{n \times m}$.

Define $\Delta_R f(X, Y)(Z)$ implicitly by,

$$f\left(\begin{bmatrix} X & Z \\ 0 & Y \end{bmatrix}\right) = \begin{bmatrix} f(X) & \Delta_R f(X, Y)(Z) \\ 0 & f(Y) \end{bmatrix}$$

Proposition 1

$\Delta_R f(X, Y)(Z)$ can be extended to a function linear in Z on $\mathcal{M}^{n \times m}$.

A Difference-Differential Operator Example

If $f(X) = X^2$, then

$$f\left(\begin{bmatrix} X & Z \\ 0 & Y \end{bmatrix}\right) = \begin{bmatrix} X & Z \\ 0 & Y \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} X & Z \\ 0 & Y \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} X^2 & XZ + ZY \\ 0 & Y^2 \end{bmatrix}$$

Thus, $\Delta_R f(X, Y)(Z) = XZ + ZY$.

Difference Formula

Theorem 1

Let

- 1 Ω be a right admissible nc set and
- 2 $f : \Omega \rightarrow \mathcal{N}_{nc}$ be an nc function.

Then, for all $n, m \in \mathbb{N}$, all $X \in \Omega_n$, $Y \in \Omega_m$ and $S \in \mathcal{R}^{n \times m}$,

$$Sf(Y) - f(X)S = \Delta_R f(X, Y)(SY - XS).$$

If $n = m$ and $S = I_n$,

$$f(Y) - f(X) = \Delta_R f(X, Y)(Y - X)$$

Properties of the Difference-Differential Operator

The difference-differential operator respects intertwining as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} SX = WS &\implies S\Delta f(X, Y)(Z) = \Delta f(W, Y)(SZ), \\ TY = WT &\implies \Delta f(X, Y)(ZT) = \Delta f(X, W)(Z)T. \end{aligned}$$

First Order NC Functions

Let

- 1 $\mathcal{M}_0, \mathcal{M}_1, \mathcal{N}_0, \mathcal{N}_1$ be \mathcal{R} -modules and
- 2 $\Omega^{(0)} \subseteq \mathcal{M}_0, \Omega^{(1)} \subseteq \mathcal{M}_1$, are nc sets.

A function

$$f : \Omega^{(0)} \times \Omega^{(1)} \rightarrow \text{hom}_{\mathcal{R}}(\mathcal{N}_1^{n_0 \times n_1}, \mathcal{N}_0^{n_0 \times n_k})$$

is an nc function of order 1 if

NC Functions Respect Intertwinings

$$SX^0 = Y^0S \implies \mathbf{S}f(\mathbf{X}^0, X^1)(Z^1) = f(\mathbf{Y}^0, X^1)(\mathbf{S}Z^1),$$

$$TX^1 = Y^1T \implies f(X^0, \mathbf{X}^1)(Z^1\mathbf{T}) = f(X^0, \mathbf{Y}^1)(Z^1)\mathbf{T}.$$

Notation

By this definition $\Delta_R f(X, Y)(Z)$ is a first order function while f is considered a zero order function.

Let

$$\mathcal{T}^1(\Omega^{(0)}, \Omega^{(1)}; \mathcal{N}_{0,nc}, \mathcal{N}_{1,nc})$$

be the set of all nc functions of order 1 on $\Omega^{(0)} \times \Omega^{(1)}$.

Example of First Order NC Function

Let $f(X^0, X^1)(Z) = (X^0)^2 ZX^1 + X^0 ZX^1$. Then,

$$SX^0 = Y^0 S \implies$$

$$\begin{aligned} Sf(X^0, X^1)(Z) &= S((X^0)^2 ZX^1 + X^0 ZX^1) = (SX^0)X^0 ZX^1 + SX^0 ZX^1 \\ &= Y^0(SX^0)ZX^1 + Y^0(SZ)X^1 = (Y^0)^2(SZ)X^1 + Y^0(SZ)X^1 \\ &= f(Y^0, X^1)(SZ) \end{aligned}$$

and

$$TX^1 = Y^1 T \implies$$

$$\begin{aligned} f(X^0, X^1)(ZT) &= (X^0)^2 Z(TX^1) + X^0 Z(TX^1) = (X^0)^2 ZY^1 T + X^0 ZY^1 T \\ &= ((X^0)^2 ZY^1 + X^0 ZY^1)T = f(X^0, Y^1)(Z)T \end{aligned}$$

First Order Difference-Differential Operators

Proposition 2

Let $f \in \mathcal{T}^1(\Omega^{(0)}, \Omega^{(1)}; \mathcal{N}_{0,nc}, \mathcal{N}_{1,nc})$,

$$f\left(\left[\begin{array}{cc} X_1^0 & Z \\ 0 & X_2^0 \end{array}\right], X^1\right)\left(\left[\begin{array}{c} Z_1^1 \\ Z_2^1 \end{array}\right]\right) \\ = \left[\begin{array}{c} f(X_1^0, X^1)(Z_1^1) + {}_0\Delta_R f(X_1^0, X_2^0, X^1)(Z, Z_2^1) \\ f(X_2^0, X^1)(Z_2^1) \end{array} \right]$$

$$f\left(X^0, \left[\begin{array}{cc} X_1^1 & Z \\ 0 & X_2^1 \end{array}\right]\right)\left(\left[\begin{array}{cc} Z_1^1 & Z_2^1 \end{array}\right]\right) \\ = \left[\begin{array}{cc} f(X^0, X_1^1)(Z_1^1) & {}_1\Delta_R f(X^0, X_1^1, X_2^1)(Z_1^1, Z) + f(X^0, X_2^1)(Z_2^1) \end{array} \right]$$

The Problem

Question: Given an order 1 nc function, F , does there exist an order 0 nc function f , such that $\Delta_R f = F$?

The Theorem

Theorem 2

Let $F \in \mathcal{T}^1(\Omega, \Omega; \mathcal{M}, \mathcal{N})$.

Then, there exists an nc function $f \in \mathcal{T}^0(\Omega, \mathcal{N})$ if and only if ${}_1\Delta F = {}_0\Delta F$.

Further, f is unique up to a constant $c \in \mathcal{N}$. That is, given a solution f ,

$$\begin{aligned}\tilde{f} &: \Omega \rightarrow \mathcal{N} \\ \tilde{f}(X) &= f(X) + cI_n,\end{aligned}$$

is also a solution.

$${}_0\Delta F = {}_1\Delta F$$

Suppose that there exists an nc function $f \in \mathcal{T}^0(\Omega, \mathcal{N})$. Then,

$$\begin{aligned} f\left(\left[\begin{array}{c|c} X^0 & \begin{bmatrix} Z_1 & 0 \\ X^1 & Z_2 \end{bmatrix} \\ \hline \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} & X^2 \end{array}\right]\right) &= f\left(\left[\begin{array}{c|c} \begin{bmatrix} X^0 & Z_1 \\ 0 & X^1 \end{bmatrix} & \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ Z_2 \end{bmatrix} \\ \hline \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} & X^2 \end{array}\right]\right) \\ \left[\begin{array}{c} f(X^0) \\ \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} \end{array} \quad F\left(X^0, \begin{bmatrix} X^1 & Z_2 \\ & X^2 \end{bmatrix}\right) \left(\begin{bmatrix} Z_1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}\right) \right] & \\ \left[\begin{array}{c} f\left(\begin{bmatrix} X^1 & Z_2 \\ & X^2 \end{bmatrix}\right) \end{array} \right] & \\ = \left[\begin{array}{c} f\left(\begin{bmatrix} X^0 & Z_1 \\ 0 & X^1 \end{bmatrix}\right) \\ \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \end{array} \quad F\left(\begin{bmatrix} X^0 & Z_1 \\ 0 & X^1 \end{bmatrix}, X^2\right) \left(\begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ Z_2 \end{bmatrix}\right) \right] & \\ \left[\begin{array}{c} f(X^2) \end{array} \right] & \end{aligned}$$

$${}_0\Delta F = {}_1\Delta F$$

$$\begin{bmatrix} f(X^0) & F(X^0, X^1)(Z_1) & {}_1\Delta_R F(X^0, X^1, X^2)(Z^1, Z) \\ 0 & f(X^1) & F(X^1, X^2)(Z^2) \\ 0 & 0 & f(X^2) \end{bmatrix} \\ = \begin{bmatrix} f(X^0) & F(X^0, X^1)(Z_1) & {}_0\Delta_R F(X^0, X^1, X^2)(Z^1, Z) \\ 0 & f(X^1) & F(X^1, X^2)(Z^2) \\ 0 & 0 & f(X^2) \end{bmatrix}$$

The upper, right hand corner gives the desired equality.

Defining a Possible Anti-Derivative

For the converse, fix $Y \in \Omega_S$ and assume $f(Y)$ is known.

For any $X \in \Omega_{ns}$, define the anti-derivative of F ,

$$f : \bigsqcup_{n=1}^{\infty} \Omega_{ns} \rightarrow \mathcal{N},$$

by

$$f(X) = \left(\bigoplus_{i=1}^n f(Y) \right) + F \left(\bigoplus_{i=1}^n Y, X \right) \left(X - \bigoplus_{i=1}^n Y \right).$$

Condition on $f(Y)$

Proposition 3

As defined,

- 1 f is an nc function and
- 2 $\Delta_R f = F$,

if and only if

$$Sf(Y) - f(Y)S = F(Y, Y)(SY - YS), \quad (1)$$

for all $S \in \mathcal{R}^{s \times s}$.

What Remains

It remains to be shown that for any matrix Y , there exists some matrix $f(Y)$ that satisfies (1).

A Natural Basis

Let

- 1 E_{ij} be a matrix with a 1 in position i, j and zeros elsewhere.
- 2 $\mathcal{B} = \{E_{ij} \mid i, j = 1, \dots, s\}$.

Then,

- 1 \mathcal{B} is a basis of $\mathcal{R}^{s \times s}$ and
- 2 Property (1) holds for all $S \in \mathcal{R}^{s \times s}$ iff it holds for $S \in \mathcal{B}$.

Derivations

A derivation the Lie algebra structure on \mathcal{R} is a linear map,

$$D : \mathcal{R}^{s \times s} \rightarrow \mathcal{N}^{s \times s},$$

such that

$$[S, D(T)] + [D(S), T] = D([S, T]).$$

Derivation Identity

Proposition 4

For $F \in \mathcal{T}^1(\Omega, \Omega; \mathcal{M}, \mathcal{N})$:

$$\begin{aligned} SF(Y, Y)(TY - YT) - F(Y, Y)(TY - YT)S \\ + F(Y, Y)(SY - YS)T - TF(Y, Y)(SY - YS) \\ = F(Y, Y)((ST - TS)Y - Y(ST - TS)) \end{aligned}$$

Define,

$$D_Y(S) = F(Y, Y)(SY - YS).$$

Then $D_Y(S)$ is a derivation.

Derivation Theorem

Theorem 3

Let

- 1 $D : \mathcal{R}^{s \times s} \rightarrow \mathcal{N}^{s \times s}$ be a derivation and
- 2 $D^{ij} = D(E_{ij})$.

Then, D is inner, i.e. $D(S) = SN - NS$, if and only if

$$D_{kk}^{ii} = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad N = \sum_{i=1}^n (E_{ii} D^{ii} + E_{i1} D^{1i} E_{ii}) + cI_s, \quad (2)$$

for all $i, k = 1, \dots, s$ and some $c \in \mathcal{N}$.

The Initial Calculation

Suppose that condition (2) holds.

$$\begin{aligned}
 & E_{pq}N - NE_{pq} \\
 &= E_{pq} \left(\sum_{i=1}^n E_{ii} D^{ii} + E_{i1} D^{1i} E_{ii} + cI_s \right) - \left(\sum_{i=1}^n E_{ii} D^{ii} + E_{i1} D^{1i} E_{ii} + cI_s \right) E_{pq} \\
 &= E_{pq} E_{qq} D^{qq} + E_{pq} E_{q1} D^{1q} E_{qq} + cE_{pq} \\
 &\quad - \left(\sum_{i=1}^n E_{ii} D^{ii} E_{pq} \right) - E_{p1} D^{1p} E_{pp} E_{pq} - cE_{pq} \\
 &= E_{pq} D^{qq} + E_{p1} D^{1q} E_{qq} - \left(\sum_{i=1}^n E_{ii} D^{ii} E_{pq} \right) - E_{p1} D^{1p} E_{pq}.
 \end{aligned}$$

Visualizing the Equation

Writing these out as matrices, we get,

$$E_{pq}D^{qq} + E_{p1}D^{1q}E_{qq} - \left(\sum_{i=1}^n E_{ii}D^{ii}E_{pq} \right) - E_{p1}D^{1p}E_{pq}$$

$$\left[\text{---}^q \right] + \left[\bullet_{(1,q)} \right] - \left(\sum_{i=1}^n \left[\bullet_{(i,p)} \right] \right) - \left[\bullet_{(1,p)} \right]$$

The Equations

This reduces to the following equations:

$$D_{qm}^{qq} = D_{pm}^{pq} \quad \text{where } m \neq q$$

$$-D_{lp}^{ll} = D_{lq}^{pq} \quad \text{where } l \neq p$$

$$D_{qq}^{qq} - D_{pp}^{pp} + D_{1q}^{1q} - D_{1p}^{1p} = D_{pq}^{pq}$$

$$0 = D_{lm}^{pq} \quad \text{where } l \neq p \text{ and } m \neq q$$

Finishing the Proof

The proof now reduces to choosing r, s, u and v in such a way that we get the desired equalities. It uses the fact that $D_{kk}^{ii} = 0$.

This shows that if the diagonals are 0 and N can have the given form, then

$$D(S) = SN - NS.$$

The Last Computation

Proposition 5

Let,

- 1 $F \in \mathcal{T}^1(\Omega, \Omega; \mathcal{M}, \mathcal{N})$ and
- 2 ${}_0\Delta F = {}_1\Delta F$.

Then, for $P \in \mathcal{R}^{s \times s}$ such that $P^2 = P$,

$$PF(Y, Y)(PY - YP)P = 0.$$

Proof of Main Theorem

By Proposition 5, the diagonal entries of the derivation $D_Y(S)$ are zero.

Thus by Theorem 3, $F(Y, Y)(SY - YS) = D_Y(S) = Sf(Y) - f(Y)S$ as long as,

$$f(Y) = \sum_{i=1}^s E_{ii} D_Y(E_{ii}) + E_{i1} D_Y(E_{1i}) E_{ii} + cl_s.$$

Thus, we have a value for $f(Y)$ that satisfies condition (1) as desired.

THANK YOU