

## Hardy-Hodge decomposition on manifolds

L. Baratchart (INRIA),  
partly joint work with:  
D. Pei and Q. Tao (Macau).

# Cauchy integrals

# Cauchy integrals

- Let  $\Gamma \subset \mathbb{C}$  be a Lipschitz-smooth oriented Jordan curve. Put  $D^+$  and  $D^-$  for the interior and exterior domains cut out by  $\Gamma$  in  $\overline{\mathbb{C}}$ .

# Cauchy integrals

- Let  $\Gamma \subset \mathbb{C}$  be a Lipschitz-smooth oriented Jordan curve. Put  $D^+$  and  $D^-$  for the interior and exterior domains cut out by  $\Gamma$  in  $\overline{\mathbb{C}}$ .
- For  $f$  a complex valued function in  $L^1(\Gamma)$ , we can form the Cauchy integral:

$$Cf(z) = \frac{1}{2i\pi} \int_{\Gamma} \frac{f(\xi)}{\xi - z} d\xi, \quad z \notin \Gamma.$$

# Cauchy integrals

- Let  $\Gamma \subset \mathbb{C}$  be a Lipschitz-smooth oriented Jordan curve. Put  $D^+$  and  $D^-$  for the interior and exterior domains cut out by  $\Gamma$  in  $\overline{\mathbb{C}}$ .
- For  $f$  a complex valued function in  $L^1(\Gamma)$ , we can form the Cauchy integral:

$$Cf(z) = \frac{1}{2i\pi} \int_{\Gamma} \frac{f(\xi)}{\xi - z} d\xi, \quad z \notin \Gamma.$$

- $Cf$  defines a holomorphic function on  $D^+$  and  $D^-$ .

# Plemelj-Sokhotski formulas

## Plemelj-Sokhotski formulas

- $\mathcal{C}f$  has nontangential limits almost everywhere from each side of  $\Gamma$ , denoted by  $\mathcal{C}^\pm f$ .

## Plemelj-Sokhotski formulas

- $\mathcal{C}f$  has nontangential limits almost everywhere from each side of  $\Gamma$ , denoted by  $\mathcal{C}^\pm f$ .
- The Plemelj-Sokhotski formulas tell us that

$$\mathcal{C}^\pm f(\xi) = \pm \frac{f(\xi)}{2} + \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \frac{1}{2i\pi} \int_{\Gamma \setminus B(\xi, \varepsilon)} \frac{f(\zeta)}{\zeta - \xi} d\zeta, \quad \text{a.e. } \xi \in \Gamma,$$

where  $B(\xi, \varepsilon)$  is the ball centered at  $\xi$  of radius  $\varepsilon$ .

## Plemelj-Sokhotski formulas

- $\mathcal{C}f$  has nontangential limits almost everywhere from each side of  $\Gamma$ , denoted by  $\mathcal{C}^\pm f$ .
- The Plemelj-Sokhotski formulas tell us that

$$\mathcal{C}^\pm f(\xi) = \pm \frac{f(\xi)}{2} + \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \frac{1}{2i\pi} \int_{\Gamma \setminus B(\xi, \varepsilon)} \frac{f(\zeta)}{\zeta - \xi} d\zeta, \quad \text{a.e. } \xi \in \Gamma,$$

where  $B(\xi, \varepsilon)$  is the ball centered at  $\xi$  of radius  $\varepsilon$ .

- In particular, we have that

$$f(\xi) = \mathcal{C}^+ - \mathcal{C}^-.$$

# Hardy-Smirnov spaces

## Hardy-Smirnov spaces

- The Hardy-Smirnov space  $H^p(D^+)$ ,  $1 \leq p < \infty$ , consists of holomorphic functions in  $D^+$  whose  $L^p$ -means over level curves of the Green potential remain bounded.

# Hardy-Smirnov spaces

- The Hardy-Smirnov space  $H^p(D^+)$ ,  $1 \leq p < \infty$ , consists of holomorphic functions in  $D^+$  whose  $L^p$ -means over level curves of the Green potential remain bounded.
- In other words, if  $\varphi : \mathbb{D} \rightarrow D^+$  is a conformal map from the unit disk and if we set  $\Gamma_r = \varphi(|z| = r)$ , then  $f \in H^p(D^+)$  iff

$$\|f\|_{H^p(D^+)} := \sup_{0 \leq r < 1} \left( \int_{\Gamma_r} |f(\xi)|^p |d\xi| \right)^{1/p} < \infty. \quad (1)$$

# Hardy-Smirnov spaces

- The Hardy-Smirnov space  $H^p(D^+)$ ,  $1 \leq p < \infty$ , consists of holomorphic functions in  $D^+$  whose  $L^p$ -means over level curves of the Green potential remain bounded.
- In other words, if  $\varphi : \mathbb{D} \rightarrow D^+$  is a conformal map from the unit disk and if we set  $\Gamma_r = \varphi(|z| = r)$ , then  $f \in H^p(D^+)$  iff

$$\|f\|_{H^p(D^+)} := \sup_{0 \leq r < 1} \left( \int_{\Gamma_r} |f(\xi)|^p |d\xi| \right)^{1/p} < \infty. \quad (1)$$

- A similar definition holds for  $H^p(D^-)$ , with extra-requirement that  $f(\infty) = 0$ .

# Hardy-Smirnov spaces

- The Hardy-Smirnov space  $H^p(D^+)$ ,  $1 \leq p < \infty$ , consists of holomorphic functions in  $D^+$  whose  $L^p$ -means over level curves of the Green potential remain bounded.
- In other words, if  $\varphi : \mathbb{D} \rightarrow D^+$  is a conformal map from the unit disk and if we set  $\Gamma_r = \varphi(|z| = r)$ , then  $f \in H^p(D^+)$  iff

$$\|f\|_{H^p(D^+)} := \sup_{0 \leq r < 1} \left( \int_{\Gamma_r} |f(\xi)|^p |d\xi| \right)^{1/p} < \infty. \quad (1)$$

- A similar definition holds for  $H^p(D^-)$ , with extra-requirement that  $f(\infty) = 0$ .
- It can be shown that condition (1) is equivalent to saying that the nontangential maximal function of  $|f|^p$  lies in  $L^p(\Gamma)$  [Kenig,1980].

# Hardy-Smirnov spaces

- The Hardy-Smirnov space  $H^p(D^+)$ ,  $1 \leq p < \infty$ , consists of holomorphic functions in  $D^+$  whose  $L^p$ -means over level curves of the Green potential remain bounded.
- In other words, if  $\varphi : \mathbb{D} \rightarrow D^+$  is a conformal map from the unit disk and if we set  $\Gamma_r = \varphi(|z| = r)$ , then  $f \in H^p(D^+)$  iff

$$\|f\|_{H^p(D^+)} := \sup_{0 \leq r < 1} \left( \int_{\Gamma_r} |f(\xi)|^p |d\xi| \right)^{1/p} < \infty. \quad (1)$$

- A similar definition holds for  $H^p(D^-)$ , with extra-requirement that  $f(\infty) = 0$ .
- It can be shown that condition (1) is equivalent to saying that the nontangential maximal function of  $|f|^p$  lies in  $L^p(\Gamma)$  [Kenig,1980].

# Hardy-Smirnov spaces cont'd

## Hardy-Smirnov spaces cont'd

- Functions in  $H^p(D^\pm)$  have nontangential limits a.e. in  $L^p(\Gamma)$  whose norm yields an equivalent norm on  $H^p(D^\pm)$  [Coifman-McIntosh-Meyer-Semmes 82] [David 83].

## Hardy-Smirnov spaces cont'd

- Functions in  $H^p(D^\pm)$  have nontangential limits a.e. in  $L^p(\Gamma)$  whose norm yields an equivalent norm on  $H^p(D^\pm)$  [Coifman-McIntosh-Meyer-Semmes 82] [David 83].
- Moreover, if  $f \in H^p(D^\pm)$ , it can be recovered from its boundary values by the Cauchy formula:

$$Cf(z) = \pm \frac{1}{2i\pi} \int_{\Gamma} \frac{f(\xi)}{\xi - z} d\xi, \quad z \in D^\pm.$$

## Hardy-Smirnov spaces cont'd

- Functions in  $H^p(D^\pm)$  have nontangential limits a.e. in  $L^p(\Gamma)$  whose norm yields an equivalent norm on  $H^p(D^\pm)$  [Coifman-McIntosh-Meyer-Semmes 82] [David 83].
- Moreover, if  $f \in H^p(D^\pm)$ , it can be recovered from its boundary values by the Cauchy formula:

$$Cf(z) = \pm \frac{1}{2i\pi} \int_{\Gamma} \frac{f(\xi)}{\xi - z} d\xi, \quad z \in D^\pm.$$

- Also, the Cauchy theorem holds:

$$0 = \frac{1}{2i\pi} \int_{\Gamma} \frac{f(\xi)}{\xi - z} d\xi, \quad f \in H^p(D^\pm), \quad z \in D^\mp.$$

# Hardy decomposition

## Hardy decomposition

In the other direction, if  $f \in L^p(\Gamma)$  with  $1 < p < \infty$ , then  $\mathcal{C}f(z)$  defines a member of  $H^p(D^\pm)$  for  $z \in D^\pm$

[Jerison-Kenig 82][Lanzani 97].

## Hardy decomposition

In the other direction, if  $f \in L^p(\Gamma)$  with  $1 < p < \infty$ , then  $\mathcal{C}f(z)$  defines a member of  $H^p(D^\pm)$  for  $z \in D^\pm$   
[Jerison-Kenig 82][Lanzani 97].

Hence the relation  $f(\xi) = \mathcal{C}^+ - \mathcal{C}^-$  yields:

# Hardy decomposition

In the other direction, if  $f \in L^p(\Gamma)$  with  $1 < p < \infty$ , then  $\mathcal{C}f(z)$  defines a member of  $H^p(D^\pm)$  for  $z \in D^\pm$   
[Jerison-Kenig 82][Lanzani 97].

Hence the relation  $f(\xi) = \mathcal{C}^+ - \mathcal{C}^-$  yields:

## Theorem

*For  $\Gamma$  a Lipschitz Jordan curve and  $1 < p < \infty$ , there holds a topological sum:*

$$L^p(\Gamma) = H^p(D^+) \oplus H^p(D^-).$$

# Purpose of the talk

## Purpose of the talk

- We give a generalization of the theorem on a connected compact Lipschitz hypersurface  $\mathcal{M}$  embedded in  $\mathbb{R}^n$  which is locally a graph.

## Purpose of the talk

- We give a generalization of the theorem on a connected compact Lipschitz hypersurface  $\mathcal{M}$  embedded in  $\mathbb{R}^n$  which is locally a graph.
- When  $\mathcal{M}$  is smooth, we can prove a somewhat stronger form.

## Purpose of the talk

- We give a generalization of the theorem on a connected compact Lipschitz hypersurface  $\mathcal{M}$  embedded in  $\mathbb{R}^n$  which is locally a graph.
- When  $\mathcal{M}$  is smooth, we can prove a somewhat stronger form.
- On the plane or the sphere the decomposition was given in [L.B., Hardin, Lima, Saff, Weiss, 2013].

## Purpose of the talk

- We give a generalization of the theorem on a connected compact Lipschitz hypersurface  $\mathcal{M}$  embedded in  $\mathbb{R}^n$  which is locally a graph.
- When  $\mathcal{M}$  is smooth, we can prove a somewhat stronger form.
- On the plane or the sphere the decomposition was given in [L.B., Hardin, Lima, Saff, Weiss, 2013].
- Plemelj formulas in a Clifford analytic setting have long been generalized. What we do is, in a sense, a refinement.

## Purpose of the talk

- We give a generalization of the theorem on a connected compact Lipschitz hypersurface  $\mathcal{M}$  embedded in  $\mathbb{R}^n$  which is locally a graph.
- When  $\mathcal{M}$  is smooth, we can prove a somewhat stronger form.
- On the plane or the sphere the decomposition was given in [L.B., Hardin, Lima, Saff, Weiss, 2013].
- Plemelj formulas in a Clifford analytic setting have long been generalized. What we do is, in a sense, a refinement.
- We begin by reformulating the result in the plane.

# A reformulation

## A reformulation

- By the Cauchy-Riemann equations, a holomorphic function is of the form  $\partial_x U - i\partial_y U$  where  $U$  is real harmonic.

## A reformulation

- By the Cauchy-Riemann equations, a holomorphic function is of the form  $\partial_x U - i\partial_y U$  where  $U$  is real harmonic.
- Therefore we get upon identifying  $\mathbb{C} \sim \mathbb{R}^2$ :

## A reformulation

- By the Cauchy-Riemann equations, a holomorphic function is of the form  $\partial_x U - i\partial_y U$  where  $U$  is real harmonic.
- Therefore we get upon identifying  $\mathbb{C} \sim \mathbb{R}^2$ :

### Corollary

*For  $1 < p < \infty$ , a  $\mathbb{R}^2$ -valued vector field of  $L^p$ -class on  $\Gamma$  is uniquely the sum of the trace of the gradient of a harmonic function in  $D^+$  and the trace of the gradient of a harmonic function in  $D^-$ , where both gradients have nontangential maximal function in  $L^p$ .*

# Hardy spaces of harmonic gradients

# Hardy spaces of harmonic gradients

- We let  $\Omega^\pm$  for the inner and outer components of  $\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \mathcal{M}$ .

# Hardy spaces of harmonic gradients

- We let  $\Omega^\pm$  for the inner and outer components of  $\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \mathcal{M}$ .
- For  $1 < p < \infty$ , we set  $\mathcal{H}_\pm^p$  to be the space of harmonic gradients in  $\Omega^\pm$  whose nontangential maximal function lies in  $L^p(\mathcal{M})$ .

# Hardy spaces of harmonic gradients

- We let  $\Omega^\pm$  for the inner and outer components of  $\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \mathcal{M}$ .
- For  $1 < p < \infty$ , we set  $\mathcal{H}_\pm^p$  to be the space of harmonic gradients in  $\Omega^\pm$  whose nontangential maximal function lies in  $L^p(\mathcal{M})$ .
- Elements of  $\mathcal{H}_\pm^p$  have nontangential limits on  $\mathcal{M}$  whose  $L^p$  norm is equivalent to the  $L^p$  norm of the maximal function [Calderòn 50][Dalberg 77][Jerison-Kenig 82][Verchota 84].

# Hardy spaces of harmonic gradients

- We let  $\Omega^\pm$  for the inner and outer components of  $\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \mathcal{M}$ .
- For  $1 < p < \infty$ , we set  $\mathcal{H}_\pm^p$  to be the space of harmonic gradients in  $\Omega^\pm$  whose nontangential maximal function lies in  $L^p(\mathcal{M})$ .
- Elements of  $\mathcal{H}_\pm^p$  have nontangential limits on  $\mathcal{M}$  whose  $L^p$  norm is equivalent to the  $L^p$  norm of the maximal function [Calderòn 50][Dalberg 77][Jerison-Kenig 82][Verchota 84].
- A member of  $\mathcal{H}_\pm^p$  is identified with its nontangential limit.

## Hardy spaces of harmonic gradients

- We let  $\Omega^\pm$  for the inner and outer components of  $\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \mathcal{M}$ .
- For  $1 < p < \infty$ , we set  $\mathcal{H}_\pm^p$  to be the space of harmonic gradients in  $\Omega^\pm$  whose nontangential maximal function lies in  $L^p(\mathcal{M})$ .
- Elements of  $\mathcal{H}_\pm^p$  have nontangential limits on  $\mathcal{M}$  whose  $L^p$  norm is equivalent to the  $L^p$  norm of the maximal function [Calderòn 50][Dalberg 77][Jerison-Kenig 82][Verchota 84].
- A member of  $\mathcal{H}_\pm^p$  is identified with its nontangential limit. The latter is a vector field on  $\mathcal{M}$  with value in the ambient  $\mathbb{R}^n$  (not necessarily a tangent vector field).

## Hardy spaces of harmonic gradients

- We let  $\Omega^\pm$  for the inner and outer components of  $\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \mathcal{M}$ .
- For  $1 < p < \infty$ , we set  $\mathcal{H}_\pm^p$  to be the space of harmonic gradients in  $\Omega^\pm$  whose nontangential maximal function lies in  $L^p(\mathcal{M})$ .
- Elements of  $\mathcal{H}_\pm^p$  have nontangential limits on  $\mathcal{M}$  whose  $L^p$  norm is equivalent to the  $L^p$  norm of the maximal function [Calderòn 50][Dalberg 77][Jerison-Kenig 82][Verchota 84].
- A member of  $\mathcal{H}_\pm^p$  is identified with its nontangential limit. The latter is a vector field on  $\mathcal{M}$  with value in the ambient  $\mathbb{R}^n$  (not necessarily a tangent vector field).

# Gradients and divergence-free vector fields

## Gradients and divergence-free vector fields

- $\mathcal{M}$  inherits from  $\mathbb{R}^n$  a uniform Riemannian structure  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_{\mathcal{M}}$ , therefore one can define gradients.

## Gradients and divergence-free vector fields

- $\mathcal{M}$  inherits from  $\mathbb{R}^n$  a uniform Riemannian structure  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_{\mathcal{M}}$ , therefore one can define gradients.
- The Sobolev space  $W^{1,p}(\mathcal{M})$  is the closure of Lipschitz functions for  $\|\cdot\|_{L^p(\mathcal{M})} + \|\nabla \cdot\|_{L^p(\mathcal{M})}$ , where  $L^p$  is understood with respect to the volume form.

## Gradients and divergence-free vector fields

- $\mathcal{M}$  inherits from  $\mathbb{R}^n$  a uniform Riemannian structure  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_{\mathcal{M}}$ , therefore one can define gradients.
- The Sobolev space  $W^{1,p}(\mathcal{M})$  is the closure of Lipschitz functions for  $\|\cdot\|_{L^p(\mathcal{M})} + \|\nabla \cdot\|_{L^p(\mathcal{M})}$ , where  $L^p$  is understood with respect to the volume form.
- The space of tangent gradient vector fields of  $W^{1,p}(\mathcal{M})$  functions is denoted as  $\mathcal{G}^p$ . We call them  $L^p$ -gradients.

## Gradients and divergence-free vector fields

- $\mathcal{M}$  inherits from  $\mathbb{R}^n$  a uniform Riemannian structure  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_{\mathcal{M}}$ , therefore one can define gradients.
- The Sobolev space  $W^{1,p}(\mathcal{M})$  is the closure of Lipschitz functions for  $\|\cdot\|_{L^p(\mathcal{M})} + \|\nabla \cdot\|_{L^p(\mathcal{M})}$ , where  $L^p$  is understood with respect to the volume form.
- The space of tangent gradient vector fields of  $W^{1,p}(\mathcal{M})$  functions is denoted as  $\mathcal{G}^p$ . We call them  $L^p$ -gradients.
- One can then consider  $\mathcal{D}^p = (\mathcal{G}^q)^\perp$  for the pairing

$$(G, D) := \int_{\mathcal{M}} \langle G, D \rangle_{\mathcal{M}} d\sigma, \quad 1/p + 1/q = 1.$$

## Gradients and divergence-free vector fields

- $\mathcal{M}$  inherits from  $\mathbb{R}^n$  a uniform Riemannian structure  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_{\mathcal{M}}$ , therefore one can define gradients.
- The Sobolev space  $W^{1,p}(\mathcal{M})$  is the closure of Lipschitz functions for  $\|\cdot\|_{L^p(\mathcal{M})} + \|\nabla \cdot\|_{L^p(\mathcal{M})}$ , where  $L^p$  is understood with respect to the volume form.
- The space of tangent gradient vector fields of  $W^{1,p}(\mathcal{M})$  functions is denoted as  $\mathcal{G}^p$ . We call them  $L^p$ -gradients.
- One can then consider  $\mathcal{D}^p = (\mathcal{G}^q)^\perp$  for the pairing

$$(G, D) := \int_{\mathcal{M}} \langle G, D \rangle_{\mathcal{M}} d\sigma, \quad 1/p + 1/q = 1.$$

- $\mathcal{D}^p$  is the space of divergence-free vector fields of  $L^p$ -class.

## Gradients and divergence-free vector fields

- $\mathcal{M}$  inherits from  $\mathbb{R}^n$  a uniform Riemannian structure  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_{\mathcal{M}}$ , therefore one can define gradients.
- The Sobolev space  $W^{1,p}(\mathcal{M})$  is the closure of Lipschitz functions for  $\|\cdot\|_{L^p(\mathcal{M})} + \|\nabla \cdot\|_{L^p(\mathcal{M})}$ , where  $L^p$  is understood with respect to the volume form.
- The space of tangent gradient vector fields of  $W^{1,p}(\mathcal{M})$  functions is denoted as  $\mathcal{G}^p$ . We call them  $L^p$ -gradients.
- One can then consider  $\mathcal{D}^p = (\mathcal{G}^q)^\perp$  for the pairing

$$(G, D) := \int_{\mathcal{M}} \langle G, D \rangle_{\mathcal{M}} d\sigma, \quad 1/p + 1/q = 1.$$

- $\mathcal{D}^p$  is the space of divergence-free vector fields of  $L^p$ -class. In local coordinates  $\sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \partial_{y_i} (\sqrt{g} u_i(y)) = 0$  in  $W^{-1,p}$ .

# Hardy-Hodge decomposition

# Hardy-Hodge decomposition

## Theorem

Let  $\mathcal{M}$  be a compact connected Lipschitz hypersurface in  $\mathbb{R}^n$  which is locally a graph, and  $1 < p < 2 + \varepsilon(\mathcal{M})$ . Then, there is a direct sum

$$(L^p(\mathcal{M}))^n = \mathcal{H}_+^p \oplus \mathcal{H}_-^p \oplus \mathcal{D}^p(\mathcal{M}).$$

When  $\mathcal{M}$  is smooth, the result holds for  $1 < p < \infty$ .

# Hardy-Hodge decomposition

## Theorem

Let  $\mathcal{M}$  be a compact connected Lipschitz hypersurface in  $\mathbb{R}^n$  which is locally a graph, and  $1 < p < 2 + \varepsilon(\mathcal{M})$ . Then, there is a direct sum

$$(L^p(\mathcal{M}))^n = \mathcal{H}_+^p \oplus \mathcal{H}_-^p \oplus \mathcal{D}^p(\mathcal{M}).$$

When  $\mathcal{M}$  is smooth, the result holds for  $1 < p < \infty$ .

- We call this Hardy-Hodge decomposition for it generalizes both Plemelj decomposition as a sum of Hardy functions (dimension 2) and the Helmholtz-Hodge decomposition for tangent vector fields ( $\mathcal{T}^p(\mathcal{M}) = \mathcal{G}^p(\mathcal{M}) \oplus \mathcal{D}^p(\mathcal{M})$ ).

# Hardy-Hodge decomposition

## Theorem

*Let  $\mathcal{M}$  be a compact connected Lipschitz hypersurface in  $\mathbb{R}^n$  which is locally a graph, and  $1 < p < 2 + \varepsilon(\mathcal{M})$ . Then, there is a direct sum*

$$(L^p(\mathcal{M}))^n = \mathcal{H}_+^p \oplus \mathcal{H}_-^p \oplus \mathcal{D}^p(\mathcal{M}).$$

*When  $\mathcal{M}$  is smooth, the result holds for  $1 < p < \infty$ .*

- We call this Hardy-Hodge decomposition for it generalizes both Plemelj decomposition as a sum of Hardy functions (dimension 2) and the Helmholtz-Hodge decomposition for tangent vector fields ( $\mathcal{T}^p(\mathcal{M}) = \mathcal{G}^p(\mathcal{M}) \oplus \mathcal{D}^p(\mathcal{M})$ ).
- When  $\mathcal{M}$  is smooth the decomposition holds in more general spaces of functions or distributional currents.

## Remarks

## Remarks

- The Helmholtz-Hodge decomposition in  $L^p$  for smooth  $\mathcal{M}$  dates back to [Scott 1995].

## Remarks

- The Helmholtz-Hodge decomposition in  $L^p$  for smooth  $\mathcal{M}$  dates back to [Scott 1995]. Apparently the Lipschitz case is new.

## Remarks

- The Helmholtz-Hodge decomposition in  $L^p$  for smooth  $\mathcal{M}$  dates back to [Scott 1995]. Apparently the Lipschitz case is new.
- The Hardy-Hodge decomposition seems new even if  $\mathcal{M}$  is smooth.

## Remarks

- The Helmholtz-Hodge decomposition in  $L^p$  for smooth  $\mathcal{M}$  dates back to [Scott 1995]. Apparently the Lipschitz case is new.
- The Hardy-Hodge decomposition seems new even if  $\mathcal{M}$  is smooth.
- We do not know if the restriction  $1 < p < 2 + \varepsilon$  in the Lipschitz case is an artefact of our proof.

# Sketch of proof

# Sketch of proof

## Sketch of proof

- Let  $V \in L^p(\mathcal{M})^n$ . Write  $V = V_n + V_t$  according to the normal and tangential components.

## Sketch of proof

- Let  $V \in L^p(\mathcal{M})^n$ . Write  $V = V_n + V_t$  according to the normal and tangential components.
- By Hodge decomposition,  $V_t = G + D$  where  $D \in \mathcal{D}^p$  and  $G \in \mathcal{G}^p$ .

## Sketch of proof

- Let  $V \in L^p(\mathcal{M})^n$ . Write  $V = V_n + V_t$  according to the normal and tangential components.
- By Hodge decomposition,  $V_t = G + D$  where  $D \in \mathcal{D}^p$  and  $G \in \mathcal{G}^p$ . If  $\mathcal{M}$  is smooth, the Hodge decomposition is [Scott 95].

## Sketch of proof

- Let  $V \in L^p(\mathcal{M})^n$ . Write  $V = V_n + V_t$  according to the normal and tangential components.
- By Hodge decomposition,  $V_t = G + D$  where  $D \in \mathcal{D}^p$  and  $G \in \mathcal{G}^p$ . If  $\mathcal{M}$  is smooth, the Hodge decomposition is [Scott 95]. In the Lipschitz case, it can be proved using results from [Auscher 2007] on Riesz transforms for  $\operatorname{div}(A\nabla)$  operators.

## Sketch of proof

- Let  $V \in L^p(\mathcal{M})^n$ . Write  $V = V_n + V_t$  according to the normal and tangential components.
- By Hodge decomposition,  $V_t = G + D$  where  $D \in \mathcal{D}^p$  and  $G \in \mathcal{G}^p$ . If  $\mathcal{M}$  is smooth, the Hodge decomposition is [Scott 95]. In the Lipschitz case, it can be proved using results from [Auscher 2007] on Riesz transforms for  $\operatorname{div}(A\nabla)$  operators.  
Here the restriction on  $p$  appears.

## Sketch of proof

- Let  $V \in L^p(\mathcal{M})^n$ . Write  $V = V_n + V_t$  according to the normal and tangential components.
- By Hodge decomposition,  $V_t = G + D$  where  $D \in \mathcal{D}^p$  and  $G \in \mathcal{G}^p$ . If  $\mathcal{M}$  is smooth, the Hodge decomposition is [Scott 95]. In the Lipschitz case, it can be proved using results from [Auscher 2007] on Riesz transforms for  $\operatorname{div}(A\nabla)$  operators. Here the restriction on  $p$  appears.
- We save  $D$  which is the last summand in the decomposition.

## Sketch of proof

- Let  $V \in L^p(\mathcal{M})^n$ . Write  $V = V_n + V_t$  according to the normal and tangential components.
- By Hodge decomposition,  $V_t = G + D$  where  $D \in \mathcal{D}^p$  and  $G \in \mathcal{G}^p$ . If  $\mathcal{M}$  is smooth, the Hodge decomposition is [Scott 95]. In the Lipschitz case, it can be proved using results from [Auscher 2007] on Riesz transforms for  $\operatorname{div}(A\nabla)$  operators.  
Here the restriction on  $p$  appears.
- We save  $D$  which is the last summand in the decomposition.
- $G$  is the tangential gradient of some function  $\psi \in W^{1,p}(\mathcal{M})$ .

## Sketch of proof

- Let  $V \in L^p(\mathcal{M})^n$ . Write  $V = V_n + V_t$  according to the normal and tangential components.
- By Hodge decomposition,  $V_t = G + D$  where  $D \in \mathcal{D}^p$  and  $G \in \mathcal{G}^p$ . If  $\mathcal{M}$  is smooth, the Hodge decomposition is [Scott 95]. In the Lipschitz case, it can be proved using results from [Auscher 2007] on Riesz transforms for  $\operatorname{div}(A\nabla)$  operators.  
Here the restriction on  $p$  appears.
- We save  $D$  which is the last summand in the decomposition.
- $G$  is the tangential gradient of some function  $\psi \in W^{1,p}(\mathcal{M})$ .
- Let  $u$  be harmonic in  $\Omega^+$  and solve the Dirichlet problem  $u|_{\mathcal{M}} = \psi$  [Verchota, 1984].

## Sketch of proof

- Let  $V \in L^p(\mathcal{M})^n$ . Write  $V = V_n + V_t$  according to the normal and tangential components.
- By Hodge decomposition,  $V_t = G + D$  where  $D \in \mathcal{D}^p$  and  $G \in \mathcal{G}^p$ . If  $\mathcal{M}$  is smooth, the Hodge decomposition is [Scott 95]. In the Lipschitz case, it can be proved using results from [Auscher 2007] on Riesz transforms for  $\operatorname{div}(A\nabla)$  operators. Here the restriction on  $p$  appears.
- We save  $D$  which is the last summand in the decomposition.
- $G$  is the tangential gradient of some function  $\psi \in W^{1,p}(\mathcal{M})$ .
- Let  $u$  be harmonic in  $\Omega^+$  and solve the Dirichlet problem  $u|_{\mathcal{M}} = \psi$  [Verchota, 1984]. Here restriction on  $p$  appears again.

## Sketch of proof

- Let  $V \in L^p(\mathcal{M})^n$ . Write  $V = V_n + V_t$  according to the normal and tangential components.
- By Hodge decomposition,  $V_t = G + D$  where  $D \in \mathcal{D}^p$  and  $G \in \mathcal{G}^p$ . If  $\mathcal{M}$  is smooth, the Hodge decomposition is [Scott 95]. In the Lipschitz case, it can be proved using results from [Auscher 2007] on Riesz transforms for  $\operatorname{div}(A\nabla)$  operators. Here the restriction on  $p$  appears.
- We save  $D$  which is the last summand in the decomposition.
- $G$  is the tangential gradient of some function  $\psi \in W^{1,p}(\mathcal{M})$ .
- Let  $u$  be harmonic in  $\Omega^+$  and solve the Dirichlet problem  $u|_{\mathcal{M}} = \psi$  [Verchota, 1984]. Here restriction on  $p$  appears again. Then  $\nabla u \in \mathcal{H}_+^p$  and the tangential component of its nontangential limit on  $\mathcal{M}$  is  $G$ .

## Sketch of proof

- Let  $V \in L^p(\mathcal{M})^n$ . Write  $V = V_n + V_t$  according to the normal and tangential components.
- By Hodge decomposition,  $V_t = G + D$  where  $D \in \mathcal{D}^p$  and  $G \in \mathcal{G}^p$ . If  $\mathcal{M}$  is smooth, the Hodge decomposition is [Scott 95]. In the Lipschitz case, it can be proved using results from [Auscher 2007] on Riesz transforms for  $\operatorname{div}(A\nabla)$  operators. Here the restriction on  $p$  appears.
- We save  $D$  which is the last summand in the decomposition.
- $G$  is the tangential gradient of some function  $\psi \in W^{1,p}(\mathcal{M})$ .
- Let  $u$  be harmonic in  $\Omega^+$  and solve the Dirichlet problem  $u|_{\mathcal{M}} = \psi$  [Verchota, 1984]. Here restriction on  $p$  appears again. Then  $\nabla u \in \mathcal{H}_+^p$  and the tangential component of its nontangential limit on  $\mathcal{M}$  is  $G$ .
- Thus, we are left to decompose  $V - D - \nabla u$  which is a normal vector field on  $\mathcal{M}$ .

## Sketch of proof

- Let  $V \in L^p(\mathcal{M})^n$ . Write  $V = V_n + V_t$  according to the normal and tangential components.
- By Hodge decomposition,  $V_t = G + D$  where  $D \in \mathcal{D}^p$  and  $G \in \mathcal{G}^p$ . If  $\mathcal{M}$  is smooth, the Hodge decomposition is [Scott 95]. In the Lipschitz case, it can be proved using results from [Auscher 2007] on Riesz transforms for  $\operatorname{div}(A\nabla)$  operators. Here the restriction on  $p$  appears.
- We save  $D$  which is the last summand in the decomposition.
- $G$  is the tangential gradient of some function  $\psi \in W^{1,p}(\mathcal{M})$ .
- Let  $u$  be harmonic in  $\Omega^+$  and solve the Dirichlet problem  $u|_{\mathcal{M}} = \psi$  [Verchota, 1984]. Here restriction on  $p$  appears again. Then  $\nabla u \in \mathcal{H}_+^p$  and the tangential component of its nontangential limit on  $\mathcal{M}$  is  $G$ .
- Thus, we are left to decompose  $V - D - \nabla u$  which is a normal vector field on  $\mathcal{M}$ . For this we use some Clifford analysis and homogeneity arguments.

And most importantly

Thank you!

# Monogenic functions

# Monogenic functions

# Monogenic functions

- We define the Dirac operator by

$$D = e_1 \frac{\partial}{\partial x_1} + e_2 \frac{\partial}{\partial x_2} + e_3 \frac{\partial}{\partial x_3}.$$

# Monogenic functions

- We define the Dirac operator by

$$D = e_1 \frac{\partial}{\partial x_1} + e_2 \frac{\partial}{\partial x_2} + e_3 \frac{\partial}{\partial x_3}.$$

- A  $\mathcal{C}$ -valued function  $f$  is *left (resp. right) monogenic* on its open domain of definition if  $Df = 0$  (resp.  $fD = 0$ ).

# Monogenic functions

- We define the Dirac operator by

$$D = e_1 \frac{\partial}{\partial x_1} + e_2 \frac{\partial}{\partial x_2} + e_3 \frac{\partial}{\partial x_3}.$$

- A  $\mathcal{C}$ -valued function  $f$  is *left (resp. right) monogenic* on its open domain of definition if  $Df = 0$  (resp.  $fD = 0$ ). It is *monogenic* iff it is left and right monogenic.

# Monogenic functions

- We define the Dirac operator by

$$D = e_1 \frac{\partial}{\partial x_1} + e_2 \frac{\partial}{\partial x_2} + e_3 \frac{\partial}{\partial x_3}.$$

- A  $\mathcal{C}$ -valued function  $f$  is *left (resp. right) monogenic* on its open domain of definition if  $Df = 0$  (resp.  $fD = 0$ ). It is *monogenic* iff it is left and right monogenic.

## Theorem

*A vector-valued function is left monogenic if and only if it is monogenic, if and only if it is the gradient of a harmonic function.*

## Some questions

## Some questions

- $\mathcal{C}$  is the skew unital algebra generated over  $\mathbb{R}$  by  $\{e_1, e_2, e_3\}$  with:

$$e_j^2 = -1, \quad e_i e_j = -e_j e_i.$$

## Some questions

- $\mathfrak{C}$  is the skew unital algebra generated over  $\mathbb{R}$  by  $\{e_1, e_2, e_3\}$  with:

$$e_j^2 = -1, \quad e_i e_j = -e_j e_i.$$

- A typical element of  $\mathfrak{C}$  is of the form

$$z = x_0 + x_1 e_1 + x_2 e_2 + x_3 e_3 + x_{1,2} e_1 e_2 + x_{2,3} e_2 e_3 + x_{1,3} e_1 e_3 + x_{123} e_1 e_2 e_3$$

where the  $x_i$ , the  $x_{k,l}$  and  $x_{123}$  are real numbers.

## Some questions

- $\mathcal{C}$  is the skew unital algebra generated over  $\mathbb{R}$  by  $\{e_1, e_2, e_3\}$  with:

$$e_j^2 = -1, \quad e_i e_j = -e_j e_i.$$

- A typical element of  $\mathcal{C}$  is of the form

$$z = x_0 + x_1 e_1 + x_2 e_2 + x_3 e_3 + x_{1,2} e_1 e_2 + x_{2,3} e_2 e_3 + x_{1,3} e_1 e_3 + x_{123} e_1 e_2 e_3$$

where the  $x_i$ , the  $x_{k,l}$  and  $x_{123}$  are real numbers.

- $x_0$  is the *scalar part* of  $z$ , denoted by  $\text{Sc } z$ ;

## Some questions

- $\mathcal{C}$  is the skew unital algebra generated over  $\mathbb{R}$  by  $\{e_1, e_2, e_3\}$  with:

$$e_j^2 = -1, \quad e_i e_j = -e_j e_i.$$

- A typical element of  $\mathcal{C}$  is of the form

$$z = x_0 + x_1 e_1 + x_2 e_2 + x_3 e_3 + x_{1,2} e_1 e_2 + x_{2,3} e_2 e_3 + x_{1,3} e_1 e_3 + x_{123} e_1 e_2 e_3$$

where the  $x_i$ , the  $x_{k,\ell}$  and  $x_{123}$  are real numbers.

- $x_0$  is the *scalar part* of  $z$ , denoted by  $\text{Sc } z$ ;
- $x_1 e_1 + x_2 e_2 + x_3 e_3$ , is the *vector part* of  $z$  denoted as  $\text{vec } z$ ;

## Some questions

- $\mathcal{C}$  is the skew unital algebra generated over  $\mathbb{R}$  by  $\{e_1, e_2, e_3\}$  with:

$$e_j^2 = -1, \quad e_i e_j = -e_j e_i.$$

- A typical element of  $\mathcal{C}$  is of the form

$$z = x_0 + x_1 e_1 + x_2 e_2 + x_3 e_3 + x_{1,2} e_1 e_2 + x_{2,3} e_2 e_3 + x_{1,3} e_1 e_3 + x_{123} e_1 e_2 e_3$$

where the  $x_i$ , the  $x_{k,l}$  and  $x_{123}$  are real numbers.

- $x_0$  is the *scalar part* of  $z$ , denoted by  $\text{Sc } z$ ;
- $x_1 e_1 + x_2 e_2 + x_3 e_3$ , is the *vector part* of  $z$  denoted as  $\text{vec } z$ ;  
Clifford vectors get identified with Euclidean vectors in  $\mathbb{R}^3$ .

## Some questions

- $\mathcal{C}$  is the skew unital algebra generated over  $\mathbb{R}$  by  $\{e_1, e_2, e_3\}$  with:

$$e_j^2 = -1, \quad e_i e_j = -e_j e_i.$$

- A typical element of  $\mathcal{C}$  is of the form

$$z = x_0 + x_1 e_1 + x_2 e_2 + x_3 e_3 + x_{1,2} e_1 e_2 + x_{2,3} e_2 e_3 + x_{1,3} e_1 e_3 + x_{123} e_1 e_2 e_3$$

where the  $x_i$ , the  $x_{k,l}$  and  $x_{123}$  are real numbers.

- $x_0$  is the *scalar part* of  $z$ , denoted by  $\text{Sc } z$ ;
- $x_1 e_1 + x_2 e_2 + x_3 e_3$ , is the *vector part* of  $z$  denoted as  $\text{vec } z$ ;  
Clifford vectors get identified with Euclidean vectors in  $\mathbb{R}^3$ .
- The conjugate of  $z$  is

$$\bar{z} = x_0 - x_1 e_1 - x_2 e_2 - x_3 e_3 + x_{1,2} e_1 e_2 + x_{2,3} e_2 e_3 + x_{3,1} e_3 e_1 - x_{123} e_1 e_2 e_3.$$

## Some questions

- $\mathcal{C}$  is the skew unital algebra generated over  $\mathbb{R}$  by  $\{e_1, e_2, e_3\}$  with:

$$e_j^2 = -1, \quad e_i e_j = -e_j e_i.$$

- A typical element of  $\mathcal{C}$  is of the form

$$z = x_0 + x_1 e_1 + x_2 e_2 + x_3 e_3 + x_{1,2} e_1 e_2 + x_{2,3} e_2 e_3 + x_{1,3} e_1 e_3 + x_{123} e_1 e_2 e_3$$

where the  $x_i$ , the  $x_{k,l}$  and  $x_{123}$  are real numbers.

- $x_0$  is the *scalar part* of  $z$ , denoted by  $\text{Sc } z$ ;
- $x_1 e_1 + x_2 e_2 + x_3 e_3$ , is the *vector part* of  $z$  denoted as  $\text{vec } z$ ;  
Clifford vectors get identified with Euclidean vectors in  $\mathbb{R}^3$ .
- The conjugate of  $z$  is

$$\bar{z} = x_0 - x_1 e_1 - x_2 e_2 - x_3 e_3 + x_{1,2} e_1 e_2 + x_{2,3} e_2 e_3 + x_{3,1} e_3 e_1 - x_{123} e_1 e_2 e_3.$$

- The norm of  $z$  is  $|z| = (\sum_{0 \leq k \leq 3} x_k^2 + \sum_{i < j} x_{i,j}^2 + x_{123}^2)^{1/2}$ .

# Monogenic functions

# Monogenic functions

# Monogenic functions

- We define the Dirac operator by

$$D = e_1 \frac{\partial}{\partial x_1} + e_2 \frac{\partial}{\partial x_2} + e_3 \frac{\partial}{\partial x_3}.$$

# Monogenic functions

- We define the Dirac operator by

$$D = e_1 \frac{\partial}{\partial x_1} + e_2 \frac{\partial}{\partial x_2} + e_3 \frac{\partial}{\partial x_3}.$$

- A  $\mathcal{C}$ -valued function  $f$  is *left (resp. right) monogenic* on its open domain of definition if  $Df = 0$  (resp.  $fD = 0$ ).

# Monogenic functions

- We define the Dirac operator by

$$D = e_1 \frac{\partial}{\partial x_1} + e_2 \frac{\partial}{\partial x_2} + e_3 \frac{\partial}{\partial x_3}.$$

- A  $\mathcal{C}$ -valued function  $f$  is *left (resp. right) monogenic* on its open domain of definition if  $Df = 0$  (resp.  $fD = 0$ ). It is *monogenic* iff it is left and right monogenic.

# Monogenic functions

- We define the Dirac operator by

$$D = e_1 \frac{\partial}{\partial x_1} + e_2 \frac{\partial}{\partial x_2} + e_3 \frac{\partial}{\partial x_3}.$$

- A  $\mathcal{C}$ -valued function  $f$  is *left (resp. right) monogenic* on its open domain of definition if  $Df = 0$  (resp.  $fD = 0$ ). It is *monogenic* iff it is left and right monogenic.

## Theorem

*A vector-valued function is left monogenic if and only if it is monogenic, if and only if it is the gradient of a harmonic function.*

# Cauchy-Clifford formula

# Cauchy-Clifford formula

## Cauchy-Clifford formula

- Let  $\mathcal{M}$  be a smooth compact simply connected surface embedded in  $\mathbb{R}^3$ .

## Cauchy-Clifford formula

- Let  $\mathcal{M}$  be a smooth compact simply connected surface embedded in  $\mathbb{R}^3$ . It is naturally a Riemannian manifold, so we can define the gradient of a function  $\phi$  on  $\mathcal{M}$  (a tangent vector field).

## Cauchy-Clifford formula

- Let  $\mathcal{M}$  be a smooth compact simply connected surface embedded in  $\mathbb{R}^3$ . It is naturally a Riemannian manifold, so we can define the gradient of a function  $\phi$  on  $\mathcal{M}$  (a tangent vector field).
- We denote by  $\Omega^\pm$  the interior and exterior components of  $\mathbb{R}^3 \setminus \mathcal{M}$  and by  $d\sigma$  the area measure on  $\mathcal{M}$ .

## Cauchy-Clifford formula

- Let  $\mathcal{M}$  be a smooth compact simply connected surface embedded in  $\mathbb{R}^3$ . It is naturally a Riemannian manifold, so we can define the gradient of a function  $\phi$  on  $\mathcal{M}$  (a tangent vector field).
- We denote by  $\Omega^\pm$  the interior and exterior components of  $\mathbb{R}^3 \setminus \mathcal{M}$  and by  $d\sigma$  the area measure on  $\mathcal{M}$ .
- If  $f$  is left monogenic in  $\Omega^+$  and its nontangential maximal function lies in  $L^p(\mathcal{M})$ , then  $f$  has a nontangential limit  $f^+ \in L^p(\mathcal{M})$  a.e. on  $\mathcal{M}$  and

$$f(z) = Cf^+(z) := \frac{1}{4\pi} \int_{\mathcal{M}} \frac{\overline{y-z}}{|y-z|^3} n(y) f^+(y) d\sigma(y), \quad z \in \Omega^+.$$

## Cauchy-Clifford formula

- Let  $\mathcal{M}$  be a smooth compact simply connected surface embedded in  $\mathbb{R}^3$ . It is naturally a Riemannian manifold, so we can define the gradient of a function  $\phi$  on  $\mathcal{M}$  (a tangent vector field).
- We denote by  $\Omega^\pm$  the interior and exterior components of  $\mathbb{R}^3 \setminus \mathcal{M}$  and by  $d\sigma$  the area measure on  $\mathcal{M}$ .
- If  $f$  is left monogenic in  $\Omega^+$  and its nontangential maximal function lies in  $L^p(\mathcal{M})$ , then  $f$  has a nontangential limit  $f^+ \in L^p(\mathcal{M})$  a.e. on  $\mathcal{M}$  and

$$f(z) = Cf^+(z) := \frac{1}{4\pi} \int_{\mathcal{M}} \frac{\overline{y-z}}{|y-z|^3} n(y) f^+(y) d\sigma(y), \quad z \in \Omega^+.$$

Here  $n(y)$  is the exterior unit normal to  $\mathcal{M}$ .

## Cauchy-Clifford formula

- Let  $\mathcal{M}$  be a smooth compact simply connected surface embedded in  $\mathbb{R}^3$ . It is naturally a Riemannian manifold, so we can define the gradient of a function  $\phi$  on  $\mathcal{M}$  (a tangent vector field).
- We denote by  $\Omega^\pm$  the interior and exterior components of  $\mathbb{R}^3 \setminus \mathcal{M}$  and by  $d\sigma$  the area measure on  $\mathcal{M}$ .
- If  $f$  is left monogenic in  $\Omega^+$  and its nontangential maximal function lies in  $L^p(\mathcal{M})$ , then  $f$  has a nontangential limit  $f^+ \in L^p(\mathcal{M})$  a.e. on  $\mathcal{M}$  and

$$f(z) = Cf^+(z) := \frac{1}{4\pi} \int_{\mathcal{M}} \frac{\overline{y-z}}{|y-z|^3} n(y) f^+(y) d\sigma(y), \quad z \in \Omega^+.$$

Here  $n(y)$  is the exterior unit normal to  $\mathcal{M}$ .

- If  $z \in \Omega^-$ , then the above right hand side is zero.

## Cauchy-Clifford formula

- Let  $\mathcal{M}$  be a smooth compact simply connected surface embedded in  $\mathbb{R}^3$ . It is naturally a Riemannian manifold, so we can define the gradient of a function  $\phi$  on  $\mathcal{M}$  (a tangent vector field).
- We denote by  $\Omega^\pm$  the interior and exterior components of  $\mathbb{R}^3 \setminus \mathcal{M}$  and by  $d\sigma$  the area measure on  $\mathcal{M}$ .
- If  $f$  is left monogenic in  $\Omega^+$  and its nontangential maximal function lies in  $L^p(\mathcal{M})$ , then  $f$  has a nontangential limit  $f^+ \in L^p(\mathcal{M})$  a.e. on  $\mathcal{M}$  and

$$f(z) = Cf^+(z) := \frac{1}{4\pi} \int_{\mathcal{M}} \frac{\overline{y-z}}{|y-z|^3} n(y) f^+(y) d\sigma(y), \quad z \in \Omega^+.$$

Here  $n(y)$  is the exterior unit normal to  $\mathcal{M}$ .

- If  $z \in \Omega^-$ , then the above right hand side is zero.
- A similar result holds if  $f$  is left monogenic in  $\Omega^-$ ;

## Cauchy-Clifford formula

- Let  $\mathcal{M}$  be a smooth compact simply connected surface embedded in  $\mathbb{R}^3$ . It is naturally a Riemannian manifold, so we can define the gradient of a function  $\phi$  on  $\mathcal{M}$  (a tangent vector field).
- We denote by  $\Omega^\pm$  the interior and exterior components of  $\mathbb{R}^3 \setminus \mathcal{M}$  and by  $d\sigma$  the area measure on  $\mathcal{M}$ .
- If  $f$  is left monogenic in  $\Omega^+$  and its nontangential maximal function lies in  $L^p(\mathcal{M})$ , then  $f$  has a nontangential limit  $f^+ \in L^p(\mathcal{M})$  a.e. on  $\mathcal{M}$  and

$$f(z) = Cf^+(z) := \frac{1}{4\pi} \int_{\mathcal{M}} \frac{\overline{y-z}}{|y-z|^3} n(y) f^+(y) d\sigma(y), \quad z \in \Omega^+.$$

Here  $n(y)$  is the exterior unit normal to  $\mathcal{M}$ .

- If  $z \in \Omega^-$ , then the above right hand side is zero.
- A similar result holds if  $f$  is left monogenic in  $\Omega^-$ ; the nontangential limit on  $\mathcal{M}$  from  $\Omega^-$  is denoted by  $f^- \in L^p(\mathcal{M})$ .

# Plemelj-Clifford formulas

# Plemelj-Clifford formulas

## Plemelj-Clifford formulas

- For a  $\mathcal{C}$ -valued  $h \in L^p(\mathcal{M})$ ,  $Ch$  is left monogenic on  $\mathbb{R}^3 \setminus \mathcal{M}$  and its nontangential maximal function lies in  $L^p(\mathcal{M})$ .

## Plemelj-Clifford formulas

- For a  $\mathcal{C}$ -valued  $h \in L^p(\mathcal{M})$ ,  $Ch$  is left monogenic on  $\mathbb{R}^3 \setminus \mathcal{M}$  and its nontangential maximal function lies in  $L^p(\mathcal{M})$ .
- Moreover  $Ch$  has non-tangential limits  $C^\pm h$  a.e. on  $\mathcal{M}$  from  $\Omega^\pm$

## Plemelj-Clifford formulas

- For a  $\mathcal{C}$ -valued  $h \in L^p(\mathcal{M})$ ,  $\mathcal{C}h$  is left monogenic on  $\mathbb{R}^3 \setminus \mathcal{M}$  and its nontangential maximal function lies in  $L^p(\mathcal{M})$ .
- Moreover  $\mathcal{C}h$  has non-tangential limits  $\mathcal{C}^\pm h$  a.e. on  $\mathcal{M}$  from  $\Omega^\pm$  and

$$\mathcal{C}^\pm h(y) = \pm \frac{h(y)}{2} + \mathcal{SCh}(y), \quad y \in \mathcal{M},$$

where  $\mathcal{SCh}$  is the *singular Cauchy integral operator*:

$$\mathcal{SCh}(y) = \frac{1}{4\pi} \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \int_{\mathcal{M} \setminus B(y, \varepsilon)} \frac{\overline{\xi - y}}{|\xi - y|^3} n(\xi) h(\xi) d\sigma(\xi), \quad y \in \mathcal{M}.$$

## Plemelj-Clifford formulas

- For a  $\mathcal{C}$ -valued  $h \in L^p(\mathcal{M})$ ,  $Ch$  is left monogenic on  $\mathbb{R}^3 \setminus \mathcal{M}$  and its nontangential maximal function lies in  $L^p(\mathcal{M})$ .
- Moreover  $Ch$  has non-tangential limits  $C^\pm h$  a.e. on  $\mathcal{M}$  from  $\Omega^\pm$  and

$$C^\pm h(y) = \pm \frac{h(y)}{2} + SCh(y), \quad y \in \mathcal{M},$$

where  $SCh$  is the *singular Cauchy integral operator*:

$$SCh(y) = \frac{1}{4\pi} \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \int_{\mathcal{M} \setminus B(y, \varepsilon)} \frac{\overline{\xi - y}}{|\xi - y|^3} n(\xi) h(\xi) d\sigma(\xi), \quad y \in \mathcal{M}.$$

- This gives us an analog of the Plemelj formula:

$$C^+ h(y) - C^- h(y) = h(y).$$

# Hardy-Hodge decomposition

# Hardy-Hodge decomposition

## Hardy-Hodge decomposition

- We let  $\mathcal{H}(\Omega^\pm)$  for the space of harmonic gradients in  $\Omega^\pm$  whose nontangential maximal function lies in  $L^p(\mathcal{M})$ . These have nontangential limits on  $\mathcal{M}$  which need not be tangent.

## Hardy-Hodge decomposition

- We let  $\mathcal{H}(\Omega^\pm)$  for the space of harmonic gradients in  $\Omega^\pm$  whose nontangential maximal function lies in  $L^p(\mathcal{M})$ . These have nontangential limits on  $\mathcal{M}$  which need not be tangent.
- We set  $\mathcal{D}^p(\mathcal{M})$  for the space of tangent divergence-free vector fields in  $L^p(\mathcal{M})$ .

## Hardy-Hodge decomposition

- We let  $\mathcal{H}(\Omega^\pm)$  for the space of harmonic gradients in  $\Omega^\pm$  whose nontangential maximal function lies in  $L^p(\mathcal{M})$ . These have nontangential limits on  $\mathcal{M}$  which need not be tangent.
- We set  $\mathcal{D}^p(\mathcal{M})$  for the space of tangent divergence-free vector fields in  $L^p(\mathcal{M})$ . These are orthogonal to tangent gradient vector fields in  $L^q(\mathcal{M})$ ,  $1/p + 1/q = 1$ .

# Hardy-Hodge decomposition

- We let  $\mathcal{H}(\Omega^\pm)$  for the space of harmonic gradients in  $\Omega^\pm$  whose nontangential maximal function lies in  $L^p(\mathcal{M})$ . These have nontangential limits on  $\mathcal{M}$  which need not be tangent.
- We set  $\mathcal{D}^p(\mathcal{M})$  for the space of tangent divergence-free vector fields in  $L^p(\mathcal{M})$ . These are orthogonal to tangent gradient vector fields in  $L^q(\mathcal{M})$ ,  $1/p + 1/q = 1$ .

## Theorem

*For  $\mathcal{M}$  a smooth simply connected surface in  $\mathbb{R}^3$  and  $1 < p < \infty$ , there is a direct sum*

$$(L^p(\mathcal{M}))^3 = \mathcal{H}^p(\Omega^+) + \mathcal{H}^p(\Omega^-) + \mathcal{D}^p(\mathcal{M}).$$

# Proof

# Proof

# Proof

- Let  $V \in \mathcal{V}(\mathcal{M})$ , the space of vector fields on  $\mathcal{M}$ . We drop the superscript  $p$  for simplicity.

# Proof

- Let  $V \in \mathcal{V}(\mathcal{M})$ , the space of vector fields on  $\mathcal{M}$ . We drop the superscript  $p$  for simplicity.
- Write  $V = V_n + V_t$  where  $V_n$  is the normal component and  $V_t$  the tangential component of  $V$ . By Hodge decomposition,  $V_t = G + D$  where  $D \in \mathcal{D}(\mathcal{M})$  and  $G$  is the tangential gradient of some function  $\psi \in W^{1,p}(\mathcal{M})$ .

# Proof

- Let  $V \in \mathcal{V}(\mathcal{M})$ , the space of vector fields on  $\mathcal{M}$ . We drop the superscript  $p$  for simplicity.
- Write  $V = V_n + V_t$  where  $V_n$  is the normal component and  $V_t$  the tangential component of  $V$ . By Hodge decomposition,  $V_t = G + D$  where  $D \in \mathcal{D}(\mathcal{M})$  and  $G$  is the tangential gradient of some function  $\psi \in W^{1,p}(\mathcal{M})$ .
- We save  $D$  which is the last summand in the decomposition.

## Proof

- Let  $V \in \mathcal{V}(\mathcal{M})$ , the space of vector fields on  $\mathcal{M}$ . We drop the superscript  $p$  for simplicity.
- Write  $V = V_n + V_t$  where  $V_n$  is the normal component and  $V_t$  the tangential component of  $V$ . By Hodge decomposition,  $V_t = G + D$  where  $D \in \mathcal{D}(\mathcal{M})$  and  $G$  is the tangential gradient of some function  $\psi \in W^{1,p}(\mathcal{M})$ .
- We save  $D$  which is the last summand in the decomposition.
- Let  $u$  be the harmonic function in  $\Omega^+$  solving the Dirichlet problem with boundary condition  $u|_{\mathcal{M}} = \psi$ . Then  $\nabla u \in \mathcal{H}(\Omega^+)$  and the tangential component of its nontangential limit on  $\mathcal{M}$  is equal to  $G$ . Thus,  $V - D - \nabla u$  is a normal vector field on  $\mathcal{M}$ .

## Proof cont'd

## Proof cont'd

## Proof cont'd

- By what precedes we are left to decompose a *normal* vector field, say  $h$ . Consider  $h$  to be a vector-valued function  $h$  in  $\mathcal{C}$ .

## Proof cont'd

- By what precedes we are left to decompose a *normal* vector field, say  $h$ . Consider  $h$  to be a vector-valued function  $h$  in  $\mathcal{C}$ .
- $h = \mathcal{C}^+h - \mathcal{C}^-h$  by the Plemelj formula. Observe that  $\mathcal{C}^+h \in \mathcal{H}^+$  and  $\mathcal{C}^-h \in \mathcal{H}^-$  if  $h$  is normal to  $\mathcal{M}$ . Indeed, if  $h(y)$  is normal to  $\mathcal{M}$  at  $y$ , the product  $n(y)h(y)$  is scalar-valued and therefore the integrand in the definition of  $\mathcal{C}h$  is vector valued.

## Proof cont'd

- By what precedes we are left to decompose a *normal* vector field, say  $h$ . Consider  $h$  to be a vector-valued function  $h$  in  $\mathcal{C}$ .
- $h = \mathcal{C}^+ h - \mathcal{C}^- h$  by the Plemelj formula. Observe that  $\mathcal{C}^+ h \in \mathcal{H}^+$  and  $\mathcal{C}^- h \in \mathcal{H}^-$  if  $h$  is normal to  $\mathcal{M}$ . Indeed, if  $h(y)$  is normal to  $\mathcal{M}$  at  $y$ , the product  $n(y)h(y)$  is scalar-valued and therefore the integrand in the definition of  $\mathcal{C}h$  is vector-valued. Hence  $\mathcal{C}h$  is vector-valued and otherwise monogenic, therefore it is a harmonic gradient. Since its nontangential maximal function lies in  $L^p(\mathcal{M})$ , we obtain existence of the decomposition.

## Proof cont'd

- By what precedes we are left to decompose a *normal* vector field, say  $h$ . Consider  $h$  to be a vector-valued function  $h$  in  $\mathcal{C}$ .
- $h = \mathcal{C}^+h - \mathcal{C}^-h$  by the Plemelj formula. Observe that  $\mathcal{C}^+h \in \mathcal{H}^+$  and  $\mathcal{C}^-h \in \mathcal{H}^-$  if  $h$  is normal to  $\mathcal{M}$ . Indeed, if  $h(y)$  is normal to  $\mathcal{M}$  at  $y$ , the product  $n(y)h(y)$  is scalar-valued and therefore the integrand in the definition of  $\mathcal{C}h$  is vector-valued. Hence  $\mathcal{C}h$  is vector-valued and otherwise monogenic, therefore it is a harmonic gradient. Since its nontangential maximal function lies in  $L^p(\mathcal{M})$ , we obtain existence of the decomposition.
- Uniqueness follows from uniqueness of the Hodge decomposition and the Liouville theorem for harmonic functions.

# Application to the characterization of silent magnetization distributions on a surface

## Application to the characterization of silent magnetization distributions on a surface

- Assume  $V = m \otimes \delta_{\mathcal{M}}$  where  $m = (m_1, m_2, m_3)^t$  is a vector field in  $L^p(\mathcal{M})$ .

# Application to the characterization of silent magnetization distributions on a surface

- Assume  $V = m \otimes \delta_{\mathcal{M}}$  where  $m = (m_1, m_2, m_3)^t$  is a vector field in  $L^p(\mathcal{M})$ .
- We form the potential

$$P_{\text{div } V}(X) = -\frac{1}{4\pi} \iint_{\mathcal{M}} \frac{(\text{div } V)(X')}{|X - X'|} d\sigma(X'), \quad X \notin \text{supp } V,$$

# Application to the characterization of silent magnetization distributions on a surface

- Assume  $V = m \otimes \delta_{\mathcal{M}}$  where  $m = (m_1, m_2, m_3)^t$  is a vector field in  $L^p(\mathcal{M})$ .
- We form the potential

$$P_{\text{div } V}(X) = -\frac{1}{4\pi} \iint_{\mathcal{M}} \frac{(\text{div } V)(X')}{|X - X'|} d\sigma(X'), \quad X \notin \text{supp } V,$$

- or, by definition of distributional derivatives:

# Application to the characterization of silent magnetization distributions on a surface

- Assume  $V = m \otimes \delta_{\mathcal{M}}$  where  $m = (m_1, m_2, m_3)^t$  is a vector field in  $L^p(\mathcal{M})$ .
- We form the potential

$$P_{\text{div } V}(X) = -\frac{1}{4\pi} \iint_{\mathcal{M}} \frac{(\text{div } V)(X')}{|X - X'|} d\sigma(X'), \quad X \notin \text{supp } V,$$

- or, by definition of distributional derivatives:

$$P_{\text{div } V}(X) = -\frac{1}{4\pi} \iint_{\mathcal{M}} \frac{(m_1, m_2, m_3)(X') \cdot (X - X')}{|X - X'|^3} d\sigma(X'), \quad X \notin \text{supp } V.$$

# Uniqueness issues

# Uniqueness issues

- A basic question is:  
what are the densities  $V$  producing the zero field in a given component of  $\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \mathcal{M}$ ?

# Uniqueness issues

- A basic question is:  
what are the densities  $V$  producing the zero field in a given component of  $\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \mathcal{M}$ ?
- Equivalently: When is it that  $\Phi_{\text{div}(V)}(X) = \text{cst}$  for  $X \in \Omega^+$  (resp.  $X \in \Omega^-$ )?

# Uniqueness issues

- A basic question is:  
what are the densities  $V$  producing the zero field in a given component of  $\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \mathcal{M}$ ?
- Equivalently: When is it that  $\Phi_{\text{div}(V)}(X) = \text{cst}$  for  $X \in \Omega^+$  (resp.  $X \in \Omega^-$ )?
- In this case we say that the density  $V$  is *silent from inside* (resp. *silent from outside*).

# Uniqueness issues

- A basic question is:  
what are the densities  $V$  producing the zero field in a given component of  $\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \mathcal{M}$ ?
- Equivalently: When is it that  $\Phi_{\text{div}(V)}(X) = \text{cst}$  for  $X \in \Omega^+$  (resp.  $X \in \Omega^-$ )?
- In this case we say that the density  $V$  is *silent from inside* (resp. *silent from outside*).

## Application cont'd

## Application cont'd

- Put  $m = (m_1, m_2, m_3)^t$  and write the Hardy-Hodge decomposition  $m = f^+ + f^- + D$  with  $f^\pm \in \mathcal{H}^p(\Omega^\pm)$  and  $D \in \mathcal{D}^p(\mathcal{M})$ .

## Application cont'd

- Put  $m = (m_1, m_2, m_3)^t$  and write the Hardy-Hodge decomposition  $m = f^+ + f^- + D$  with  $f^\pm \in \mathcal{H}^p(\Omega^\pm)$  and  $D \in \mathcal{D}^p(\mathcal{M})$ .
- If  $\mathcal{M}$  is a plane or a sphere, the orthogonality of  $\mathcal{H}^p(\Omega^+)$  and  $\mathcal{H}^q(\Omega^-)$ ,  $1/p + 1/q = 1$ , entails that  $V$  is silent from inside (resp. outside) if and only if  $f^-$  (resp.  $f^+$ ) is zero.

## Application cont'd

- Put  $m = (m_1, m_2, m_3)^t$  and write the Hardy-Hodge decomposition  $m = f^+ + f^- + D$  with  $f^\pm \in \mathcal{H}^p(\Omega^\pm)$  and  $D \in \mathcal{D}^p(\mathcal{M})$ .
- If  $\mathcal{M}$  is a plane or a sphere, the orthogonality of  $\mathcal{H}^p(\Omega^+)$  and  $\mathcal{H}^q(\Omega^-)$ ,  $1/p + 1/q = 1$ , entails that  $V$  is silent from inside (resp. outside) if and only if  $f^-$  (resp.  $f^+$ ) is zero.
- What about more general surfaces for which the curvature plays a more decisive role?

## Application cont'd

## Application cont'd

- Write  $m = N + T$  where  $N$  is normal and  $T$  tangent to  $\mathcal{M}$ .

## Application cont'd

- Write  $m = N + T$  where  $N$  is normal and  $T$  tangent to  $\mathcal{M}$ .
- Decompose  $P_{\text{div } v}(X) = P_N(X) + P_T(X)$  with

## Application cont'd

- Write  $m = N + T$  where  $N$  is normal and  $T$  tangent to  $\mathcal{M}$ .
- Decompose  $P_{\text{div } v}(X) = P_N(X) + P_T(X)$  with

$$P_N(X) = \frac{1}{4\pi} \iint_{\mathcal{M}} \frac{N(X') \cdot (X' - X)}{|X - X'|^3} dX',$$

## Application cont'd

- Write  $m = N + T$  where  $N$  is normal and  $T$  tangent to  $\mathcal{M}$ .
- Decompose  $P_{\text{div } v}(X) = P_N(X) + P_T(X)$  with

$$P_N(X) = \frac{1}{4\pi} \iint_{\mathcal{M}} \frac{N(X') \cdot (X' - X)}{|X - X'|^3} dX',$$

$$P_T(X) = \frac{1}{4\pi} \iint_{\mathcal{M}} \frac{T(X') \cdot (X' - X)}{|X - X'|^3} dX'.$$

## Application cont'd

- Write  $m = N + T$  where  $N$  is normal and  $T$  tangent to  $\mathcal{M}$ .
- Decompose  $P_{\text{div } v}(X) = P_N(X) + P_T(X)$  with

$$P_N(X) = \frac{1}{4\pi} \iint_{\mathcal{M}} \frac{N(X') \cdot (X' - X)}{|X - X'|^3} dX',$$

$$P_T(X) = \frac{1}{4\pi} \iint_{\mathcal{M}} \frac{T(X') \cdot (X' - X)}{|X - X'|^3} dX'.$$

We analyze these terms separately, assuming for instance  $X \in \Omega^-$ .

## Application cont'd

- Write  $m = N + T$  where  $N$  is normal and  $T$  tangent to  $\mathcal{M}$ .
- Decompose  $P_{\text{div } v}(X) = P_N(X) + P_T(X)$  with

$$P_N(X) = \frac{1}{4\pi} \iint_{\mathcal{M}} \frac{N(X') \cdot (X' - X)}{|X - X'|^3} dX',$$

$$P_T(X) = \frac{1}{4\pi} \iint_{\mathcal{M}} \frac{T(X') \cdot (X' - X)}{|X - X'|^3} dX'.$$

We analyze these terms separately, assuming for instance  $X \in \Omega^-$ . We may also assume that  $T$  is a gradient for the divergence-free component of the Hardy-Hodge decomposition is silent.

## Application cont'd

## Application cont'd

- We write  $N = n\Psi$  for some real function  $\Psi$ .

## Application cont'd

- We write  $N = n\Psi$  for some real function  $\Psi$ .
- Identifying Euclidean vectors with Clifford vectors, we get

## Application cont'd

- We write  $N = n\Psi$  for some real function  $\Psi$ .
- Identifying Euclidean vectors with Clifford vectors, we get

$$P_N(X) = \frac{1}{4\pi} \mathbf{Sc} \left\{ \int_{\mathcal{M}} \frac{\overline{X' - X}}{|X' - X|^3} n(X') \Psi(X') d\sigma(X') \right\}$$

## Application cont'd

- We write  $N = n\Psi$  for some real function  $\Psi$ .
- Identifying Euclidean vectors with Clifford vectors, we get

$$P_N(X) = \frac{1}{4\pi} \mathbf{Sc} \left\{ \int_{\mathcal{M}} \frac{\overline{X' - X}}{|X' - X|^3} n(X') \Psi(X') d\sigma(X') \right\}$$

or, equivalently

$$P_N(X) = \frac{1}{4\pi} \mathbf{Coeff}_{e_1} \left\{ \int_{\mathcal{M}} \frac{\overline{X' - X}}{|X' - X|^3} n(X') \Psi(X') e_1 d\sigma(X') \right\}.$$

## Application cont'd

- We write  $N = n\Psi$  for some real function  $\Psi$ .
- Identifying Euclidean vectors with Clifford vectors, we get

$$P_N(X) = \frac{1}{4\pi} \mathbf{Sc} \left\{ \int_{\mathcal{M}} \frac{\overline{X' - X}}{|X' - X|^3} n(X') \Psi(X') d\sigma(X') \right\}$$

or, equivalently

$$P_N(X) = \frac{1}{4\pi} \mathbf{Coeff}_{e_1} \left\{ \int_{\mathcal{M}} \frac{\overline{X' - X}}{|X' - X|^3} n(X') \Psi(X') e_1 d\sigma(X') \right\}.$$

- Writing the Hardy-Hodge decomposition  $\Psi e_1 = H^+ + H^- + D$ , we get by the Cauchy-Clifford formula and the orthogonality of  $D$  with  $n$  that

## Application cont'd

- We write  $N = n\Psi$  for some real function  $\Psi$ .
- Identifying Euclidean vectors with Clifford vectors, we get

$$P_N(X) = \frac{1}{4\pi} \mathbf{Sc} \left\{ \int_{\mathcal{M}} \frac{\overline{X' - X}}{|X' - X|^3} n(X') \Psi(X') d\sigma(X') \right\}$$

or, equivalently

$$P_N(X) = \frac{1}{4\pi} \mathbf{Coeff}_{e_1} \left\{ \int_{\mathcal{M}} \frac{\overline{X' - X}}{|X' - X|^3} n(X') \Psi(X') e_1 d\sigma(X') \right\}.$$

- Writing the Hardy-Hodge decomposition  $\Psi e_1 = H^+ + H^- + D$ , we get by the Cauchy-Clifford formula and the orthogonality of  $D$  with  $n$  that

$$P_N(X) = \mathbf{Coeff}_{e_1} \{H^-(X)\}.$$

## Application cont'd

- We write  $N = n\Psi$  for some real function  $\Psi$ .
- Identifying Euclidean vectors with Clifford vectors, we get

$$P_N(X) = \frac{1}{4\pi} \mathbf{Sc} \left\{ \int_{\mathcal{M}} \frac{\overline{X' - X}}{|X' - X|^3} n(X') \Psi(X') d\sigma(X') \right\}$$

or, equivalently

$$P_N(X) = \frac{1}{4\pi} \mathbf{Coeff}_{e_1} \left\{ \int_{\mathcal{M}} \frac{\overline{X' - X}}{|X' - X|^3} n(X') \Psi(X') e_1 d\sigma(X') \right\}.$$

- Writing the Hardy-Hodge decomposition  $\Psi e_1 = H^+ + H^- + D$ , we get by the Cauchy-Clifford formula and the orthogonality of  $D$  with  $n$  that

$$P_N(X) = \mathbf{Coeff}_{e_1} \{H^-(X)\}.$$

- Of course we could trade  $e_1$  for any other  $e_j$ .

## Application cont'd

## Application cont'd

- We now consider  $P_T$  and write  $T = n \wedge W$  for some tangent vector field  $W$  (pointwise rotation of  $T$  by  $-\pi/2$  in the tangent plane).

## Application cont'd

- We now consider  $P_T$  and write  $T = n \wedge W$  for some tangent vector field  $W$  (pointwise rotation of  $T$  by  $-\pi/2$  in the tangent plane).
- Identifying Euclidean vectors with Clifford vectors, we get

## Application cont'd

- We now consider  $P_T$  and write  $T = n \wedge W$  for some tangent vector field  $W$  (pointwise rotation of  $T$  by  $-\pi/2$  in the tangent plane).
- Identifying Euclidean vectors with Clifford vectors, we get

$$P_T(X) = \frac{1}{4\pi} \mathbf{Coeff}_{e_1 e_2 e_3} \left\{ \int_{\mathcal{M}} \frac{\overline{X' - X}}{|X' - X|^3} n(X') W(X') d\sigma(X') \right\}.$$

## Application cont'd

- We now consider  $P_T$  and write  $T = n \wedge W$  for some tangent vector field  $W$  (pointwise rotation of  $T$  by  $-\pi/2$  in the tangent plane).
- Identifying Euclidean vectors with Clifford vectors, we get

$$P_T(X) = \frac{1}{4\pi} \mathbf{Coeff}_{e_1 e_2 e_3} \left\{ \int_{\mathcal{M}} \frac{\overline{X' - X}}{|X' - X|^3} n(X') W(X') d\sigma(X') \right\}.$$

- Writing the Hardy-Hodge decomposition  $W = H^+ + H^- + D$ , we get by the Cauchy-Clifford formula that harmonic gradients cannot contribute the  $e_1 e_2 e_3$  term, and that only  $D$  can.

## Application cont'd: silent sources

## Application cont'd: silent sources

- Altogether, we get:

## Application cont'd: silent sources

- Altogether, we get:

### Theorem

*Let  $\mathcal{M}$  be a smooth compact simply connected surface embedded in  $\mathbb{R}^3$ .*

## Application cont'd: silent sources

- Altogether, we get:

### Theorem

Let  $\mathcal{M}$  be a smooth compact simply connected surface embedded in  $\mathbb{R}^3$ . Let  $m = (m_1, m_2, m_3)^t$  be a (not necessarily tangent) vector field in  $L^p(\mathcal{M})$ ,  $1 < p < \infty$ .

## Application cont'd: silent sources

- Altogether, we get:

### Theorem

Let  $\mathcal{M}$  be a smooth compact simply connected surface embedded in  $\mathbb{R}^3$ . Let  $m = (m_1, m_2, m_3)^t$  be a (not necessarily tangent) vector field in  $L^p(\mathcal{M})$ ,  $1 < p < \infty$ . Put  $m = N + T$  for the normal and tangential components and define  $W$  by  $T = n \wedge W$ .

## Application cont'd: silent sources

- Altogether, we get:

### Theorem

Let  $\mathcal{M}$  be a smooth compact simply connected surface embedded in  $\mathbb{R}^3$ . Let  $m = (m_1, m_2, m_3)^t$  be a (not necessarily tangent) vector field in  $L^p(\mathcal{M})$ ,  $1 < p < \infty$ . Put  $m = N + T$  for the normal and tangential components and define  $W$  by  $T = n \wedge W$ . write the Hardy-Hodge decompositions:

$$|N|e_1 = H_1^+ + H_1^- + D_1, \quad W = H_2^+ + H_2^- + D_2.$$

## Application cont'd: silent sources

- Altogether, we get:

### Theorem

Let  $\mathcal{M}$  be a smooth compact simply connected surface embedded in  $\mathbb{R}^3$ . Let  $m = (m_1, m_2, m_3)^t$  be a (not necessarily tangent) vector field in  $L^p(\mathcal{M})$ ,  $1 < p < \infty$ . Put  $m = N + T$  for the normal and tangential components and define  $W$  by  $T = n \wedge W$ . write the Hardy-Hodge decompositions:

$$|N|e_1 = H_1^+ + H_1^- + D_1, \quad W = H_2^+ + H_2^- + D_2.$$

Then, the potential  $P_{div m}$  is zero in the unbounded component of  $\mathbb{R}^3 \setminus \mathcal{M}$  if, and only if the left monogenic function

$$H_1^- - \mathcal{C}(D_2 e_2 e_3)$$

has vanishing  $e_1$ -component.

# Remarks

# Remarks

## Remarks

- A thorough study of monogenic functions having only vector and degree 3 terms apparently remains to be done.

## Remarks

- A thorough study of monogenic functions having only vector and degree 3 terms apparently remains to be done. These seem to govern silent sources on surfaces for the function  $H_1^- - \mathcal{C}(D_2 e_2 e_3)$  is of this type.

## Remarks

- A thorough study of monogenic functions having only vector and degree 3 terms apparently remains to be done. These seem to govern silent sources on surfaces for the function  $H_1^- - \mathcal{C}(D_2 e_2 e_3)$  is of this type.
- On Lipschitz surfaces, the result still holds when the range of  $p$  is restricted to  $p > 2 - \varepsilon$  where  $\varepsilon$  depends on the Lipschitz constant of  $\mathcal{M}$ .

## Remarks

- A thorough study of monogenic functions having only vector and degree 3 terms apparently remains to be done. These seem to govern silent sources on surfaces for the function  $H_1^- - \mathcal{C}(D_2 e_2 e_3)$  is of this type.
- On Lipschitz surfaces, the result still holds when the range of  $p$  is restricted to  $p > 2 - \varepsilon$  where  $\varepsilon$  depends on the Lipschitz constant of  $\mathcal{M}$ .
- When  $\mathcal{M}$  is smooth, substitutes to  $L^1(\Gamma)$  and  $L^\infty(\Gamma)$  can be taken to be  $H^1(\Gamma)$  and  $BMO(\Gamma)$ .