

Math 545, Solutions to HW #2

(3a) $\mathbb{R} \setminus \mathbb{Q}$ is a G_δ -set in \mathbb{R} .

pf: $\forall q \in \mathbb{Q}$ the set $V_q = \mathbb{R} \setminus \{q\}$ is open and clearly $\mathbb{R} \setminus \mathbb{Q} = \bigcap_{q \in \mathbb{Q}} V_q$. Since \mathbb{Q} is countable $\mathbb{R} \setminus \mathbb{Q}$ is a G_δ -set.

(3b) \mathbb{Q} is not a G_δ -set in \mathbb{R}

Suppose \mathbb{Q} is a G_δ -set, i.e. spse $\exists W_n$, open $n=1, 2, \dots$ such that $\mathbb{Q} = \bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} W_n$. Since \mathbb{Q} is dense in \mathbb{R} and $\mathbb{Q} \subseteq W_n$ for each n , we have that each W_n is dense in \mathbb{R} .

Since $\mathbb{R} \setminus \mathbb{Q}$ is a G_δ -set $\mathbb{R} \setminus \mathbb{Q} = \bigcap_n V_n$, V_n open and dense in \mathbb{R} . Then

$$\emptyset = \mathbb{Q} \cap \mathbb{R} \setminus \mathbb{Q} = \left(\bigcap_n W_n \right) \cap \left(\bigcap_n V_n \right)$$

is a countable intersection of dense open sets,

but this contradicts the Baire Category Theorem.

#4, to show: measures are subadditive

Let $A_n \in \mathcal{M}$ to show: $\mu(\bigcup_n A_n) \leq \sum_n \mu(A_n)$

pf: As in the proof of Theorem 1.4 for the given $A_n \in \mathcal{M}$ we can construct $E_n \in \mathcal{M}$ that are mutually disjoint and satisfy $\bigcup_n A_n = \bigcup_n E_n$ and $E_n \subseteq A_n$ for each n (see page 3 of the notes). Then

$$\begin{aligned} \mu(\bigcup_n A_n) &= \mu(\bigcup_n E_n) \stackrel{\mu \text{ is a measure}}{\leq} \sum_n \mu(E_n) \\ &\leq \sum_n \mu(A_n) \text{ by the monotonicity of } \mu. \end{aligned}$$

#5 Let μ be a measure on the Borel subsets of \mathbb{R} with $\mu(K) < \infty \forall K$ compact.

Set

$$F(x) = \begin{cases} \mu((0, x]) & \text{if } x > 0 \\ 0 & \text{if } x = 0 \\ -\mu((x, 0]) & \text{if } x < 0 \end{cases}$$

(a) $\forall a < b \quad \mu((a, b]) = F(b) - F(a)$

First note that $\mu((c, d]) \leq \mu(\overline{(c, d]}) < \infty$

$\forall c < d, c, d \in \mathbb{R}$. Now consider 3 cases for $a < b$:

① $0 \leq a < b$: If $a = 0$, then $F(b) - F(a) = F(b) = \mu((0, b]) = \mu((a, b])$.

If $a > 0$, then $F(b) - F(a) = \mu((0, b]) - \mu((0, a]) = \mu((a, b])$
since $(a, b] = (0, b] \setminus (0, a]$.

(2) $a < 0 < b$ and (3) $a < b \leq 0$ are similar. (3)

Thus $F(b) - F(a) = \mu((a, b])$ for all $a, b \in \mathbb{R}$
 $a < b$

#(5b) Hence if $x < y$, then $F(y) - F(x) = \mu((x, y]) \geq 0$, so F is nondecreasing.

Let $b \in \mathbb{R}$. To show: F is cont. from the right at b , i.e.

$\lim_{x \rightarrow b^+} F(x) = F(b)$. Step 1: Set $A_n = (b, b + \frac{1}{n}]$, then

$A_1 \supseteq A_2 \supseteq \dots$ and $\mu(A_1) = \mu(b, b+1] \leq \mu[b, b+1] < \infty$,
so $\mu(A_n) \rightarrow \mu(\bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} A_n)$ by a Theorem from class.

$\bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} A_n = \bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} (b, b + \frac{1}{n}] = \emptyset$. Hence

$F(b + \frac{1}{n}) - F(b) = \mu((b, b + \frac{1}{n}]) = \mu(A_n) \xrightarrow{n \rightarrow \infty} \mu(\bigcap_{j=1}^{\infty} A_j) = \mu(\emptyset) = 0$

So $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} F(b + \frac{1}{n}) = F(b)$. Step 2: If $F(x)$ is

nondecreasing and if $x_k \in \mathbb{R}$, $x_k \geq 0 \forall k$ $x_k \rightarrow 0$,

and if $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} F(b + \frac{1}{n}) = F(b)$, then $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} F(b + x_k) = F(b)$

(advanced calculus fact). Let $\varepsilon > 0$, then $\exists n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$
 $\exists 0 \leq F(b + \frac{1}{n_0}) - F(b) < \varepsilon$. $x_k \rightarrow 0$ so $\exists K_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ such

that $\forall k \geq K_0$ $0 \leq x_k < \frac{1}{n_0}$. Thus $F(b + x_k) \leq F(b + \frac{1}{n_0})$

and $0 \leq F(b + x_k) - F(b) \leq F(b + \frac{1}{n_0}) - F(b) < \varepsilon \quad \forall k \geq K_0$

5c) The proof of this is similar to the continuity proof of (5b).

Fact: Since $F(x)$ is nondecreasing for all $b \in \mathbb{R}$
 $F(b^-) = \lim_{x \rightarrow b^-} F(x) = \sup \{ F(x) : x < b \}$ exists.

The size of the jump at b is $F(b) - F(b^-)$.

We have $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} F(b - \frac{1}{n}) = F(b^-)$ (since this

limit exists (by the fact, which I did not prove here))

Set $B_n = (b - \frac{1}{n}, b]$, then $\bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} B_n = \{b\}$.

$\mu(B_1) = \mu(b-1, b] \leq \mu([b-1, b]) < \infty$, thus by

theorem from class $\mu(B_n) \rightarrow \mu(\bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} B_n) = \mu(\{b\})$

but $\mu(B_n) = F(b) - F(b - \frac{1}{n}) \rightarrow F(b) - F(b^-)$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$

hence $\mu(\{b\}) = F(b) - F(b^-)$ the size of the jump

and $\mu(\{b\}) = 0 \Leftrightarrow F(b) = F(b^-) \Leftrightarrow F$ is cont. at b
(since we already know the continuity on the right)

6 Let X be uncountable, let $E \subseteq X$

$$\mu^*(E) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } E \text{ is countable} \\ 1 & \text{if } E \text{ is uncountable} \end{cases}$$

claim: μ^* is an outer measure

(a) $\mu^*(\emptyset) = 0$ since \emptyset is countable

(b) If $A \subseteq B$, then we ~~have 2 cases~~ consider 2 cases: B countable B uncountable

If B is countable, then A is countable, so $\mu^*(A) = \mu^*(B) = 0$. If B is not countable, then $\mu^*(B) = 1$ and no matter what A is we must have $\mu^*(A) \leq 1 = \mu^*(B)$.

(c) Let $A_n \subseteq X$. Case 1: ~~if all~~ all A_n 's are countable, $\mu^*(A_n) = 0 \forall n$. Then $\bigcup_n A_n$ is countable and $\mu^*(\bigcup_n A_n) = 0 \leq \sum_n \mu^*(A_n)$.
Case 2: If one A_n (or more of them) is uncountable, then $\sum_n \mu^*(A_n) \geq 1$. Hence $\mu^*(\bigcup_n A_n) \leq 1 \leq \sum_n \mu^*(A_n)$.

claim: $E \subseteq X$ is μ^* -measurable \iff either E or E^c is countable

pf: Spse either E or E^c is countable and $A \subseteq X$.

Case 1: A countable $\implies \mu^*(A \cap E) = \mu^*(A \cap E^c) = \mu^*(A) = 0$
so $\mu^*(A \cap E) + \mu^*(A \cap E^c) = \mu^*(A)$

Case 2: ~~A countable~~ A uncountable, so $\mu^*(A) = 1$

(6)

If E is countable, then $A \cap E$ is countable and since $A = (A \cap E) \cup (A \cap E^c)$ we must have that $A \cap E^c$ is uncountable so $\mu^*(A \cap E) + \mu^*(A \cap E^c) = 0 + 1 = 1 = \mu^*(A)$.

The case where E^c is countable is very similar to this.

This shows that if E or E^c is countable, then E is measurable.

Now assume that neither E nor E^c are countable, so both are uncountable.

Take $A = X$. Then

$$\mu^*(A \cap E) + \mu^*(A \cap E^c) = \mu^*(E) + \mu^*(E^c) = 2$$

$> 1 = \mu^*(X)$, so E is not

μ^* measurable