

1 (a)

$$u_{i,j+1} - ra(u_{i-1,j+1} - 2u_{i,j+1} + u_{i+1,j+1}) = u_{i,j}$$

$$-rau_{i-1,j+1} + (1+2ra)u_{i,j+1} - ra u_{i+1,j+1} = u_{i,j}$$

→ if we assume $u(0,t) = g_L$, $u(\pi, t) = g_R$
then for $i=1$:

$$-rag_L + (1+2ra)u_{1,j+1} - ra u_{2,j+1} = u_{1,j}$$

and for $i=N-1$

$$-rau_{N-2,j+1} + (1+2ra)u_{N-1,j+1} - rag_R = u_{N-1,j}$$

so our matrix problem looks like:

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1+2ra & -ra \\ -ra & 1+2ra -ra \\ 0 & -ra & 1+2ra & -ra \\ & \vdots & & \\ & -ra & 1+2ra & -ra \\ & -ra & 1+2ra & \\ & & & \ddots & & \\ & & & & & \end{bmatrix} \vec{u}_{j+1} = \vec{u}_j + \begin{bmatrix} rag_L \\ 0 \\ \vdots \\ 0 \\ rag_R \\ \vdots \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

A

$$\Rightarrow \vec{u}_{j+1} = A^{-1}\vec{u}_j + A^{-1}\vec{b}$$

and the stability is determined by whether
or not $\|A^{-1}\| \leq 1$.

The eigenvalues of A are:

(pg 59 in Smith)

$$\lambda_s = 1 + 2ra + 2ra \cos\left(\frac{s\pi}{N}\right) \quad \text{for } s=1, 2, \dots, N-1$$

so the eigenvalues of A^{-1} are

$$\frac{1}{\lambda_s} = \frac{1}{1 + 2ra + 2ra \cos\left(\frac{s\pi}{N}\right)}$$

notice that the smallest λ_s can be is

$$\lambda_1 = 1 + 2ra(1 + \cos\left(\frac{\pi}{N}\right))$$

$$= 1 + 4ra \cos^2\left(\frac{\pi}{2N}\right) > 1$$

$$\text{since } 0 < \frac{\pi}{2N} < \frac{\pi}{2} \quad \text{for all } s$$

$$\Rightarrow \frac{1}{\lambda_s} < 1 \quad \text{for all } s$$

\Rightarrow since A is symmetric, then A^{-1} is symmetric

and

$$\|A^{-1}\|_2 = \max\left\{\frac{1}{\lambda_s}\right\} < 1 \Rightarrow \text{the FDS is}$$

unconditionally stable.

(b)

The discretization error is

$$e_i^j := \bar{u}_{i,j} - u_{i,j}$$

where $\bar{u}_{i,j}$ = approx solution = solution to FDE

$u_{i,j}$ = solution to PDE

$$\Rightarrow \bar{u}_{i,j} = u_{i,j} - e_i^j$$

Putting into the FDS, we get:

$$u_{i,j} - e_i^j = u_{i,j+1} - e_i^{j+1} - ra \left(u_{i-1,j+1} - 2u_{i,j+1} + u_{i+1,j+1} \right) \\ + ra \left(e_{i-1}^{j+1} - 2e_i^{j+1} + e_{i+1}^{j+1} \right)$$

$$\Rightarrow (\#) \quad e_i^j = u_{i,j} - u_{i,j+1} + ra \left(u_{i-1,j+1} - 2u_{i,j+1} + u_{i+1,j+1} \right) \\ + e_i^{j+1} - ra \left(e_{i-1}^{j+1} - 2e_i^{j+1} + e_{i+1}^{j+1} \right)$$

The Local truncation error is defined to be the value of the FDS evaluated at the solution to the PDE :

$$T_{i,j} = \frac{u_{i,j+1} - u_{i,j}}{k} - a \left(\frac{u_{i-1,j+1} - 2u_{i,j+1} + u_{i+1,j+1}}{h^2} \right)$$

and using Taylor series we can show that

$$T_{i,j} = \Theta(k) + \Theta(h^2)$$

Subbing into $\#$, we see :

$$e_i^j = -kT_{i,j} + e_i^{j+1} - ra(e_{i-1}^{j+1} - 2e_i^{j+1} + e_{i+1}^{j+1})$$

$$\Rightarrow |e_i^j| \geq |e_i^{j+1}|(1+2ra) - ra|e_{i-1}^{j+1}| - ra|e_{i+1}^{j+1}| - k|T_{i,j}|$$

for a fixed j , take the max over all values in space

on that time level and let $E^j = \max_i |e_i^j|$

$$\Rightarrow \underbrace{k \max_i |T_{i,j}|}_{\text{constant}} + E^j \geq (1+2ra)E^{j+1}$$

$$\Rightarrow k(\Theta(k) + \Theta(h^2)) + E^j \geq (1+2ra)E^{j+1} \geq E^{j+1}$$

$$\Rightarrow E^j \leq \underbrace{E^0}_{\text{initial value}} + jk(\Theta(k) + \Theta(h^2)) \\ = jk(\Theta(k) + \Theta(h^2)) \leq JR(\Theta(k) + \Theta(h^2))$$

where T is such that $Tk = T$ (some fixed time T)

$$\Rightarrow E^j \leq T(\theta(k) + \theta(h^2))$$

and so regardless of our choice of j , we have
that E^j (and so each e_i^j) goes to zero
like $\theta(k) + \theta(h^2)$ as $h, k \rightarrow 0$.