

## THE CLASSIFICATION OF MATRICES

It is a fundamental result of Linear Algebra that, in any dimension  $n$ , there is a small number of simple ‘standard forms’ so that every  $n \times n$  matrix is equivalent to one in standard form. ‘Equivalent’ is understood in the usual sense of the change of basis formula: if  $\Lambda$  is the standard form of  $A$ , there is an invertible matrix  $P$  so that  $P^{-1}AP = \Lambda$ . ( $\Lambda$  is uniquely defined given  $A$ -except for the order in which the eigenvalues appear- but many different  $P$  will work).

This notion of equivalence is natural- it means that, with respect to the basis of  $\mathbb{R}^n$  given by the columns of  $P$ , the action of  $A$  is very simple. In addition, reduction to standard form is an extremely useful procedure- for example, to solve systems of linear differential equations or difference equations.

The simplest situation occurs if  $A$  is diagonalizable with real eigenvalues- then the standard form is purely diagonal, with the eigenvalues themselves as diagonal entries. Allowing complex eigenvalues (that is, when  $\mathbb{C}^n$  has a basis consisting of eigenvectors of  $A$ ) introduces the minor complication of  $2 \times 2$  blocks on the diagonal, of the form  $\begin{bmatrix} a & b \\ -b & a \end{bmatrix}$ . When  $n = 2$ , there is only one other possibility ( $A$  has a double real eigenvalue and is not diagonalizable- example 1 below). When  $n = 3$ , there are 3 non-diagonalizable ‘standard forms’. The purpose of this handout is to describe the cases  $n = 2, 3$  completely. The general case is dealt with in ‘advanced’ courses, but follows the same pattern. But keep in mind that, if you pick an  $n \times n$  matrix ‘at random’, the probability of picking a non-diagonalizable one is ZERO. (It is like trying to hit  $(0,0)$  by throwing darts at  $\mathbb{R}^2$ - you’d have to be very unlucky.)

### Example 1.

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} 3 & -1 \\ 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix}.$$

The characteristic polynomial is  $p(\lambda) = (\lambda - 2)^2$ , so 2 is the only eigenvalue. To compute its eigenspace, we solve the homogeneous system:

$$(A - 2I)v = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & -1 \\ 1 & -1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} x \\ y \end{bmatrix} = 0,$$

and obtain:

$$E(2) = \{t(1, 1); t \in \mathbb{R}\}.$$

So  $E(2)$  is one-dimensional; since there are no other eigenvalues,  $A$  is not diagonalizable. To find a basis of  $\mathbb{R}^2$  in which  $A$  acts in a simple way, we need another vector. We find one by picking an eigenvector (say,  $v = (1, 1)$  itself) and solving the non-homogeneous system for  $w$ :

$$(A - 2I)w = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & -1 \\ 1 & -1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} x \\ y \end{bmatrix} = v = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix},$$

which is really the single equation  $x - y = 1$ . Any solution will do; take, say,  $w = (1, 0)$ . Then the action of  $A$  on the basis  $\mathcal{B} = \{v, w\}$  is extremely simple:

$$Av = 2v; \quad Aw = 2w + v.$$

This means the matrix of  $A$  in this basis is:

$$[A]_{\mathcal{B}} = \begin{bmatrix} 2 & 1 \\ 0 & 2 \end{bmatrix} := \Lambda.$$

This  $\Lambda$  is the ‘standard form’ of  $A$ . A matrix  $P$  satisfying  $P^{-1}AP = \Lambda$  is given by the vectors of  $\mathcal{B}$  as columns: (*Watch the order!*)

$$P = [v|w] = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Note that, although  $(A - 2I)w \neq 0$  (since  $w$  is not an eigenvector), we do have:

$$(A - 2I)^2w = (A - 2I)(A - 2I)w = (A - 2I)v = 0,$$

since  $v$  is an eigenvector.  $w$  is said to be a ‘generalized eigenvector of order 2’.

The general fact behind the classification is that, even when there is no basis of  $\mathbb{R}^n$  consisting of eigenvectors of  $A$ , there is always a (computable!) basis consisting of *generalized* eigenvectors (that is  $v$  and  $\lambda$  satisfying  $(A - \lambda I)^k v = 0$  for some  $k \geq 0$  ( $k$  is at most  $n$ )). This very simple and general fact should be known to the average educated citizen, but usually gets buried under a mountain of impenetrable jargon in an ‘advanced’ course. (Behind the jargon are important concepts that make a proof for arbitrary  $n$  feasible.)

In summary, there are three ‘standard forms’ in the  $2 \times 2$  case:

$$\begin{bmatrix} \lambda & 0 \\ 0 & \mu \end{bmatrix}, \quad \begin{bmatrix} a & b \\ -b & a \end{bmatrix}, \quad \begin{bmatrix} \lambda & 1 \\ 0 & \lambda \end{bmatrix}.$$

In the first one,  $A$  is diagonalizable with real eigenvalues ( $\lambda$  may be equal to  $\mu$ , but only if  $A$  is just  $\lambda$  times the identity matrix, already in the standard basis). In the second, we take  $b > 0$ ;  $A$  has a complex-conjugate pair of eigenvalues:  $\lambda = a \pm ib$ . The third case is non-diagonalizable:  $\lambda$  has algebraic multiplicity two (i.e., is a double root of the characteristic polynomial), but ‘geometric multiplicity’ 1 (dimension of the eigenspace). The corresponding matrices  $P = [v|w]$  are easily computable: in the first case,  $v$  (resp.  $w$ ) is an eigenvector for  $\lambda$  (resp.  $\mu$ ); in the second,  $v$  (resp.  $w$ ) is the real part (resp. imaginary part) of an eigenvector for  $a + ib$  (where  $b > 0$ ). In the last case,  $v$  is an eigenvector for  $\lambda$  and  $w$  is any solution of the non-homogeneous system  $(A - \lambda I)w = v$ .

Moving on to  $n = 3$ , the diagonalizable (or complex-diagonalizable) cases are easy; their standard forms are:

$$\begin{bmatrix} \lambda & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \mu & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \gamma \end{bmatrix}, \quad \begin{bmatrix} a & b & 0 \\ -b & a & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \gamma \end{bmatrix}.$$

In the first case,  $A$  is diagonalizable with real eigenvalues; in fact, two of  $\lambda, \mu, \gamma$  may coincide, or even all three (if  $A$  is already of the form  $\lambda$  times the identity). In any case, the dimensions of the eigenspaces add up to 3. In the second,  $A$  has a real eigenvalue  $\gamma$  and a complex-conjugate pair of eigenvalues  $a \pm ib$ . The diagonalizing matrix  $P$  is given by  $P = [v|w|u]$ , where  $u$  is an eigenvector for  $\gamma$  and  $v$  (resp.  $w$ ) is the real part (resp. imaginary part) of an eigenvector for  $a + ib$  (where  $b > 0$ ). Just as in two dimensions.

There are three non-diagonalizable cases (depending on how you count!) The first one has standard form:

$$\begin{bmatrix} \lambda & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & \lambda & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \mu \end{bmatrix}.$$

(We assume  $\lambda \neq \mu$ !) This is easily understood as a ‘non-diagonalizable  $2 \times 2$  block’ for the eigenvalue  $\lambda$ , followed by a real eigenvalue  $\mu$ . Here we have:  $\dim(E(\lambda)) = \dim(E(\mu)) = 1$ . The difference is that  $\mu$  has algebraic multiplicity 1- it is a simple root of the characteristic polynomial-while  $\lambda$  is a double root (i.e., it has algebraic multiplicity 2). The ‘diagonalizing matrix’  $P = [v|w|u]$  consists of any eigenvectors  $v$  (resp.  $u$ ) for  $\lambda$  (resp.  $\mu$ ), plus a ‘generalized eigenvector’  $w$  for  $\lambda$ , satisfying  $(A - \lambda I)w = v$ . The action of  $A$  on the basis  $\mathcal{B} = \{v, w, u\}$  is:

$$Av = \lambda v, \quad Aw = \lambda w + v, \quad Au = \mu u.$$

The two other cases are best explained using explicit examples.

**Example 2.**

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} -3 & 9 & 1 \\ -4 & 9 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 3 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Here the characteristic polynomial is  $p(\lambda) = (\lambda - 3)^3$ . So 3 is the only eigenvalue, with algebraic multiplicity 3. To compute its eigenspace, we solve the homogeneous system:

$$(A - 3I)v = \begin{bmatrix} -6 & 9 & 1 \\ -4 & 6 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} x \\ y \\ z \end{bmatrix} = 0.$$

The first two equations give:

$$-2x + 3y + (1/3)z = 0, \quad -2x + 3y + (1/2)z = 0,$$

so it follows that  $z = 0$  and  $2x = 3y$ . Hence  $E(3)$  is one-dimensional:

$$E(3) = \{t(3, 2, 0), t \in \mathbb{R}\}.$$

To get a basis for  $\mathbb{R}^3$  we need two more vectors, which will be ‘generalized eigenvectors’ for  $\lambda = 3$ . We use the same method as when  $n = 2$ ; pick a (non-zero) eigenvector (say,  $v = (3, 2, 0)$  itself), and solve the non-homogeneous system:

$$(A - 3I)w = \begin{bmatrix} -6 & 9 & 1 \\ -4 & 6 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} x \\ y \\ z \end{bmatrix} = v = \begin{bmatrix} 3 \\ 2 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}.$$

This reduces to the equations:

$$-2x + 3y + (1/3)z = 1, \quad -2x + 3y + (1/2)z = 1,$$

so again it follows that  $z = 0$ , and  $x, y$  satisfy:  $-2x + 3y = 1$  (\*). Any solution of this will do; say,  $x = y = 1$ , leading to  $w = (1, 1, 0)$ .

Now, here you might think that one could get a third vector  $u$  simply by taking another (linearly independent) solution of (\*)- say,  $x = 0, y = 1/3$ , but this won’t work. The reason is that any two pairs  $(x, y)$  solving (\*) differ by a solution of  $-2x + 3y = 0$ , exactly the equation defining the eigenspace. So if we did this,  $u - w$  would be a multiple of  $v$ , and the matrix  $P = [v|w|u]$  would not be invertible. We have to try something else!

A natural thing to try is to simply repeat the procedure that generated  $w$ , that is, solve the non-homogeneous system:

$$(A - 3I)u = \begin{bmatrix} -6 & 9 & 1 \\ -4 & 6 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} x \\ y \\ z \end{bmatrix} = w = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}.$$

This time we get:

$$-2x + 3y + (1/3)z = 1/3, \quad -2x + 3y + (1/2)z = 1/2,$$

and subtracting yields  $(1/6)z = 1/6$ , or  $z = 1$ . Then  $(x, y)$  can be *any* solution of  $-2x + 3y = 0$ , including  $x = y = 0$ , which gives  $u = (0, 0, 1)$ . The procedure worked: we have for the action of  $A$  on the basis  $\mathcal{B} = \{v, w, u\}$ :

$$Av = 3v, \quad Aw = 3w + v, \quad Au = 3u + w,$$

so the ‘standard form’ of  $A$  is:

$$\Lambda = [A]_{\mathcal{B}} = \begin{bmatrix} 3 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 3 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 3 \end{bmatrix}.$$

The matrix  $P = [v|w|u]$  brings  $A$  to standard form:  $P^{-1}AP = \Lambda$ . Note that  $w$  and  $u$  are ‘generalized eigenvectors’ of orders 2 and 3 (respectively):

$$(A - 3I)^3 u = (A - 3I)(A - 3I)(A - 3I)u = (A - 3I)(A - 3I)w = (A - 3I)v = 0.$$

There is one possibility left when  $n = 3$ .

**Example 3.**

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} -3 & 9 & 0 \\ -4 & 9 & 0 \\ -6 & 9 & 3 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Again, the characteristic polynomial is  $p(\lambda) = (\lambda - 3)^3$ , so  $\lambda = 3$  is the only eigenvalue. As always, we begin by computing its eigenspace:

$$(A - 3I)v = \begin{bmatrix} -6 & 9 & 0 \\ -4 & 6 & 0 \\ -6 & 9 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} x \\ y \\ z \end{bmatrix} = 0.$$

This amounts to the single equation:  $-2x + 3y = 0$  (with  $z$  unconstrained), so this time we have a two-dimensional eigenspace:

$$E(3) = \{s(3, 2, 0) + t(0, 0, 1); s, t \in \mathbb{R}\}.$$

We only need one more vector  $w$  to build a basis, and (given recent experience) to find it we solve the non-homogeneous system:

$$(A - 3I)w = \begin{bmatrix} -6 & 9 & 0 \\ -4 & 6 & 0 \\ -6 & 9 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} x \\ y \\ z \end{bmatrix} = v,$$

where  $v$  is an eigenvector. Previously we've had essentially only one choice (up to constant multiples), but now we must face the question:

*Which eigenvector do we pick?*

The choice is not at all arbitrary, if we want our non-homogeneous system to be consistent. So pick a generic vector  $v = s(3, 2, 0) + t(0, 0, 1)$  in  $E(3)$ , and try to solve:

$$\begin{bmatrix} -6 & 9 & 0 \\ -4 & 6 & 0 \\ -6 & 9 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} x \\ y \\ z \end{bmatrix} = v = \begin{bmatrix} 3s \\ 2s \\ t \end{bmatrix}.$$

This leads to:

$$-2x + 3y = s, \quad -2x + 3y = s, \quad -2x + 3y = t/3,$$

so we need  $s = t/3$  to get a consistent system. Any non-zero values will do; say  $t = 3, s = 1$ , which gives  $v = (3, 2, 3)$ , and the equation for  $w$ :  $-2x + 3y = 1$  ( $z$  unconstrained). Any solution will do here- say,  $x = y = 1, z = 0$ , and then  $w = (1, 1, 0)$ .

Having picked  $v \in E(3)$  and  $w$  satisfying  $(A - 3I)w = v$ , for  $u$  we may take any vector in  $E(3)$  linearly independent with  $w$ - say,  $u = (0, 0, 1)$ . The action of  $A$  on the basis  $\mathcal{B} = \{v, w, u\}$  is then:

$$Av = 3v, \quad Aw = 3w + v, \quad Au = 3u,$$

and we have the standard form for  $A$ :

$$\Lambda = [A]_{\mathcal{B}} = \begin{bmatrix} 3 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 3 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 3 \end{bmatrix}.$$

(This looks like the first non-diagonalizable  $3 \times 3$  case listed above before example 2, when  $\lambda = \mu$ , but it is best to count it separately). The matrix  $P$  taking  $A$  to standard form is (of course)  $P = [v|w|u]$ , and  $P^{-1}AP = \Lambda$ .

So, to complete the list, the two  $3 \times 3$  non-diagonalizable standard forms just described are:

$$\begin{bmatrix} \lambda & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & \lambda & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & \lambda \end{bmatrix}, \quad \begin{bmatrix} \lambda & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & \lambda & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \lambda \end{bmatrix}.$$

The dimensions of the eigenspaces are:  $\dim E(\lambda)=1$  in the first case,  $\dim E(\lambda) = 2$  in the second. The algebraic multiplicity of  $\lambda$  is 3 in both cases.

**EXERCISES.** This topic is not difficult, but you won't learn it unless you get some practice. So here are **10** problems for you. In each case, a matrix  $A$  is given, and also its eigenvalues (listed with *algebraic* multiplicities). Your mission (which you would choose not to accept at your peril) is to find the standard form in each case, as well as the matrix that reduces  $A$  to its standard form.

$$1. \begin{bmatrix} 3 & 4 \\ -1 & 7 \end{bmatrix} \quad \text{eigenvalues: } 5, 5.$$

$$2. \begin{bmatrix} 4 & -2 \\ 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \quad \text{eigenvalues: } 2, 3.$$

$$3. \begin{bmatrix} -7 & 15 \\ -6 & 11 \end{bmatrix} \quad \text{eigenvalues: } 2 \pm 3i.$$

$$4. \begin{bmatrix} -4 & 9 & 0 \\ -4 & 8 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 5 \end{bmatrix} \quad \text{eigenvalues: } 2, 2, 5.$$

$$5. \begin{bmatrix} -4 & 9 & 0 \\ -6 & 11 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 2 \end{bmatrix} \quad \text{eigenvalues: } 2, 2, 5.$$

$$6. \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 12 & 0 \\ -1 & 8 & 0 \\ 1 & -3 & 4 \end{bmatrix} \quad \text{eigenvalues: } 4, 4, 5.$$

$$7. \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 12 & 0 \\ -1 & 8 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 5 \end{bmatrix} \quad \text{eigenvalues: } 4, 5, 5.$$

8.  $\begin{bmatrix} -3 & 24 & 12 \\ -2 & 11 & 4 \\ 4 & -16 & 5 \end{bmatrix}$  eigenvalues:  $3, 5 \pm 4i$ .

9.  $\begin{bmatrix} 7 & -9 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 & 0 \\ -1 & 4 & 4 \end{bmatrix}$  eigenvalues:  $4, 4, 4$ .

10.  $\begin{bmatrix} 4 & 0 & 3 \\ 0 & 4 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 4 \end{bmatrix}$  eigenvalues:  $4, 4, 4$ .

**Answers.** Note that (when  $n = 2$  or  $3$ ) to find the standard form it is enough to know the dimensions of the eigenspaces; this is false in dimensions 4 and higher. The matrices below are given by **rows**, in the following notation:

$$[[a, b][c, d]] = \begin{bmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{bmatrix}.$$

Recall that there is a unique correct answer for  $\Lambda$ , except for the order of the eigenvalues along the diagonal (which affects the order of the columns of  $P$ ), but many possible correct answers for  $P$ , other than the one given. The first matrix given is  $\Lambda$ ; the second is  $P$ .

- 1.**[[5,1][0,5]];[[2,-1][1,0]]
- 2.**[[2,0][0,3]];[[1,2][1,1]]
- 3.**[[2,3][-3,2]];[[5,0][3,1]]
- 4.**[[2,1,0][0,2,0][0,0,5]];[[3,1,0][2,1,0][0,0,1]]
- 5.**[[2,0,0][0,2,0][0,0,5]];[[3,0,1][2,0,1][0,1,1]]
- 6.**[[4,1,0][0,4,0][0,0,5]];[[0,4,3][0,1,1][1,0,0]]
- 7.**[[5,0,0][0,5,0][0,0,4]];[[3,0,4][1,0,1][0,1,0]]
- 8.**[[5,4,0][-4,5,0][0,0,3]];[[ -12,13,4][-3,1,1][1,0,0]]
- 9.**[[4,1,0][0,4,1][0,0,4]];[[0,3,4][0,1,1][1,0,0]]
- 10.**[[4,1,0][0,4,1][0,0,4]];[[3,0,1][1,1,1][0,0,1]]