

ASYMPTOTIC DIMENSION OF GROUPS

N. BRODSKIY

ABSTRACT. These notes are based on the paper [2].

CONTENTS

1. Ultrametric spaces	1
2. Universal ultrametric spaces	2
3. Ultrametric spaces and Lipschitz maps	4
4. Assouad-Nagata dimension	6
5. Locally finite countable groups	7
6. Coarse equivalence of groups	10
References	11

1. ULTRAMETRIC SPACES

Definition 1.1. A metric space (X, d) is called *ultrametric* if for all $x, y, z \in X$ we have $d(x, z) \leq \max\{d(x, y), d(y, z)\}$.

An ultrametric space X can be characterized by any of the following properties:

- **Isosceles triangles.** If a triangle in a space X has sides (distances between vertices) $a \leq b \leq c$, then $b = c$.
- **Radius \geq diameter.** For any ball its radius is greater or equal to its diameter.
- **Disjoint balls.** Two balls of radius D are either D -disjoint or identical.

Proposition 1.2. *Let (X, d) be a metric space. The metric d is an ultrametric if and only if $f(d)$ is an ultrametric for every nondecreasing function $f: \mathbb{R}_+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$.*

Proof. If d is ultrametric and $a \leq b = c$ are sides of a triangle in (X, d) then $f(a) \leq f(b) = f(c)$ are sides of the corresponding triangle in $(X, f(d))$ and therefore $f(d)$ is an ultrametric.

If d is not an ultrametric then there is a triangle in (X, d) with sides $a \leq b < c$. Consider the function

$$f(t) = \begin{cases} t & \text{if } t \leq b \\ \frac{2b}{c-b}t + \frac{bc-3b^2}{c-b} & \text{if } t \geq b \end{cases}$$

The sides of the corresponding triangle in $(X, f(d))$ are $f(a) \leq f(b) = b < 3b = f(c)$ which contradicts the triangle inequality. \square

Exercise 1.3. Let d be the standard metric on the real line \mathbb{R} and q be a positive real number.

Is d^q a metric on \mathbb{R} ? [Not if $q > 1$]

If yes, what is the length of the interval $[0, 1]$ in (\mathbb{R}, d^q) ?

Definition 1.4. A metric is said to be 10^n -valued if the only positive values assumed by the metric are 10^n , $n \in \mathbb{Z}$.

Exercise 1.5. Any 10^n -valued metric is an ultrametric.

Exercise 1.6. Any x^n -valued metric is an ultrametric if $x > 2$.

Lemma 1.7. Any ultrametric space can be equipped with a 10^n -valued ultrametric.

Proof. Apply Proposition 1.2 with the following function f :

$$f(d) = 10^n \quad \text{if} \quad 10^{n-1} < d \leq 10^n.$$

□

Exercise 1.8. Let (X, d) be a metric space and f is a nondecreasing function $f: \mathbb{R}_+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$. Consider the identity map $F: (X, d) \rightarrow (X, f(d))$. When is F continuous? [Answer: when $\lim_{t \rightarrow 0} f(t) = 0$] When is F^{-1} continuous? [Always].

2. UNIVERSAL ULTRAMETRIC SPACES

Let us introduce a new metric on the set \mathbb{R} of real numbers. Given two numbers $a, b \in \mathbb{R}$, write them using decimal presentation:

$$a = a_k a_{k-1} \dots a_1 a_0 . a_{-1} a_{-2} \dots$$

$$b = b_n b_{n-1} \dots b_1 b_0 . b_{-1} b_{-2} \dots$$

Then look at these numbers from left to right and find the first index m_{ab} where the presentations are different. Put $\mu(a, b) = 10^{m_{ab}}$.

Exercise 2.1. Prove that (\mathbb{R}, μ) is an ultrametric space.

Let us describe an ultrametric space (L_ω, μ) which is universal for all separable ultrametric spaces with 10^n -valued metrics. This space appeared naturally in different areas of mathematics (see for example [3] and references therein). Let us fix a countable set S with a distinguished element $s_0 \in S$. The set L_ω is a subset of the set of infinite sequences $\bar{x} = \{x_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}}$ with all elements x_n from the set S . A sequence \bar{x} belongs to L_ω if there exists an index $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that $x_n = s_0$ for all $n > k$. The metric μ is defined as $\mu(\bar{x}, \bar{y}) = 10^m$ where $m \in \mathbb{Z}$ is the maximal index such that $x_m \neq y_m$. Clearly, the space L_ω is a complete separable 10^m -valued ultrametric space.

To prove that any separable ultrametric space with 10^n -valued metric embeds isometrically into (L_ω, μ) we follow the idea of P.S. Urysohn [4] and show that the space L_ω is *finitely injective*:

Lemma 2.2. Let (X, d) be a finite metric space with 10^n -valued metric d . For any subspace $A \subset X$, any isometric map $f: A \rightarrow L_\omega$ admits an isometric extension $\tilde{f}: X \rightarrow L_\omega$.

Proof. It is sufficient to prove Lemma in case $X \setminus A$ consists of one point x . In such case we have to find a point $\bar{z} \in L_\omega$ such that $\mu(\bar{z}, f(a)) = d(x, a)$ for every point $a \in A$. Let $A_x = \{a \in A \mid d(x, a) = d(x, A)\}$ be the set of all points in A closest to x and let $d(x, A) = 10^n$. Fix a point $b \in A_x$ and define $\bar{z} = \{z_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}}$ as follows: $z_m = f(b)_m$ if $m > n$; $z_m = s_0$ if $m < n$; z_n is any element of the set S other than $f(c)_n$ for any point $c \in A_x$.

Clearly, $\mu(\bar{z}, f(c)) = 10^n = d(x, c)$ for any point $c \in A_x$. For any point $a \in A \setminus A_x$ we have $d(a, x) = d(a, b) = 10^m > 10^n$ which means that $f(a)_m \neq f(b)_m = z_m$ and therefore $\mu(\bar{z}, f(a)) = 10^m = d(x, a)$. \square

Exercise 2.3. Is the space L_ω finitely homogeneous (i.e. any isometry $f: A \rightarrow B$ of finite subsets $A, B \subset L_\omega$ extends to a surjective isometry of the whole space L_ω)?

Problem 2.4. Is the space L_ω countably homogeneous (i.e. any isometry $f: A \rightarrow B$ of countable subsets $A, B \subset L_\omega$ extends to a surjective isometry of the whole space L_ω)?

Definition 2.5. A subset S of a metric space X is called *dense* if for any point $x \in X$ and any number $\varepsilon > 0$ there is a point $s_x \in S$ with $d(s_x, x) < \varepsilon$.

A metric space is called *separable* if it contains a dense countable subset.

Exercise 2.6. Prove that the space L_ω is separable.

Exercise 2.7. If X is a separable metric space and $f: X \rightarrow Y$ is a continuous surjective map, then Y is separable.

Theorem 2.8. Any separable metric space (X, d) equipped with 10^n -valued metric d embeds isometrically into the space (L_ω, μ) .

Proof. Since X is separable, it is sufficient to embed isometrically a countable dense subspace A of X . One can embed such a subspace by induction using Lemma 2.2. \square

Exercise 2.9. Let A, A', B, B' be four points in an ultrametric space X . If $d(A, A') < d(A, B)$ and $d(B, B') < d(A, B)$, then $d(A', B') = d(A, B)$.

Proposition 2.10. If (X, d) is a separable ultrametric space, then d assumes only countably many different values.

Proof. If a metric space X is countable, then d assumes only countably many different values. Since X is separable, it contains a dense countable subset A . Since A is countable, then d assumes only countably many different values on pairs of points from A .

Now we show that for any points $x_1, x_2 \in X$ there are points $a_1, a_2 \in A$ such that $d(x_1, x_2) = d(a_1, a_2)$. Since A is dense in X , there are points $a_1, a_2 \in A$ such that $d(x_1, a_1) < d(x_1, x_2)$ and $d(x_2, a_2) < d(x_1, x_2)$. Then by Exercise 2.9 we have $d(x_1, x_2) = d(a_1, a_2)$. \square

Definition 2.11. Let M be a countable subset of positive reals. A metric is said to be *M-valued* if the only positive values assumed by the metric are those from M .

Problem 2.12. Let M be a countable subset of positive reals.

- Does there exist a universal separable M -valued ultrametric space?
- Is this space finitely homogeneous?
- Is this space countably homogeneous?

3. ULTRAMETRIC SPACES AND LIPSCHITZ MAPS

Definition 3.1. A map $f: X \rightarrow Y$ is called a *non-expansive* if $d_Y(f(x), f(z)) \leq d_X(x, z)$ for any points $x, z \in X$.

Theorem 3.2. A metric space X is ultrametric if and only if every non-expansive map f of a closed subset A of X to a 0-dimensional sphere S^0 can be extended to a non-expansive map of X to S^0 .

Proof. Denote by B and C the preimages of points of S^0 under the map f . Clearly, $A = B \cup C$. If one of the preimages, say B , is empty, then f is a constant map which has a constant extension to X .

Consider a point $x \in X \setminus A$ and compare the distances $\text{dist}(x, B)$ and $\text{dist}(x, C)$. Define an extension \tilde{f} on x as follows:

$$\tilde{f}(x) = \begin{cases} f(B) & \text{if } \text{dist}(x, B) \leq \text{dist}(x, C) \\ f(C) & \text{if } \text{dist}(x, B) > \text{dist}(x, C) \end{cases}$$

Let us check that the map \tilde{f} is non-expansive. Consider two points $x, z \in X$. If $\tilde{f}(x) = \tilde{f}(z)$ then clearly $d_{S^0}(\tilde{f}(x), \tilde{f}(z)) = 0 \leq d_X(x, z)$. Suppose that $\tilde{f}(x) = f(B)$ and $\tilde{f}(z) = f(C)$. Then $\text{dist}(x, B) \leq \text{dist}(x, C)$ and $\text{dist}(z, B) > \text{dist}(z, C)$ by definition of \tilde{f} .

There is a point $c_z \in C$ such that $d(z, c_z) < \text{dist}(z, B)$. Then for any point $b \in B$ the triangle c_z, z, b is isosceles with $d(z, c_z) < d(z, b) = d(c_z, b) \geq \text{dist}(B, C) \geq D$ where D denotes the diameter of the 0-sphere $d_{S^0}(f(B), f(C))$. Therefore, $d(z, b) \geq D$. If $d(x, z) < D$ then $d(x, c_z) < D$ (look at the triangle x, z, c_z) and $\text{dist}(x, B) \leq \text{dist}(x, C) \leq d(x, c_z) < D$. Choose a point $b \in B$ such that $d(x, b) < D$ and consider the triangle x, c_z, b . Since $d(x, c_z) < D$ and $d(x, b) < D$, then $d(c_z, b) < D$ which contradicts $\text{dist}(B, C) \geq D$. \square

Exercise 3.3. Prove the "if" part of Theorem 3.2.

Definition 3.4. A map $r: X \rightarrow X$ is called a *retraction* if $r(x) = x$ for every point $x \in r(X)$.

A subspace $A \subset X$ is called a *retract of X* if there exists a retraction of X onto A .

It would be nice to have the following

Non-Theorem. A metric space X is ultrametric if and only if every closed subset A of X is a non-expansive retract of X .

Exercise 3.5. Give a simple proof of Theorem 3.2 using Non-Theorem.

Exercise 3.6. Prove the "if" part of Non-Theorem.

Example 3.7. Let $X = \{x_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ be a sequence of points. Define $d(x_1, x_n) = 1 + \frac{1}{n}$ and $d(x_m, x_n) = \max\{1 + \frac{1}{m}, 1 + \frac{1}{n}\}$ for any $m, n > 1$.

Exercise 3.8. Show that d is an ultrametric on X .

Exercise 3.9. Show that there is no non-expansive retraction of X onto $A = \{x_n\}_{n=2}^{\infty}$.

Definition 3.10. A subspace $A \subset X$ is called an *almost non-expansive retract of X* if for any $\lambda > 1$ there exists a retraction r_λ of X onto A such that $d(r_\lambda(x), r_\lambda(z)) \leq \lambda \cdot d(x, z)$ for any points $x, z \in X$.

Theorem 3.11. *A metric space X is ultrametric if and only if every closed subset A of X is an almost non-expansive retract of X .*

Proof. Suppose that X is an ultrametric space and $A \subset X$ is a closed subspace. If $\lambda > 1$ is given, choose a number $\delta > 1$ such that $\delta^2 < \lambda$.

Let us fix an arbitrary well-order \prec on X . We define a retraction $r: X \rightarrow A$ as follows. For a point $x \in X$ we look at the nonempty set

$$A_x = \{a \in A \mid d(x, a) \leq \delta \cdot \text{dist}(x, A)\}$$

and put $r(x)$ to be the minimal point in the set A_x with respect to the order \prec .

Let us show that the retraction r is λ -Lipschitz. Assume that for some points $x, y \in X$ we have $d(r(x), r(y)) > \lambda \cdot d(x, y)$. Without loss of generality we may assume that $r(x) \prec r(y)$.

If $d(y, r(x)) \leq d(y, r(y))$, then $r(x) \in A_y$ and $r(x) \prec r(y)$ contradicts the choice of $r(y)$ to be the minimal point in the set A_y .

In case $d(y, r(x)) > d(y, r(y))$ we denote by D the distance between $r(x)$ and $r(y)$ and notice that $d(y, r(x)) = d(r(x), r(y)) = D$ in the isosceles triangle $\{y, r(x), r(y)\}$. Since $D > d(x, y)$, we have $d(x, r(x)) = d(y, r(x)) = D$ in the isosceles triangle $\{x, y, r(x)\}$.

$$d(x, r(y)) \geq \text{dist}(x, A) \geq \frac{1}{\delta} \cdot d(x, r(x)) = \frac{D}{\delta} > \frac{D}{\lambda} > d(x, y)$$

Therefore $d(x, r(y)) = d(y, r(y))$ in the isosceles triangle $\{x, y, r(y)\}$. The point $r(x)$ does not belong to A_y since $r(x) \prec r(y)$, thus $d(y, r(x)) = D > \delta \cdot \text{dist}(y, A)$. Then there exists a point $z \in A$ with $d(y, z) < \frac{D}{\delta}$.

$$d(y, z) \geq \text{dist}(y, A) \geq \frac{d(y, r(y))}{\delta} = \frac{d(x, r(y))}{\delta} \geq \frac{D}{\delta^2} > \frac{D}{\lambda} > d(x, y)$$

Then $d(x, z) = d(y, z)$ in the isosceles triangle $\{x, y, z\}$. Since $d(x, z) < d(x, r(x))$, we have $z \in A_x$, but $d(x, z) < \frac{D}{\delta} = \frac{d(x, r(x))}{\delta}$ contradicts the definition of A_x (two points $a, a' \in A_x$ cannot satisfy $d(x, a) < \frac{d(x, a')}{\delta}$). \square

Exercise 3.12. Prove the "if" part of Theorem 3.11.

Definition 3.13. A map $f: X \rightarrow Y$ of metric spaces is called *Lipschitz* if there is a constant $\lambda > 0$ such that the inequality $d_Y(f(x), f(x')) \leq \lambda \cdot d_X(x, x')$ holds for all points $x, x' \in X$. f is called *λ -Lipschitz* if we need to specify the constant λ . f is called *λ -bi-Lipschitz* if both f and f^{-1} are λ -Lipschitz.

For any Lipschitz map f we denote

$$\text{Lip}(f) = \inf\{\lambda \mid f \text{ is } \lambda\text{-Lipschitz}\}$$

Notice that a Lipschitz map f is $\text{Lip}(f)$ -Lipschitz.

Exercise 3.14. Prove or disprove: if $f: X \rightarrow Y$ and $g: Y \rightarrow Z$ are Lipschitz maps, then $\text{Lip}(g \circ f) = \text{Lip}(f) \cdot \text{Lip}(g)$.

Notice that the identity map $\text{id}: (X, d) \rightarrow (X, f(d))$ in Lemma 1.7 is expanding and 10-Lipschitz, thus it is 10-bi-Lipschitz.

Corollary 3.15. *Any separable ultrametric space admits 10-bi-Lipschitz embedding into the space (L_ω, μ) .*

Proof. Combine Lemma 1.7 and Theorem 2.8. \square

4. ASSOUD-NAGATA DIMENSION

Definition 4.1. Let X be a metric space, A be a subspace of X , and S be a positive number.

A is S -bounded if for any points $x, x' \in A$ we have $d_X(x, x') \leq S$.

An S -chain in A is a sequence of points x_1, \dots, x_k in A such that for every $i < k$ the set $\{x_i, x_{i+1}\}$ is S -bounded.

A is S -connected if for any points $x, x' \in A$ can be connected in A by an S -chain.

Notice that any subset A of X is a union of its S -components (the maximal S -connected subsets of A). If B and B' are two S -components of the set A then B and B' are S -disjoint. Intuitively, a metric space X has dimension 0 at scale $S > 0$ if all S -components of X are uniformly bounded.

Definition 4.2. A metric space X has Assouad-Nagata dimension zero (notation $\dim_{AN}(X) \leq 0$) if there exists a constant $m \geq 1$, such that for any $S > 0$ all S -components of X are mS -bounded.

Exercise 4.3. Let \mathbb{R} be the real line with the standard metric. Let $X = \{x_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ be a sequence of points in \mathbb{R} such that $x_{n+1} > x_n$ and $x_{n+1} - x_n > x_n - x_{n-1}$. What does it mean for the space X to have Assouad-Nagata dimension zero?

Exercise 4.4. Bi-Lipschitz maps preserve Assouad-Nagata dimension zero.

Ultrametric spaces are the best examples of metric spaces of Assouad-Nagata dimension zero. Indeed, for any positive number D any D -component of an ultrametric space is a D -ball and therefore is D -bounded. Let us characterize spaces of Assouad-Nagata dimension 0 using ultrametrics.

Theorem 4.5. *If a metric space (X, d) has Assouad-Nagata dimension $\dim_{AN}(X) \leq 0$, then there is an ultrametric ρ on X such that the identity map $id: (X, d) \rightarrow (X, \rho)$ is bi-Lipschitz.*

Proof. Suppose that for a number $m > 1$, all S -components of X are mS -bounded. Consider two points $x, z \in X$ and put

$$S = \frac{d(x, z)}{2m}.$$

Then the points x and z belong to different S -components of X . Thus for any chain $x = x_0, x_1, \dots, x_{k-1}, x_k = z$ we have

$$d(x, z) \leq 2m \cdot \max_{0 \leq i < k} \{d(x_i, x_{i+1})\}.$$

Now define $\rho(x, z)$ to be the infimum of $\max_{0 \leq i < k} \{d(x_i, x_{i+1})\}$ over all finite chains $x_0, x_1, \dots, x_{k-1}, x_k$ with $x = x_0$ and $x_k = z$. Clearly

$$\frac{1}{2m} \cdot d(x, z) \leq \rho(x, z) \leq d(x, z).$$

To see that ρ is an ultrametric, take three points x, y, z in X and let s be the infimum of all positive numbers S such that all three points belong to one S -component of X . If all three points belong to one s -component or all three belong to different s -components, then $\rho(x, y) = \rho(x, z) = \rho(y, z) = s$. If the points x and y belong to one s -component which does not contain z , then $\rho(x, y) \leq s = \rho(x, z) = \rho(y, z)$. \square

Theorem 4.6. *Any separable metric space of Assouad-Nagata dimension 0 admits a bi-Lipschitz embedding into the space (L_ω, μ) .*

Proof. Apply Theorem 4.5 and Theorem 3.15. \square

Definition 4.7. A metric space Y is called a *Lipschitz extensor* for a metric space X if there exists a constant $m > 0$ such that for any closed subspace $A \subset X$ any Lipschitz map $f: A \rightarrow Y$ extends to a Lipschitz map $F: X \rightarrow Y$ with $\text{Lip}(F) \leq m \times \text{Lip}(f)$. We call the space Y an $m \times$ -*Lipschitz extensor* for X if we need to specify the constant m .

Theorem 4.8. *The following conditions are equivalent:*

- (1) $\dim_{AN}(X) \leq 0$;
- (2) *there exists a number λ such that every closed subset of X is a λ -Lipschitz retract of X ;*
- (3) *there exists a number λ such that every metric space is a $\lambda \times$ -Lipschitz extensor for X ;*
- (4) *the unit 0-sphere S^0 is a Lipschitz extensor for X .*

Proof. (1) \implies (2) Theorem 4.5 allows us to find an ultrametric ρ on X which is bi-Lipschitz equivalent to d . Application of Theorem 3.11 completes the proof.

(2) \implies (3) Given a closed subspace $A \subset X$ and a Lipschitz map $f: A \rightarrow Y$ to some metric space Y we fix a λ -Lipschitz retraction $r: X \rightarrow A$. Then the composition $f \circ r: X \rightarrow Y$ has the Lipschitz constant bounded by $\lambda \cdot \text{Lip}(f)$.

(3) \implies (4) Obvious.

(4) \implies (1) Let $m \geq 1$ be a number such that any λ -Lipschitz map from any closed subspace $A \subset X$ to S^0 can be extended to $m\lambda$ -Lipschitz map of X . If an S -component of X is not mS -bounded, there are points z_0 and z_1 with $d(z_0, z_1) > mS$ and an S -chain of points $z_0 = x_0, x_1, \dots, x_k = z_1$. Notice that the map $f: \{z_0\} \cup \{z_1\} \rightarrow S^0$ defined as $f(z_0) = 0$ and $f(z_1) = 1$ is $\frac{1}{d(z_0, z_1)}$ -Lipschitz but any extension of this map to the chain is at least $\frac{1}{S}$ -Lipschitz and cannot be $\frac{m}{d(z_0, z_1)}$ -Lipschitz (since $\frac{1}{S} > \frac{m}{d(z_0, z_1)}$). \square

Exercise 4.9. Prove the implication (2) \implies (1) directly.

5. LOCALLY FINITE COUNTABLE GROUPS

All groups considered in this Section are countable.

Definition 5.1. A metric d on a group G is called *left invariant* if $d(x, y) = d(g \cdot x, g \cdot y)$ for any $x, y, g \in G$. In particular, $d(x, y) = d(e, x^{-1} \cdot y)$.

A left invariant metric d on a countable group G is *proper* if and only if every bounded subset of (G, d) is finite. Thus a left invariant proper metric d on G is bounded from below.

What can one say about two different left invariant proper metrics d and ρ on the same group G ?

Definition 5.2. We call a map $f: X \rightarrow Y$ of metric spaces *uniform* if there is a function $\delta_f: \mathbb{R}_+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$ with $\lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \delta_f(t) = 0$ such that $d_Y(f(x), f(x')) \leq \delta_f(d_X(x, x'))$ for all points $x, x' \in X$. To specify the function δ_f we sometimes say that the map f is δ_f -uniform. A map f is called *bi-uniform* if both f and f^{-1} are uniform.

Theorem 5.3. *If d and ρ are two different left invariant proper metrics on the same group G , then the metric spaces (G, d) and (G, ρ) are bi-uniformly equivalent.*

Proof. □

Definition 5.4. Let X be a metric space. We say that X has *asymptotic dimension zero* (notation $\text{asdim}(X) \leq 0$) if there is a function $D_X^0: \mathbb{R}_+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$ (called 0-dimensional control function) such that for any $S > 0$ every S -component of X is $D_X^0(S)$ -bounded.

Definition 5.5. A group G is called *locally finite* if every finitely generated subgroup of G is finite.

Example 5.6. Direct sum of finite groups is locally finite.

Theorem 5.7. *A countable group G equipped with a proper left invariant metric has asymptotic dimension zero if and only if G is locally finite*

Proof. □

Exercise 5.8. Bi-uniform maps preserve asymptotic dimension zero.

Let G be a locally finite countable group. Let us describe a particularly simple way to define a proper left-invariant metric on G . Consider a filtration \mathcal{L} of G by finite subgroups $\mathcal{L} = \{1 \subset G_1 \subset G_2 \subset G_3 \dots\}$ and define the metric $d_{\mathcal{L}}$ associated to this filtration as:

$$d_{\mathcal{L}}(x, y) = \min\{i \mid x^{-1}y \in G_i\}.$$

Exercise 5.9. Show that $d_{\mathcal{L}}$ is an ultrametric.

Lemma 5.10. *Suppose two groups G and H have filtrations by finite subgroups: $\mathcal{L} = \{1 \subset G_1 \subset G_2 \subset G_3 \dots\}$ of G and $\mathcal{K} = \{1 \subset H_1 \subset H_2 \subset H_3 \dots\}$ of H . If the index $[G_i : G_{i-1}]$ is less than or equal to the index $[H_i : H_{i-1}]$ for all i , then $(G, d_{\mathcal{L}})$ admits an isometric embedding into $(H, d_{\mathcal{K}})$. Moreover, if $[G_i : G_{i-1}] = [H_i : H_{i-1}]$ for all i (equivalently, the cardinality of G_i equals cardinality of H_i for all i), then the groups $(G, d_{\mathcal{L}})$ and $(H, d_{\mathcal{K}})$ are isometric.*

Proof. Put $a_i = [G_i : G_{i-1}]$ and $b_i = [H_i : H_{i-1}]$. Fix an injection $f_1: G_1 \rightarrow H_1$ and assume injections $f_k: G_k \rightarrow H_k$ are known for $k \leq n$ such that the following two properties hold:

- (1) $f_i(x) = f_j(x)$ for $i < j$ and $x \in G_i$,
- (2) the injection $f_k: G_k \rightarrow H_k$ is isometric.

Pick an injection of the set of cosets $\{x \cdot G_n\}$ of G_n in G_{n+1} into the set of cosets $\{y \cdot H_n\}$ of H_n in H_{n+1} . That amounts to picking representatives $1, x_1, \dots, x_m$ ($m = a_{n+1} - 1$) of cosets of G_n in G_{n+1} and picking representatives $1, y_1, \dots, y_l$ ($l = b_{n+1} - 1$) of cosets of H_n in H_{n+1} . Make sure the injection takes $\{1 \cdot G_n\}$ to $\{1 \cdot H_n\}$. Now we extend f_n to $f_{n+1}: G_{n+1} \rightarrow H_{n+1}$ as follows: if $x \in G_{n+1} \setminus G_n$, we represent x as $x_k \cdot x'$ for some unique $k \leq m$ and we define $f_{n+1}(x)$ as $y_k \cdot f_n(x')$.

If x and z belong to different cosets of G_n in G_{n+1} , then $f_{n+1}(x)$ and $f_{n+1}(z)$ belong to different cosets of H_n in H_{n+1} and $d_{\mathcal{L}}(x, z) = n + 1 = d_{\mathcal{K}}(f_{n+1}(x), f_{n+1}(z))$. If x and z belong to the same coset $x_k \cdot G_n$ of G_n in G_{n+1} , then $x = x_k \cdot x', z = x_k \cdot z'$. Since $f_{n+1}(x) = y_k \cdot f_n(x')$, $f_{n+1}(z) = y_k \cdot f_n(z')$, and the map f_n is isometry, then $d_{\mathcal{L}}(x, z) = d_{\mathcal{L}}(x', z')d_{\mathcal{K}}(f_n(x'), f_n(z'))d_{\mathcal{K}}(f_{n+1}(x), f_{n+1}(z))$.

By pasting all f_n we get an isometric injection $f: G \rightarrow H$. Notice that in case $[G_i : G_{i-1}] = [H_i : H_{i-1}]$ for all i , the map f is bijective and establishes an isometry between $(G, d_{\mathcal{L}})$ and $(H, d_{\mathcal{H}})$. \square

Lemma 5.11. *Given two locally finite groups G and H the following conditions are equivalent:*

- (1) *There are left-invariant proper metrics d_G on G and d_H on H such that (G, d_G) is isometric to (H, d_H) .*
- (2) *There are filtrations by finite subgroups: $\mathcal{L} = \{1 \subset G_1 \subset G_2 \subset G_3 \dots\}$ of G and $\mathcal{K} = \{1 \subset H_1 \subset H_2 \subset H_3 \dots\}$ of H such that the cardinality of G_i equals cardinality of H_i for all i .*

Proof. In view of 5.10, it suffices to show (1) \implies (2). Obviously, we may pick an isometry $f: G \rightarrow H$ such that $f(1_G) = 1_H$ (replace any f by $f(1_G)^{-1} \cdot f$). Notice f establishes bijectivity between m -component of G containing 1_G and the m -component of H containing 1_H . Also, those components are subgroups of G and H . Thus, define G_1 as 1-component of G containing 1_G and, inductively, G_{i+1} as $(\text{diam}(G_i) + i)$ -component of G containing 1_G . \square

Main example. If G is a direct sum of cyclic groups $\bigoplus_{i=1}^{\infty} \mathbb{Z}_{a_i}$ we consider the metric on G associated to the filtration

$$\mathcal{L} = \{1 \subset \mathbb{Z}_{a_1} \subset \mathbb{Z}_{a_1} \oplus \mathbb{Z}_{a_2} \subset \mathbb{Z}_{a_1} \oplus \mathbb{Z}_{a_2} \oplus \mathbb{Z}_{a_3} \subset \dots\}$$

If we write elements of the group $\bigoplus_{i=1}^{\infty} \mathbb{Z}_{a_i}$ as $p = p_1 p_2 \dots p_n$ where $p_j \in \mathbb{Z}_{a_j}$ and denote $|p| = n$ then the ultrametric $d_{\mathcal{L}}$ can be defined explicitly as

$$d_{\mathcal{L}}(p, q) = \begin{cases} \max\{|p|, |q|\} & \text{if } |p| \neq |q| \\ \max\{i \mid p_i \neq q_i\} & \text{if } |p| = |q| \end{cases}$$

Theorem 5.12. *A locally finite countable group G with a proper left invariant metric d is bi-uniformly equivalent to a direct sum of cyclic groups.*

Proof. Fix a filtration \mathcal{L} of G by finite subgroups $\mathcal{L} = \{1 \subset G_1 \subset G_2 \subset G_3 \dots\}$. Then (G, d) is bi-uniformly equivalent to $(G, d_{\mathcal{L}})$ by 5.3. By 5.10, $(G, d_{\mathcal{L}})$ is isometric to $\bigoplus_{i=1}^{\infty} \mathbb{Z}_{a_i}$ where $a_i = [G_i : G_{i-1}]$. \square

Definition 5.13. Let G be a countable locally finite group and p be a prime number. We define a *p -Sylow number* of G (finite or infinite) as follows:

$$|p\text{-Syl}|(G) = \sup\{p^n \mid p^n \text{ divides } |F|, F \text{ a finite subgroup of } G, n \in \mathbb{Z}\}$$

Notice that if the p -Sylow number of G is finite, it is equal to the order of a p -Sylow subgroup of some finite subgroup of G . For an abelian torsion group G the p -Sylow number of G is equal to the order of the p -torsion subgroup of G .

Theorem 5.14. *Two countable locally finite groups G and H with proper left invariant metrics are bi-uniformly equivalent if and only if, for every finite subgroup F of G , there exists a finite subgroup E of H such that $|F|$ is a divisor of $|E|$, and, for every finite subgroup E of H , there exists a finite subgroup F of G such that $|E|$ is a divisor of $|F|$.*

Corollary 5.15. *Let G and H be countable direct sums of finite prime cyclic groups. Let d_G and d_H be proper left invariant metrics on G and H . Then the metric spaces (G, d_G) and (H, d_H) are bi-uniformly equivalent if and only if the groups G and H are isomorphic.*

Theorem 5.16. *Let G and H be locally finite countable groups with proper left invariant metrics d_G and d_H . The metric spaces (G, d_G) and (H, d_H) are bi-uniformly equivalent if and only if for every prime p we have $|p\text{-Syl}(G)| = |p\text{-Syl}(H)|$.*

Proof. Assume the metric spaces (G, d_G) and (H, d_H) are bi-uniformly equivalent. Our goal is to show that if $|p\text{-Syl}(G)| \geq p^n$, then $|p\text{-Syl}(H)| \geq p^n$. If there is a finite subgroup F of G such that p^n divides $|F|$, then by 5.14 there is a subgroup E of H such that p^n divides $|E|$. Thus $|p\text{-Syl}(H)| \geq p^n$.

Now suppose $|p\text{-Syl}(G)| = |p\text{-Syl}(H)$ for every prime p . By 5.14, it is enough to show that for every finite subgroup F of G , there exists a finite subgroup E of H such that $|F|$ is a divisor of $|E|$. If $|F| = p_1^{\alpha_1} \cdot \dots \cdot p_k^{\alpha_k}$ then $p_i^{\alpha_i} \leq |p_i\text{-Syl}(H)|$ for every i . For every i find a subgroup E_i of H such that $p_i^{\alpha_i}$ divides $|E_i|$. Let E be a finite subgroup of H containing all the groups E_i . Clearly, $|F|$ divides $|E|$. \square

6. COARSE EQUIVALENCE OF GROUPS

Definition 6.1. Metric spaces X and Y are said to be *coarsely equivalent* if there are uniform maps $f: X \rightarrow Y$ and $g: Y \rightarrow X$ and a constant $C > 0$ such that both compositions $f \circ g$ and $g \circ f$ are C -close to the identity maps.

Let G and H be countable locally finite groups. Using 5.12 one can show that if

$$\sum_{p\text{-prime}} \left| |p\text{-Syl}(G)| - |p\text{-Syl}(H)| \right| < \infty$$

then the groups G and H are coarsely equivalent. Is the converse true?

Problem 6.2. Classify countable abelian torsion groups up to coarse equivalence.

Let us suggest a program to answer 6.2. Notice that any abelian torsion group is coarsely equivalent to a direct sum of groups \mathbb{Z}_p with p being prime. Therefore the following groups are of importance: \mathbb{Z}_p^∞ (the infinite direct sum of copies of \mathbb{Z}_p) and $\bigoplus_{p \in \mathcal{P}} \mathbb{Z}_{p^{n(p)}}$, where $n(p) \geq 1$ for each $p \in \mathcal{P}$, \mathcal{P} being a subset of primes.

Problem 6.3. Suppose $\bigoplus_{p \in \mathcal{P}} \mathbb{Z}_{p^{n(p)}}$ and $\bigoplus_{q \in \mathcal{Q}} \mathbb{Z}_{q^{m(q)}}$ are coarsely equivalent. Is the symmetric difference of \mathcal{P} and \mathcal{Q} finite? If so, does $n(p)$ equal $m(p)$ for all but finitely many p ?

Problem 6.4. Suppose $\bigoplus_{p \in \mathcal{P}} \mathbb{Z}_p^\infty$ and $\bigoplus_{q \in \mathcal{Q}} \mathbb{Z}_q^\infty$ are coarsely equivalent. Is $\mathcal{P} = \mathcal{Q}$?

Call two countable abelian torsion groups G and H *virtually isometric* if there are subgroups of finite index G' of G and H' of H such that G' is isometric to H' for some choice of proper and invariant metrics on G' and H' . Notice virtually isometric groups are coarsely equivalent.

Problem 6.5. Suppose two countable abelian torsion groups G and H are coarsely equivalent. Are G and H virtually isometric?

REFERENCES

- [1] S. A. Bogatyĭ, *Metrically homogeneous spaces*, (Russian) Uspekhi Mat. Nauk **57** (2002), no. 2(344), 3–22; translation in Russian Math. Surveys **57** (2002), no. 2, 221–240.
- [2] N. Brodskiy, J. Dydak, J. Higes, A. Mitra, *Dimension zero at all scales*, Topology Appl., **154** (2007), 2729–2740.
- [3] A. Lemin, *On ultrametrization of general metric spaces*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **131** (2003), 979–989.
- [4] P.S. Urysohn, *Sur un espace métrique universel*, Bull. Sci. Math. **51** (1927), 43–65 and 74–96.