

# Characterizations of Monotone and 2-Monotone Capacities

Carl Sundberg<sup>1</sup> and Carl Wagner<sup>1</sup>

Received November 22, 1990

---

Given a capacity  $c$  and a probability measure  $p$  on a finite set, there is a natural way to combine  $c$  and  $p$  to produce a measure. For fixed  $c$ , these measures are probability measures for all  $p$  precisely when  $c$  is monotone, and dominate  $c$  for all  $p$  precisely when  $c$  is 2-monotone.

---

**KEY WORDS:** Capacity; lower probability; conditionalization; belief function.

## 1. INTRODUCTION

A *capacity* on a finite set  $X$  is a mapping  $c: 2^X \rightarrow [0, 1]$  such that  $c(\emptyset) = 0$  and  $c(X) = 1$ . A capacity  $c$  is *monotone* if  $A \subset B \Rightarrow c(A) \leq c(B)$ , *superadditive* if  $A \cap B = \emptyset \Rightarrow c(A \cup B) \geq c(A) + c(B)$ , and *r-monotone* if, for every sequence  $A_1, \dots, A_r$  of subsets of  $X$ ,

$$c(A_1 \cup \dots \cup A_r) \geq \sum_{\substack{I \subset \{1, \dots, r\} \\ I \neq \emptyset}} (-1)^{|I|-1} c\left(\bigcap_{i \in I} A_i\right) \quad (1.1)$$

Two-monotonicity is also called *convexity*, a term justified in Shapley.<sup>(7)</sup> A capacity that is *r-monotone* for all  $r \geq 2$  is called a *belief function*, a term due to Shafer,<sup>(6)</sup> or an *infinitely monotone capacity*, a term due to Choquet.<sup>(2)</sup>

A probability measure  $q$  is said to *dominate* a capacity  $c$  on  $X$  if  $q(A) \geq c(A)$  for all  $A \subset X$ . There may of course be no such dominating probabilities, even if  $c$  is superadditive (see Papamarcou and Fine<sup>(5)</sup>). Shapley<sup>(7)</sup> has proved, however, that 2-monotonicity of  $c$  is sufficient (though not necessary) for the set of probability measures dominating  $c$  to be nonempty.

---

<sup>1</sup> Department of Mathematics, The University of Tennessee, Knoxville, Tennessee 37996.

A useful tool for studying a capacity  $c$  is its *Möbius transform*  $m$ , defined for all  $E \subset X$  by

$$m(E) = \sum_{H \subset E} (-1)^{|E-H|} c(H) \tag{1.2}$$

Clearly,  $m: 2^X \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$ ,  $m(\emptyset) = 0$ , and for all  $A \subset X$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{E \subset A} m(E) &= \sum_{E \subset A} \sum_{H \subset E} (-1)^{|E-H|} c(H) \\ &= \sum_{H \subset A} c(H) \sum_{H \subset E \subset A} (-1)^{|E-H|} \\ &= \sum_{H \subset A} c(H) \sum_{i=0}^{|A-H|} (-1)^i \binom{|A-H|}{i} = c(A) \end{aligned} \tag{1.3}$$

In particular,

$$\sum_{E \subset X} m(E) = c(X) = 1 \tag{1.4}$$

From the Möbius transform of any capacity we can construct a measure  $q$  as follows. Take any “weight function”  $\lambda: X \times 2^X \rightarrow [0, 1]$  such that (i)  $x \notin E \Rightarrow \lambda(x, E) = 0$ , and (ii)  $\sum_x \lambda(x, E) = 1$  for all nonempty  $E \subset X$ , and, for all  $A \subset X$ , let

$$q(A) = \sum_{x \in A} \sum_{E \subset X} \lambda(x, E) m(E) \tag{1.5}$$

We call such a set function  $q$  a *smear of  $m$* . Clearly  $q(\emptyset) = 0$ ,  $q(X) = 1$ , and  $A \cap B = \emptyset \Rightarrow q(A \cup B) = q(A) + q(B)$ . Hence  $q$  is a probability measure if and only if  $q$  is nonnegative.

Chateauneuf and Jaffray<sup>(1)</sup> have proved that if  $c$  is a capacity, then every smear of its Möbius transform  $m$  is a probability measure if and only if

$$m(\{x\}) + \sum_{\substack{E \supset \{x\} \\ E \neq \{x\}}} \min\{m(E), 0\} \geq 0 \quad \text{for all } x \in X \tag{1.6}$$

Note that monotonicity of  $c$  is necessary (though not sufficient) for (1.6). There is of course no guarantee that such smears of  $m$  will dominate  $c$ . However, using the fact that a capacity is infinitely monotone if and only if its Möbius transform is nonnegative, it is easy to prove that if  $c$  is a capacity, then every smear of  $m$  is a probability measure that dominates  $c$  if and only if  $c$  is infinitely monotone (see Dempster,<sup>(3)</sup> Shafer,<sup>(6)</sup> and Chateauneuf and Jaffray<sup>(1)</sup>).

Our aim here is to prove analogous results for the restricted class of probability-based smears. Specifically, suppose that  $p$  is a probability

measure on  $X$  such that  $p(E) > 0$  for all non-empty  $E \subset X$ , and define  $\lambda: X \times 2^X \rightarrow [0, 1]$  by  $\lambda(x, \emptyset) = 0$  and  $\lambda(x, E) = p(x|E)$  when  $E \neq \emptyset$ . (Here, and subsequently, we omit curly brackets from our notation for a singleton set if no confusion arises thereby.) With this  $p$ -based weight function, (1.5) takes the nice form

$$q(A) = \sum_{\substack{E \subset X \\ E \neq \emptyset}} m(E) p(A|E) \tag{1.7}$$

We call  $q$  (generically) a *probability smear of  $m$*  and (specifically) the  *$p$ -smear of  $m$* . We shall prove that if  $c$  is a capacity, then every probability smear of its Möbius transform is a probability measure if and only if  $c$  is monotone, and that all probability smears of  $m$  dominate  $c$  if and only if  $c$  is 2-monotone.

**2. PRELIMINARIES**

In this section we establish several lemmata used in the proofs of our main results.

**Lemma 1.** If  $A$  is a finite set,  $p$  is a measure on  $A$ , and  $\phi: 2^A \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$ , then

$$\sum_{\substack{C \subset A \\ C \neq \emptyset}} p(C) \phi(C) = \sum_{a \in A} p(a) \sum_{\substack{C \subset A \\ a \in C}} \phi(C) \tag{2.1}$$

*Proof.* Replace  $p(C)$  by  $\sum_{a \in C} p(a)$  on the left-hand side of (2.1), and then interchange summation. □

**Lemma 2.** If  $S$  is a finite set and  $\phi, \psi: 2^S \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$ , then

$$\sum_{C \subset S} \phi(C) \psi(C) = \sum_{C \subset S} \left( \sum_{E \subset C} \phi(E) \right) \left( \sum_{F \subset S-C} (-1)^{|F|} \psi(F \cup C) \right) \tag{2.2}$$

*Proof.* The right-hand side of (2.2) is clearly equal to

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{C \subset S} \left( \sum_{E \subset C} \phi(E) \right) \left( \sum_{G \subset S-C} (-1)^{|G-C|} \psi(G) \right) \\ &= \sum_{G \subset S} \psi(G) \sum_{E \subset G} \phi(E) \sum_{E \subset C \subset G} (-1)^{|G-C|} \\ &= \sum_{G \subset S} \psi(G) \phi(G) \\ &= \sum_{C \subset S} \phi(C) \psi(C) \end{aligned} \tag{□}$$

**Lemma 3.** If  $u > 0$  and  $p_i \geq 0$  for all  $i \in [n] := \{1, \dots, n\}$ , then

$$\sum_{I \subset [n]} (-1)^{|I|} \left( u + \sum_{i \in I} p_i \right)^{-1} \geq 0 \tag{2.3}$$

*Proof.* If  $x > 0$ ,  $\int_0^\infty e^{-xt} dt = x^{-1}$ , and so the left-hand side of (2.3) is equal to

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_0^\infty \left( \sum_{I \subset [n]} (-1)^{|I|} e^{-\sum_{i \in I} p_i t} \right) e^{-ut} dt \\ &= \int_0^\infty \left( \sum_{I \subset [n]} \prod_{i \in I} (-e^{-p_i t}) \right) e^{-ut} dt \\ &= \int_0^\infty \left( \prod_{i=1}^n (1 - e^{-p_i t}) \right) e^{-ut} dt \geq 0 \quad \square \end{aligned}$$

**Lemma 4.** A capacity  $c$  on  $X$  is 2-monotone if and only if its Möbius transform  $m$  satisfies

$$\sum_{\{a,b\} \subset E \subset A} m(E) \geq 0 \quad \text{for all } a, b \in X \text{ and all } A \subset X \text{ such that } a, b \in A$$

*Proof.* See Chateauneuf and Jaffray.<sup>(1)</sup>

### 3. MAIN RESULTS

In this section  $c$  denotes a capacity on the finite set  $X$ , and  $m$  its Möbius transform, as defined by (1.2). A probability smear of  $m$  is a mapping  $q$  defined by (1.7), where  $p$  is a probability measure on  $X$  such that  $p(E) > 0$  for all  $E \subset X$ .

**Theorem 1.** If  $c$  is a capacity, then every probability smear  $q$  of  $m$  is a probability measure if and only if  $c$  is monotone.

*Proof. Sufficiency.* As remarked in Section 1, it suffices to show that  $q(a) \geq 0$  for all  $a \in X$ . By (1.7) and (1.2),

$$\begin{aligned} q(a) &= \sum_{\substack{E \subset X \\ E \neq \emptyset}} m(E) p(a|E) = p(a) \sum_{\substack{E \subset X \\ a \in E}} \frac{m(E)}{p(E)} \\ &= p(a) \sum_{\substack{E \subset X \\ a \in E}} \frac{1}{p(E)} \sum_{H \subset E} (-1)^{|E-H|} c(H) \\ &= p(a) \sum_{H \subset X} c(H) \sum_{E \supset H \cup a} (-1)^{|E-H|} \frac{1}{p(E)} \\ &= p(a) \sum_{H \subset X-a} (c(H \cup a) - c(H)) \sum_{E \supset H \cup a} (-1)^{|E-(H \cup a)|} \frac{1}{p(E)} \end{aligned}$$

which is nonnegative by monotonicity of  $c$ , and by Lemma 3, with  $u = p(H \cup a)$  and  $p_i = p(x_i)$ , where  $X - (H \cup a) = \{x_1, \dots, x_n\}$ .

*Necessity.* If  $c$  is not monotone, there exists a set  $A \subset X$ , with  $|A| \geq 2$ , and  $a \in A$  such that  $c(A) - c(A - a) < 0$ , and so by (1.3),

$$\sum_{E \subset A} m(E) - \sum_{\substack{E \subset A - a \\ a \in E}} m(E) = \sum_{\substack{E \subset A \\ a \in E}} m(E) < 0 \tag{3.1}$$

We show that there exists a probability measure  $p$  such that  $q(a) < 0$ , where  $q$  is the  $p$ -smear of  $m$ . First note that for any probability measure  $p$ , if  $q$  is the  $p$ -smear of  $m$ , we have from (1.7) that

$$q(a) = \sum_{\substack{E \subset A \\ E \neq \emptyset}} m(E) p(a|E) + \sum_{\substack{E \subset X \\ E \not\subset A}} m(E) p(a|E) \tag{3.2}$$

Suppose first that  $A = X$ . Writing  $q$  in (3.2) as  $q_\varepsilon$  and setting  $p = p_\varepsilon$ , where  $p_\varepsilon(x) = \varepsilon/(|X| - 1)$  for all  $x \neq a$ , and  $p_\varepsilon(a) = 1 - \varepsilon$ , it is easy to check that

$$\lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} q_\varepsilon(a) = \sum_{\substack{E \subset A \\ a \in E}} m(E) \tag{3.3}$$

Since the right-hand side of (3.3) is negative by (3.1), there exists an  $\varepsilon > 0$  such that  $q_\varepsilon(a) < 0$ .

If  $A$  is a proper subset of  $X$ , again write  $q$  in (3.2) as  $q_\varepsilon$  and set  $p = p_\varepsilon$ , where now  $p_\varepsilon(a) = \varepsilon$ ,  $p_\varepsilon(x) = \varepsilon^2$  for all  $x \in A - a$ , and  $p_\varepsilon(x) = (1 - p_\varepsilon(A))/|X - A|$  for all  $x \in X - A$ . It is again easy to check that (3.3) holds in this case, and so  $q_\varepsilon(a) < 0$  for some  $\varepsilon > 0$ .  $\square$

**Theorem 2.** If  $c$  is a capacity, then every probability smear  $q$  of  $m$  is a probability measure that dominates  $c$  if and only if  $c$  is 2-monotone.

*Proof. Sufficiency.* It suffices to show that  $q(A) \geq c(A)$  for every non-empty subset  $A$  of  $X$ . By (1.7) and (1.2), with  $\bar{A} := X - A$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} q(A) &= \sum_{\substack{C \subset A \\ C \neq \emptyset}} \sum_{D \subset \bar{A}} m(C \cup D) p(C|C \cup D) \\ &= \sum_{\substack{C \subset A \\ C \neq \emptyset}} \sum_{D \subset \bar{A}} \sum_{H \subset D} (-1)^{|D-H|} \sum_{G \subset C} (-1)^{|C-G|} c(G \cup H) p(C|C \cup D) \end{aligned} \tag{3.4}$$

On the other hand, by (1.2) and (1.3),

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{\substack{C \subseteq A \\ C \neq \emptyset}} \sum_{D \subseteq \bar{A}} \sum_{H \subseteq D} (-1)^{|D-H|} \sum_{G \subseteq C} (-1)^{|C-G|} c(G) p(C|C \cup D) \\ &= \sum_{\substack{C \subseteq A \\ C \neq \emptyset}} m(C) p(C|C) = c(A) \end{aligned} \tag{3.5}$$

and (3.4) and (3.5) yield

$$\begin{aligned} q(A) - c(A) &= \sum_{\substack{C \subseteq A \\ C \neq \emptyset}} \sum_{D \subseteq \bar{A}} \sum_{H \subseteq D} (-1)^{|D-H|} \sum_{G \subseteq C} (-1)^{|C-G|} \\ & \quad \times (c(G \cup H) - c(G)) p(C|C \cup D) \\ &= \sum_{\substack{C \subseteq A \\ C \neq \emptyset}} \sum_{H \subseteq \bar{A}} \sum_{G \subseteq C} (-1)^{|C-G|} (c(G \cup H) - c(G)) \\ & \quad \times \sum_{H \subseteq D \subseteq \bar{A}} (-1)^{|D-H|} \frac{p(C)}{p(C) + p(D)} \\ &= \sum_{H \subseteq \bar{A}} \sum_{K \subseteq \bar{A}-H} (-1)^{|K|} \sum_{\substack{C \subseteq A \\ C \neq \emptyset}} \sum_{G \subseteq C} (-1)^{|C-G|} \\ & \quad \times (c(G \cup H) - c(G)) \frac{p(C)}{p(C) + p(H) + p(K)} \end{aligned} \tag{3.6}$$

Applying Lemma 1 to the last line of (3.6) yields

$$\begin{aligned} q(A) - c(A) &= \sum_{H \subseteq \bar{A}} \sum_{K \subseteq \bar{A}-H} (-1)^{|K|} \sum_{a \in A} p(a) \\ & \quad \times \sum_{\substack{C \subseteq A \\ a \in C}} \frac{\sum_{G \subseteq C} (-1)^{|C-G|} (c(G \cup H) - c(G))}{p(C) + p(H) + p(K)} \end{aligned} \tag{3.7}$$

The part of (3.7) beginning with the fourth summation sign is clearly equal to

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{C \subseteq A-a} \left\{ \sum_{G \subseteq C \cup a} (-1)^{|(C \cup a)-G|} (c(G \cup H) - c(G)) \right\} \\ & \quad \times \{p(C \cup a) + p(H) + p(K)\}^{-1} \end{aligned}$$

which by Lemma 2, with  $S = A - a$ ,  $\phi(C)$  equal to the first bracketed expression above, and  $\psi(C) = \{p(C \cup a) + p(H) + p(K)\}^{-1}$ , is equal to

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \sum_{C \subset A-a} \left\{ \sum_{E \subset C} \sum_{G \subset E \cup a} (-1)^{|(E \cup a) - G|} (c(G \cup H) - c(G)) \right\} \\
 & \times \left\{ \sum_{F \subset (A-a) - C} (-1)^{|F|} (p(F \cup C \cup a) + p(H) + p(K))^{-1} \right\} \\
 & = \sum_{\substack{C \subset A \\ a \in C}} \left\{ \sum_{\substack{E \subset C \\ a \in E}} \sum_{G \subset E} (-1)^{|E - G|} (c(G \cup H) - c(G)) \right\} \\
 & \times \left\{ \sum_{F \subset A - C} (-1)^{|F|} (p(F \cup C) + p(H) + p(K))^{-1} \right\} \tag{3.8}
 \end{aligned}$$

The first bracketed expression in the preceding line is, by an interchange of summations, equal to

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \sum_{\substack{G \subset C \\ a \in G}} \left[ \sum_{G \subset E \subset C} (-1)^{|E - G|} \right] (c(G \cup H) - c(G)) \\
 & + \sum_{G \subset C-a} \left[ \sum_{G \subset E \subset C-a} (-1)^{|E - G| + 1} \right] (c(G \cup H) - c(G)) \\
 & = c(C \cup H) - c(C) - c((C-a) \cup H) + c(C-a) \\
 & := \alpha(a, C, H) \tag{3.9}
 \end{aligned}$$

From (3.7), (3.8), (3.9), the fact that  $p(F \cup C) + p(H) + p(K) = p(C \cup H) + p(F \cup K)$ , and the substitution  $G = F \cup K$ , we have

$$q(A) - c(A) = \sum_{H \subset \bar{A}} \sum_{a \in A} p(a) \sum_{\substack{C \subset A \\ a \in C}} \alpha(a, C, H) \beta(C, H) \tag{3.10}$$

where

$$\beta(C, H) = \sum_{G \subset X - (C \cup H)} \frac{(-1)^{|G|}}{p(C \cup H) + p(G)} \tag{3.11}$$

Since  $C \cap H = \emptyset$ , the convexity of  $c$  ensures that  $\alpha(a, C, H) \geq 0$ . That  $\beta(C, H) \geq 0$  follows from Lemma 3. Hence  $q(A) - c(A) \geq 0$ , as asserted.

*Necessity.* If  $c$  is not 2-monotone, then by Lemma 4 there exist a set  $A \subset X$  and  $a, b \in A$  such that

$$\sum_{\{a, b\} \subset E \subset A} m(E) < 0 \tag{3.12}$$

If  $a = b$ , then  $c(A) - c(A - a) < 0$ , and we argue as in the proof of necessity in Theorem 1. So suppose that  $a \neq b$ . We show that there exists a probability measure  $p$  such that  $q(A - a) < c(A - a)$ , where  $q$  is the  $p$ -smear of  $m$ . First note that for any probability measure  $p$ , if  $q$  is the  $p$ -smear of  $m$ , then from (1.7), (1.3), and the fact that  $p(A - a | E) = 1$  when  $E \subset A - a$ , it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} q(A - a) - c(A - a) &= \sum_{\substack{E \subset X \\ E \not\subset A - a}} m(E) p(A - a | E) \\ &= \sum_{\substack{E \subset A \\ a \in E}} m(E) p(A - a | E) \\ &\quad + \sum_{\substack{E \subset X \\ E \not\subset A}} m(E) p(A - a | E) \end{aligned} \tag{3.13}$$

Suppose first that  $A = X$ . Writing  $q = q_\varepsilon$  in (3.13) and setting  $p = p_\varepsilon$ , where  $p_\varepsilon(a) = p_\varepsilon(b) = (1 - \varepsilon)/2$  and  $p_\varepsilon(x) = \varepsilon/(|X| - 2)$  for all  $x \in X - \{a, b\}$ , it is easy to check that

$$\lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} q_\varepsilon(A - a) - c(A - a) = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\{a, b\} \subset E \subset A} m(E) \tag{3.14}$$

Since the right-hand side of (3.14) is negative by (3.12), there exists an  $\varepsilon > 0$  such that  $q_\varepsilon(A - a) < c(A - a)$ .

If  $A$  is a proper subset of  $X$ , write  $q = q_\varepsilon$  in (3.13) and set  $p = p_\varepsilon$ , where  $p_\varepsilon(a) = p_\varepsilon(b) = \varepsilon$ ,  $p_\varepsilon(x) = \varepsilon^2$  for all  $x \in A - \{a, b\}$ , and  $p_\varepsilon(x) = (1 - p_\varepsilon(A))/|X - A|$  for all  $x \in X - A$ . Again, it is easy to check that (3.14) holds, so that  $q_\varepsilon(A - a) < c(A - a)$  for some  $\varepsilon > 0$ .

#### 4. REMARKS

Note that, given a 2-monotone capacity  $c$  with Möbius transform  $m$ , the formula

$$q(A) = \sum_{\substack{E \subset X \\ E \neq \emptyset}} m(E) p(A | E) \tag{4.1}$$

might, by Theorem 2, function as a rule for updating the prior probability  $p$  in the light of new evidence that bounds the possible revisions of  $p$  below by  $c$ . This proposal is examined in detail in the case where  $c$  is infinitely monotone in Wagner,<sup>(8)</sup> where a formal criterion for applying (4.1) is presented when  $c$  arises from a multivalued mapping from some prob-



ability space to  $X$ , as in Dempster.<sup>(3)</sup> We note also that if  $c$  is infinitely monotone (whence  $m$  is nonnegative, by an earlier remark) and  $\mathcal{E} = \{E \subset X: m(E) > 0\}$  is a partition of  $X$ , then  $q(E) = m(E)$  for all  $E \in \mathcal{E}$  and (4.1) becomes

$$q(A) = \sum_{E \in \mathcal{E}} q(E) p(A|E) \tag{4.2}$$

the well-known conditionalization rule of Jeffrey,<sup>(4)</sup> whereby the posterior probability measure  $q$  is specified first on members of the partition  $\mathcal{E}$ , and then extended by (4.2) to arbitrary subsets  $A$ .

We remark in conclusion that the “Shapley value”  $q^*$  of a 2-monotone capacity  $c$  (which allocates benefits to cooperating parties in the convex game  $c$ —see Shapley<sup>(7)</sup>), defined for all  $a \in X$  by

$$q^*(a) = \frac{1}{|X|!} \sum_{\substack{A \subset X \\ a \in A}} (|A| - 1)! |X - A|! (c(A) - c(A - a)) \tag{4.3}$$

is simply the  $p$ -smear of the Möbius transform  $m$  of  $c$ , where  $p$  is the uniform probability measure on  $X$ . We leave the proof as an interesting combinatorial exercise.

**ACKNOWLEDGMENTS**

Carl Wagner’s research was supported in part by grants from The University of Tennessee and the National Science Foundation (DIR-8921269).

**REFERENCES**

1. Chateauneuf, A., and Jaffray, J. (1989). Some characterizations of lower probabilities and other monotone capacities through the use of Möbius inversion. *Math. Soc. Sci.* **17**, 263–283.
2. Choquet, G. (1953). Theory of capacities. *Ann. Inst. Fourier* **5**, 131–295.
3. Dempster, A. (1967). Upper and lower probabilities induced by a multivalued mapping. *Ann. Math. Stat.* **38**, 325–339.
4. Jeffrey, R. (1983). *The Logic of Decision*, 2nd ed. University of Chicago Press, Chicago.
5. Papamarcou, A., and Fine, T. (1986). A note on undominated lower probabilities. *Ann. Prob.* **14**, 710–723.
6. Shafer, G. (1976). *A Mathematical Theory of Evidence*. Princeton University Press, Princeton.
7. Shapley, L. (1971). Cores of convex games. *Int. J. Game Theor.* **1**, 11–26.
8. Wagner, C. (1992). Generalized probability kinematics. *Erkenntnis* (to appear).