

## Polynomials over $GF(q, x)$ with Integral-valued Differences

For my father, CARL T. WAGNER, in the year of his sixty-fifth birthday

By

CARL G. WAGNER

**1. Introduction.** Let  $D$  be an integral domain with quotient field  $K$  and let  $f(t) \in K[t]$ . For each  $m \in D^*$  let

$$\Delta_m f(t) = \frac{f(t+m) - f(t)}{m},$$

and for each sequence  $m_1, m_2, \dots, m_r$  of nonzero elements of  $D$ , let the  $r$ th difference  $\Delta_{m_1, m_2, \dots, m_r} f(t)$  be defined inductively by

$$\Delta_{m_1, m_2, \dots, m_r} f(t) = \Delta_{m_r}(\Delta_{m_1, m_2, \dots, m_{r-1}} f(t)).$$

Let  $I_0(D) = \{f(t) \in K[t] : f(d) \in D \text{ for all } d \in D\}$  and for each  $r \geq 1$  let  $I_r(D) = \{f(t) \in K[t] : \Delta_{m_1, \dots, m_r} f(t) \in I_0(D) \text{ for every sequence } m_1, \dots, m_r \text{ of nonzero elements of } D\}$ . Finally, let  $\bar{I}_r(D) = I_0(D) \cap I_1(D) \cap \dots \cap I_r(D)$ . It is clear that  $D[t] \subseteq \bar{I}_r(D)$  for each  $r \geq 0$ , and that in many cases this inclusion will be strict.

The  $\bar{I}_r(D)$  are of interest both as  $D$ -modules and as subrings of  $K[t]$ . In the first case one wishes to know, for example, whether  $\bar{I}_r(D)$  is free over  $D$ ; in the second, questions about unique factorization and about the ideal structure of  $\bar{I}_r(D)$  are natural. In this paper we shall investigate the  $D$ -modules  $\bar{I}_r(D)$ , where  $D = GF[q, x]$ , the ring of polynomials over the finite field  $GF(q)$ . Carlitz [5] has proved (by constructing an explicit basis) that  $I_0(GF[q, x])$  is free over  $GF[q, x]$  and since  $GF[q, x]$  is a p.i.d., it follows immediately [8, p. 27, Th. 5.1] that each of the submodules  $\bar{I}_r(GF[q, x])$  is free over  $GF[q, x]$ . Our purpose here is to construct explicit bases for these modules. The bases constructed may be used to prove that none of the rings  $\bar{I}_r(GF[q, x])$  is a u.f.d.

We conclude this section with a brief survey of past work in this area. That  $I_0(\mathbb{Z})$  is free over  $\mathbb{Z}$  with basis  $\binom{t}{n}_{n \geq 0}$  is a classical result. In 1919 Polya [10] and Ostrowski [9] investigated the module  $I_0(D)$ , where  $D$  is the ring of integers of an algebraic number field; and Cahen [3] has recently studied this module when  $D$  is any Dedekind domain.  $\bar{I}_1(\mathbb{Z})$  has been treated by de Bruijn [6] and Hall [7], and the present author [13] has investigated the submodule of  $\bar{I}_1(GF[q, x])$  consisting of linear polynomials. Carlitz [4] has studied the modules  $\bar{I}_r(\mathbb{Z})$ , constructing explicit bases, and Barsky [2] has generalized some of Carlitz's results to number fields, using as a tool Amice's interpolation series for local rings [1].

**2. Preliminaries.** Let  $GF[q, x]$  denote the ring of polynomials over the finite field  $GF(q)$  of characteristic  $p$ , and let  $GF(q, x)$  denote the quotient field of  $GF[q, x]$ . A polynomial  $f(t)$  over  $GF(q, x)$  is called *integral-valued* if  $f(m) \in GF[q, x]$  for all  $m \in GF[q, x]$ . The set of all integral-valued polynomials is denoted, as was indicated in section 1, by  $I_0(GF[q, x])$ .

In [5] Carlitz constructed an ordered basis  $(C_n(t))_{n \geq 0}$  for the  $GF[q, x]$ -module  $I_0(GF[q, x])$  as follows. Let  $\psi_0(t) = t$  and for  $n \geq 1$  let

$$\psi_n(t) = \prod (t - m), \quad m \in GF[q, x], \quad \deg m < n.$$

Then [5]

$$(2.1) \quad \psi_n(t) = \sum_{i=0}^n (-1)^{n-i} \begin{bmatrix} n \\ i \end{bmatrix} t^{q^i},$$

where

$$\begin{bmatrix} n \\ i \end{bmatrix} = \frac{f_n}{f_i l_{n-i}^{q^i}}$$

and

$$(2.2) \quad \begin{aligned} f_n &= \langle n \rangle \langle n-1 \rangle^{q-1} \cdots \langle 1 \rangle^{q^{n-1}}, & f_0 &= 1, \\ l_n &= \langle n \rangle \langle n-1 \rangle \cdots \langle 1 \rangle, & l_0 &= 1, \\ \langle r \rangle &= x^{q^r} - x. \end{aligned}$$

We remark that  $f_n$  is the product of all monic polynomials in  $GF[q, x]$  of degree  $n$ , and that  $l_n$  is the l.c.m. of all polynomials in  $GF[q, x]$  of degree  $n$  [5].

Now set  $G_0(t) = 1$  and if  $n \geq 1$  and  $n = n_0 + n_1 q + \cdots + n_s q^s$  is the  $q$ -adic expansion of  $n$ , let

$$G_n(t) = \prod_{i=0}^s \psi_i^{n_i}(t).$$

The polynomial  $G_n(t)$  has degree  $n$ , and serves as an analogue over  $GF[q, x]$  of the factorial polynomial  $t(t-1)\cdots(t-n+1)$  over  $Z$ .

To complete the construction of  $C_n(t)$  one requires a polynomial analogue of  $n!$ . Set  $g_0 = 1$  and for  $1 \leq n = n_0 + n_1 q + \cdots + n_s q^s$  as above, let

$$g_n = \prod_{i=1}^s f_i^{n_i},$$

where  $f_i$  is defined by (2.2). The polynomial  $g_n$  is the desired analogue of  $n!$ , and the polynomials  $C_n(t) = G_n(t)/g_n$  furnish an ordered basis for  $I_0(GF[q, x])$  over  $GF[q, x]$  [5, Th. 9]. We list below some essential properties of the above polynomials.

**Theorem 2.1.**  $G_n(t+u) = \sum_{k=0}^n \binom{n}{k} G_k(t) G_{n-k}(u).$

Proof. [5, (2.3)].

**Theorem 2.2.**  $C_n(t+u) = \sum_{k=0}^n \binom{n}{k} C_k(t) C_{n-k}(u).$

Proof. Use Theorem 2.1 and [11, Prop. 1].

**Theorem 2.3.** For all  $n \geq 1$

$$\frac{g_{n-1}}{g_n} = \frac{1}{l_{e(n)}},$$

where  $e(n) = \max \{k: q^k | n\}$ , and  $l_n$  is defined by (2.2).

Proof. [11, Prop. 4].

**Theorem 2.4.** Let  $H_0(t) = 1$  and for  $n \geq 1$  let

$$(2.3) \quad H_n(t) = \frac{G_{n+1}(t)}{t g_n}.$$

Then  $(H_n(t))$  is also an ordered basis of the  $GF[q, x]$ -module  $I_0(GF[q, x])$ .

Proof. [12, Lemma 3.1]. Remark. The polynomial  $H_{n-1}(t)$  bears the same relationship to  $C_n(t)$  as the polynomial  $\binom{t-1}{n-1}$  does to  $\binom{t}{n}$  (see [4]).

We may now proceed to construct a basis for  $\bar{I}_1(GF[q, x])$ .

**3. A Basis for  $\bar{I}_1(GF[q, x])$ .** Let  $f(t) \in I_0(GF[q, x])$  have degree  $n$ . By [5, Th. 9], we may write

$$(3.1) \quad f(t) = \sum_{j=0}^n a_j C_j(t),$$

where the  $a_j$  are uniquely determined elements of  $GF[q, x]$ . The following theorem gives necessary and sufficient conditions for  $f(t)$  to belong to  $\bar{I}_1(GF[q, x])$ .

**Theorem 3.1.** Let  $f(t) \in I_0(GF[q, x])$  be as in (3.1). Then  $f(t) \in \bar{I}_1(GF[q, x])$  if and only if, for all  $j \geq 1$ ,  $l_{e^*(j)} | a_j$ , where  $e^*(j) = \max \{e(i): 1 \leq i \leq j\}$ ,  $e(i) = \max \{k: q^k | i\}$ , and  $l_r$  is defined by (2.2).

Remark.  $e^*(j) = [\log j / \log q]$ .

Proof. Let  $m \in GF[q, x] - \{0\}$ . Then by (3.1) and Theorem 2.2,

$$\begin{aligned} f(t+m) &= \sum_{i=0}^n a_i \sum_{k=0}^i \binom{i}{k} C_k(t) C_{i-k}(m) = \\ &= \sum_{k=0}^n C_k(t) \sum_{i=k}^n \binom{i}{k} a_i C_{i-k}(m). \end{aligned}$$

Hence,

$$\begin{aligned} f(t+m) - f(t) &= \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} C_k(t) \sum_{i=k+1}^n \binom{i}{k} a_i C_{i-k}(m) = \\ &= \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} C_k(t) \sum_{i=1}^{n-k} \binom{i+k}{k} a_{i+k} C_i(m), \end{aligned}$$

and so by (2.3), Theorem 2.3, and the fact that  $C_i(m) = G_i(m)/g_i$ ,

$$(3.2) \quad \Delta_m f(t) = \frac{f(t+m) - f(t)}{m} = \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} C_k(t) \sum_{i=1}^{n-k} \binom{i+k}{k} \frac{a_{i+k}}{l_{e(i)}} H_{i-1}(m).$$

Since the  $C_k(t)$  are a basis over  $GF[q, x]$  of  $I_0(GF[q, x])$ , it follows that  $\Delta_m f(t)$  is integral-valued for all nonzero  $m$  if and only if

$$(3.3) \quad \sum_{i=1}^{n-k} \binom{i+k}{k} \frac{a_{i+k}}{l_{e(i)}} H_{i-1}(m) \in GF[q, x]$$

for all nonzero  $m$ , i.e., if and only if

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n-k} \binom{i+k}{k} \frac{a_{i+k}}{l_{e(i)}} H_{i-1}(t)$$

is integral-valued. By Theorem 2.4, this is equivalent to the condition

$$\binom{i+k}{k} \frac{a_{i+k}}{l_{e(i)}} \in GF[q, x]$$

for all  $i, k$  such that  $0 \leq k \leq n-1$  and  $1 \leq i \leq n-k$ . Hence,  $f(t) \in \bar{I}_1(GF[q, x])$  if and only if

$$(3.4) \quad \binom{j}{i} \frac{a_j}{l_{e(i)}} \in GF[q, x]$$

for all  $i, j$  such that  $1 \leq i \leq j \leq n$ . Now if  $r \leq s$ , then  $l_r | l_s$  [5, (1.4)], and so the condition  $l_{e^*(j)} | a_j$  is sufficient for (3.4). To see that it is also necessary, write  $j = j_0 + j_1 q + \dots + j_s q^s$ , where  $0 \leq j_i < q$  and  $j_s \neq 0$ . Clearly  $e^*(j) = s$ , and if (3.4) holds, it holds in particular for  $i = j_s q^s$ . But by a well known congruence for binomial coefficients, we have

$$\binom{j}{j_s q^s} \equiv \binom{j_0}{0} \binom{j_1}{0} \dots \binom{j_s}{j_s} \equiv 1 \pmod{p}$$

and so  $l_{e(j_s q^s)} = l_s = l_{e^*(j)}$  divides  $a_j$  in  $GF[q, x]$ .

It follows from the preceding theorem that the sequence

$$(3.3) \quad \left( 1, l_{e^*(1)} \frac{G_1(t)}{g_1}, \dots, l_{e^*(j)} \frac{G_j(t)}{g_j}, \dots \right)$$

furnishes a basis for  $\bar{I}_1(GF[q, x])$  over  $GF[q, x]$ . (Compare [6, Theorem 1].) Note that when  $j = q^n$

$$l_{e^*(j)} \frac{G_j(t)}{g_j} = l_n \frac{\psi_n(t)}{f_n}.$$

Thus the above theorem contains as a special case the author's earlier characterization [13, Th. 3.2] of the submodule of  $\bar{I}_1(GF[q, x])$  consisting of linear polynomials (i.e., polynomials in which each exponent of  $t$  is a power of  $q$ ).

It should be noted that the module  $I_1(GF[q, x])$  is *not* free over  $GF[q, x]$ , for the fact that a polynomial  $f(t)$  over  $GF(q, x)$  belongs to  $I_1(GF[q, x])$  places no constraint on the constant term of  $f(t)$ . Consequently,  $I_1(GF[q, x])$  contains as a submodule an isomorphic copy of  $GF(q, x)$  and since  $GF(q, x)$  is not free over  $GF[q, x]$ , the same is true of  $I_1(GF[q, x])$ . Similarly, none of the modules  $I_r(GF[q, x])$  is free over  $GF[q, x]$ .

**4. Higher Differences.** Let  $f(t)$  be given by (3.1) and denote the polynomial of (3.3) by  $a_k(m)$ . Then (3.2) may be written

$$\Delta_m f(t) = \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} a_k(m) C_k(t)$$

and we may repeat the procedure of Section 3 to derive the formula

$$\Delta_{m_1 m_2} f(t) = \sum_{k=0}^{n-2} C_k(t) \sum_{\substack{i_1+i_2 \leq n-k \\ i_1, i_2 > 0}} \frac{(i_1 + i_2 + k)!}{i_1! i_2! k!} \frac{a_{i_1+i_2+k}}{l_{e(i_1)} l_{e(i_2)}} H_{i_1-1}(m_1) H_{i_2-1}(m_2).$$

It follows again from the fact that  $(C_k(t))$  and  $(H_k(t))$  are bases of  $I_0(GF[q, x])$  that  $f(t) \in I_2(GF[q, x])$  if and only if, for all  $j \geq 2$

$$\frac{a_j}{l_{e(i_1)} l_{e(i_2)}} \in GF[q, x]$$

whenever  $i_1, i_2 > 0, i_1 + i_2 \leq j$ , and the multinomial coefficient  $j!/i_1!i_2!(j - i_1 - i_2)!$  is prime to  $p$ , the characteristic of  $GF(q)$ . In the general case we have the following theorem.

**Theorem 4.1.** *Let  $f(t)$  be given by (3.1). Then  $f(t) \in I_r(GF[q, x])$  if and only if, for all  $j \geq r$*

$$\frac{a_j}{l_{e(i_1)} l_{e(i_2)} \dots l_{e(i_r)}} \in GF[q, x]$$

*whenever  $i_1, i_2, \dots, i_r > 0, i_1 + i_2 + \dots + i_r \leq j$ , and the multinomial coefficient  $j!/i_1!i_2! \dots i_r!(j - i_1 - i_2 - \dots - i_r)!$  is prime to  $p$ .*

If  $1 \leq j < r$ , let  $L_j^{(r)} = 1$ , and for  $1 \leq r \leq j$ , let

$$(4.1) \quad L_j^{(r)} = \text{l.c.m.} \{l_{e(i_1)} \dots l_{e(i_r)} : i_1, \dots, i_r > 0, i_1 + \dots + i_r \leq j, \text{ and } j!/i_1! \dots i_r!(j - i_1 - \dots - i_r)! \text{ is prime to } p\}.$$

Then if, for all  $j, r \geq 1$ , we set

$$(4.2) \quad \bar{L}_j^{(r)} = \text{l.c.m.} \{L_j^{(s)} : 1 \leq s \leq r\},$$

it is clear from Theorem 4.1 that the sequence

$$(4.3) \quad \left(1, L_1^{(r)} \frac{G_1(t)}{g_1}, \dots, L_j^{(r)} \frac{G_j(t)}{g_j}, \dots\right)$$

furnishes a basis for  $I_r(GF[q, x])$  over  $GF[q, x]$ . This should be compared with [4, Theorem 4].

We recall that in Section 3 we were able to conclude that  $L_j^{(1)} = l_{e^*(j)}$  by appealing to a well known congruence (mod  $p$ ) for binomial coefficients. Analogous congruences for multinomial coefficients do not appear to contribute to a significant simplification of formulas (4.1) and (4.2).

**5. Factorization in the Rings  $\bar{I}_r(GF[q, x])$ .** It is easy to see that the ring  $I_0(GF[q, x])$  of integral-valued polynomials over  $GF(q, x)$  is not a u.f.d., for the sequence  $C_n(t)$  of Carlitz polynomials (which furnishes a basis for the module  $I_0(GF[q, x])$ ) has the properties (a)  $C_n(t) = t^n$  if  $0 \leq n < q$  and (b)  $C_q(t) = (t^q - t)/x^q - x$  [5, p. 486/87]. Hence  $C_q(t)$  is irreducible, since by (a) all polynomials of degree less than  $q$  belonging to  $I_0(GF[q, x])$  have integral coefficients. Thus the equation

$$\prod_{\lambda \in GF(q)} (x - \lambda) C_q(t) = \prod_{\lambda \in GF(q)} (t - \lambda)$$

shows that unique factorization fails in this ring. More generally, we have the following theorem.

**Theorem 5.1.** *For each  $r \geq 1$ , unique factorization fails in the ring  $\bar{I}_r(GF[q, x])$ .*

**Proof.** It clearly suffices to exhibit a polynomial  $F(t)$  which belongs to each of the rings  $\bar{I}_r(GF[q, x])$  and (when written as a linear combination of powers of  $t$ ) has at least one non-integral coefficient. For this will imply [by (4.3)] that for each  $r \geq 1$  there exists a smallest  $j > 1$  such that  $L_j^{(r)} G_j(t)/g_j$  (when written as a linear combination of powers of  $t$ ) has at least one non-integral coefficient. Hence  $L_j^{(r)} G_j(t)/g_j$  will be irreducible in  $\bar{I}_r(GF[q, x])$ , and we may argue as in the preceding paragraph. Thus we consider the polynomial

$$F(t) = l_2 C_{q^2}(t) = l_2 \frac{\psi_2(t)}{f_2} = \frac{\psi_2(t)}{(x^2 - x)^{q-1}}.$$

By (2.1) and (2.2), the leading coefficient of  $F(t)$  is non-integral. By [5, Theorem 9],  $F(t) \in I_0(GF[q, x])$  and by our Theorem 3.1,  $F(t) \in \bar{I}_1(GF[q, x])$ . Since  $F(t)$  is linear by (2.1),

$$\Delta_{m_1} F(t) = \frac{F(m_1)}{m_1},$$

and so  $\Delta_{m_1, \dots, m_r} F(t) = 0$  for all  $r \geq 2$  and  $F(t) \in \bar{I}_r(GF[q, x])$  for all  $r \geq 1$ , but  $F(t) \notin D[t]$  ( $D = GF[q, x]$ ).

### References

- [1] Y. AMICE, Interpolation  $p$ -adique. Bull. Soc. Math. France **92**, 117–180 (1964).
- [2] D. BARSKY, Fonctions  $k$ -Lipschitziennes sur un anneau local et polynômes à valeurs entières. Bull. Soc. Math. France **101**, 397–411 (1973).
- [3] P. J. CAHEN, Polynômes à valeurs entières. Canad. J. Math. **24**, 747–754 (1972).
- [4] L. CARLITZ, A note on integral-valued polynomials. Indag. Math. **21**, 294–299 (1959).
- [5] L. CARLITZ, A set of polynomials. Duke Math. J. **6**, 486–504 (1940).

- [6] N. G. DE BRUIJN, Some classes of integer-valued functions. *Indag. Math.* **17**, 363–367 (1955).
- [7] R. R. HALL, On pseudo-polynomials. *Mathematika* **18**, 71–77 (1971).
- [8] P. HILTON and U. STAMMBACH, *A Course in Homological Algebra*. New York 1971.
- [9] A. OSTROWSKI, Über ganzwertige Polynome in algebraischen Zahlkörpern. *J. Reine Angew. Math.* **149**, 117–124 (1919).
- [10] G. POLYA, Über ganzwertige Polynome in algebraischen Zahlkörpern. *J. Reine Angew. Math.* **149**, 97–116 (1919).
- [11] C. G. WAGNER, Interpolation series in local fields of prime characteristic. *Duke Math. J.* **39**, 203–210 (1972).
- [12] C. G. WAGNER, Differentiability in local fields of prime characteristic. *Duke Math. J.* **41**, 285–290 (1974).
- [13] C. G. WAGNER, Linear pseudo-polynomials over  $GF[q, x]$ . *Arch. Math.* **25**, 385–390 (1974).

Eingegangen am 17. 5. 1975

Anschrift des Autors:

C. G. Wagner  
Mathematics Department  
University of Tennessee  
Knoxville, Tennessee 37916  
U.S.A.