

## 2. TOTALLY GEODESIC/ TOTALLY UMBILIC SUBMANIFOLDS.

*Induced connection and second fundamental form.* Let  $\bar{M}$  be Riemannian or Lorentzian,  $M \subset \bar{M}$  a submanifold. We assume the induced metric on  $M$  is nondegenerate, so  $M$  is also either Riemannian or Lorentzian. *Remark:* The null cone  $\Lambda$  is a degenerate hypersurface in  $\mathbb{M}^{n+1}$  if  $n \geq 2$ .

Denote by  $\bar{\chi}_M$  the space of vector fields on  $\bar{M}$ , restricted to  $M$ , and by  $\chi_M$  the space of vector fields on  $M$ ; let  $\bar{\nabla}, \nabla$  be the Levi-Civita connections on  $\bar{M}$ , resp.  $M$ . For  $X \in \chi_M, Y \in \bar{\chi}_M$ ,  $\bar{\nabla}_X Y \in \bar{\chi}_M$  is defined by taking local extensions  $\bar{X}, \bar{Y}$  of  $X, Y$  to  $\bar{M}$ , then restricting  $\bar{\nabla}_{\bar{X}} \bar{Y}$  to  $M$  (this is independent of the extensions). By uniqueness of the L-C connection, the orthogonal projection of  $\bar{\nabla}_X Y$  onto  $TM$  equals  $\nabla_X Y$ ; the normal component is a quadratic form on  $M$  with values in the *normal bundle*  $NM \subset T\bar{M}$ , the *second fundamental form* of  $M$  in  $\bar{M}$ . Thus we have the decomposition:

$$\bar{\nabla}_X Y = (\bar{\nabla}_X Y)^{tan} + (\bar{\nabla}_X Y)^{nor} = \nabla_X Y + II(X, Y).$$

In particular, if  $\alpha(t)$  is a parametrized curve on  $M$  and  $V(t) \in T_{\alpha(t)}M$  is a tangent vector field on  $M$  along  $\alpha$ , we have for the covariant derivatives:

$$\frac{\bar{D}V}{dt} = \frac{DV}{dt} + II(\alpha', V),$$

and for the covariant acceleration vectors:

$$\frac{\bar{D}\alpha'}{dt} = \frac{DV}{dt} + II(\alpha', \alpha').$$

We see from this that  $\alpha$  is a geodesic on  $M$  if and only if  $\frac{\bar{D}\alpha'}{dt}$  is normal to  $M$ .

*Example.* Geodesics on hyperquadrics: spheres, hyperbolic spaces and de-Sitter spacetimes.

For the unit sphere  $S^n \subset \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ , the great circle parametrized by arc length:

$$\gamma(t) = (\cos t)u + (\sin t)e, \quad e \cdot u = 0, |e| = 1, t \in \mathbb{R}$$

is the unique geodesic with  $\gamma(0) = u \in S^n$ ,  $\gamma'(0) = e \in T_u S^n = u^\perp$ . Note  $\gamma''(t) = -\gamma(t)$ , so

$$II_u(v, v) = -u, \quad |v| = 1, |u| = 1.$$

$S^n$  “bends” in the direction of the inward unit normal.

Now consider hyperbolic space, the upper component of the hyperquadric in Minkowski space:

$$H^n(1) = \{u \in \mathbb{M}^{n+1}; \langle u, u \rangle = -1, u_0 > 0\}; T_u H^n = u^\perp.$$

The parametrized curve in  $H^n$ :

$$\gamma(t) = (\cosh t)u + (\sinh t)e, \quad e \in u^\perp \text{ (spacelike)}, |e| = 1, t \in \mathbb{R}$$

has acceleration vector  $\gamma''(t) = \gamma(t) \in N_{\gamma(t)}M$ , hence is the unique geodesic (parametrized by arc length) with initial conditions  $(u, e)$ .

De Sitter spacetime is the hyperquadric:

$$M^n = \{u \in \mathbb{M}^{n+1}; \langle u, u \rangle = 1\} (u \text{ spacelike}), T_u M = u^\perp \text{ (Lorentzian)}.$$

There are three cases for  $e \in T_u M$ :

(i) If  $e$  is timelike with  $\langle e, e \rangle = -1$ ,  $\gamma(t) = (\cosh t)u + (\sinh t)e, t \in \mathbb{R}$ , satisfies  $\gamma''(t) = \gamma(t)$ , hence is the unique geodesic with initial conditions  $(u, e)$ . Note  $\gamma'$  is timelike, with  $|\gamma'| = 1$ . ( $\gamma$  is a branch of a hyperbola in the 2-dimensional subspace of  $\mathbb{M}^{n+1}$  spanned by  $u, e$ .)

(ii) If  $e$  is null, then  $\gamma(t) = u + te, t \in \mathbb{R}$  is a line contained in  $M$ , satisfying  $\gamma'' \equiv 0$ , so it is the geodesic with i.c.  $(u, e)$ , and it is a null curve ( $\alpha'(t)$  is null).

(iii) If  $e$  is spacelike,  $|e| = 1$ , then the circle parametrized by arc length  $\gamma(t) = (\cos t)u + (\sin t)e$  is a curve on  $M$  satisfying  $\gamma''(t) = -\gamma(t)$  (a normal vector); so  $\gamma$  is the (spacelike) geodesic with initial conditions  $(u, e)$ .

*Completeness and geodesic connectedness.* A Riemannian (or Lorentzian) manifold is *geodesically complete* if every inextendible geodesic is defined over  $\mathbb{R}$ . As seen above, all hyperquadrics are (geodesically) complete. (Note also that each geodesic lies entirely in the two-dimensional subspace of  $\mathbb{M}^{n+1}$  spanned by its initial conditions.)

In the Riemannian case, the *Hopf-Rinow theorem* guarantees that a geodesically complete manifold is *geodesically connected*: any two points can be joined by at least one minimizing geodesic. This is not true in the Lorentzian case; for example, de Sitter spacetime is not geodesically connected.

Indeed let  $u, v \in S^n(1) \subset \mathbb{M}^{n+1}$  be non-antipodal points. Then  $u, v$  span a two-dimensional subspace  $\Pi$  of  $\mathbb{M}^{n+1}$ . Suppose there is a geodesic  $\gamma$  of

$S^n(1)$  from  $u$  to  $v$ ; then this geodesic to lie in this subspace. There are three cases:

(i)  $\Pi$  is spacelike. Then  $\gamma$  is an arc of the spacelike circle  $\Pi \cap S^n(1)$ , and  $|\langle u, \gamma(t) \rangle| < 1$  for all  $t \notin 2\pi\mathbb{Z}$ ; in particular  $|\langle u, v \rangle| < 1$ .

(ii)  $\Pi$  is degenerate. Let  $\{u, e\}$  be an orthogonal basis of  $\Pi$ , with  $|u| = 1$  and  $e$  null. Then  $\Pi \cap S_1^n$  contains the two parallel lines  $\pm u + te, t \in \mathbb{R}$ ; and it is not hard to show it equals the union of these lines. Hence  $u, v$  are connected if on the same line (which happens iff  $\langle u, v \rangle = 1$ ), not connected if on different lines (when  $\langle u, v \rangle = -1$ ).

(iii)  $\Pi$  is Lorentzian. Then letting  $\{u, w\}$  be an orthogonal basis of  $\Pi$  with  $u \in S_1^n$  (hence spacelike) and  $w$  timelike,  $|w| = 1$ , the intersection  $\Pi \cap S_1^n$  is the hyperbola:

$$\{xu + yw; x, y \in \mathbb{R}, x^2 - y^2 = 1\}.$$

Clearly if  $u, v$  are connected they lie on the same arc of the hyperbola, that is, if  $v = xu + yw$  with  $x > 1$ ; this happens iff  $\langle u, v \rangle = x > 1$ .

We conclude that if  $u, v$  are non-antipodal points connected by a geodesic, then  $-\langle u, v \rangle > -1$ . So if  $\langle u, v \rangle \leq -1$  they're not connected. This can certainly happen for  $u, v$  in  $S_1^n$ : just let  $u = (\cosh t)e_1 + (\sinh t)e_0$ ,  $v = (-\cosh t)e_1 + (\sinh t)e_0$ , for any  $t \in \mathbb{R}$ .

Conversely, it is easy to see from the above that if  $u, v$  are not antipodal and  $\langle u, v \rangle > -1$ , they're connected by a geodesic: a spacelike circular arc (non-unique) if  $|\langle u, v \rangle| < 1$ , a null line segment if  $\langle u, v \rangle = 1$ , a timelike arc of hyperbola if  $\langle u, v \rangle > 1$ .

*Totally geodesic submanifolds.*

**Proposition 3.** Let  $M \subset \bar{M}$  be a non-degenerate submanifold ( $\bar{M}$  Riemannian or Lorentzian). The following are equivalent:

- (1) The second fundamental form of  $M$  in  $\bar{M}$  vanishes identically.
- (2) Any geodesic of  $M$  is also geodesic in  $\bar{M}$ .
- (3) If  $v \in T_u \bar{M}$  is in fact in  $T_u M$ , the  $\bar{M}$  geodesic arc  $\gamma$  with initial condition  $(u, v)$  lies in  $M$ .
- (4) If  $\alpha$  is a curve on  $M$  and  $V(t)$  is tangent to  $M$  and  $\nabla$ -parallel along  $\alpha$ , then it is also  $\bar{\nabla}$ -parallel.

*Proof.* Exercise. Prove (1)  $\Rightarrow$  (4)  $\Rightarrow$  (2)  $\Rightarrow$  (1). For (2)  $\Rightarrow$  (1) use a polarization identity. Then prove (3)  $\Rightarrow$  (1) (using the decomposition of the  $\bar{\nabla}$ -acceleration vector of a curve on  $M$ ) and (2)  $\Rightarrow$  (3) (the geodesic on  $M$

with the same i.c. as  $\gamma$  is also geodesic on  $\bar{M}$ , hence coincides with  $\gamma$ , by uniqueness.

*Definition.* If any of these conditions is satisfied, we say  $M$  is a *totally geodesic submanifold* of  $\bar{M}$ .

*Problem.* (i) Show that the intersection of any subspace of  $\mathbb{R}^{n+1}$  with the unit sphere  $S^n$  is a totally geodesic submanifold of  $S^n$ . (ii) Show that the intersection of any (necessarily timelike) subspace of  $\mathbb{M}^{n+1}$  with  $H^n(1)$  is a totally geodesic submanifold of hyperbolic space. (iii) Formulate and prove a similar statement for the intersections of subspaces of  $\mathbb{M}^{n+1}$  with deSitter spacetime  $S_1^n(1)$  (note there are three cases to consider). *Hint:* use condition (3) in Proposition 3 and the earlier description of geodesics in hyperquadrics.

*Problem.* Show that the totally geodesic submanifolds of  $\mathbb{R}^{n+1}$  and  $\mathbb{M}^{n+1}$  are the affine subspaces.

*Totally umbilic hypersurfaces.* If  $M$  has codimension 1 in  $\bar{M}$ , at least locally  $M$  admits a “unit” normal vector field  $U \in T\bar{M}$  (Possibly  $\langle U, U \rangle = -1$ ). In this case we have a scalar second fundamental form  $A(X, Y)$  and the decomposition (for  $X \in \chi_M, Y \in \bar{\chi}_M$ ):

$$\bar{\nabla}_X Y = \nabla_X Y + \epsilon_U A(X, Y)U,$$

with  $A(X, Y) = \langle II(X, Y), U \rangle$  and  $\epsilon_U = \langle U, U \rangle = \pm 1$ .

*Definition.* We say  $M$  is *totally umbilic* at  $u \in M$  if  $II_p(X, Y) = \langle X, Y \rangle z$ , for some vector  $z \in (T_u M)^\perp$ , the *normal curvature vector* at  $u$  (not necessarily a unit vector).  $M$  is a *totally umbilic hypersurface* if it is totally umbilic at each point. Equivalently, at each  $u \in M$  the *shape operator* (the self-adjoint operator associated to the quadratic form  $A$  by the metric) is a multiple of the identity on  $T_u M$ .

Using  $S(X) = -\bar{\nabla}_X U$ , it is easy to check that the unit sphere in  $\mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ , as well as hyperbolic space and deSitter spacetime (as hyperquadrics in  $\mathbb{M}^{n+1}$ ), are totally umbilic hypersurfaces.

From the definition of  $II(X, Y)$ , we have:

$$\langle II(X, Y), U \rangle = \langle \bar{\nabla}_X Y, U \rangle = -\langle \bar{\nabla}_X U, Y \rangle = \langle S(X), Y \rangle$$

$II_u(X, Y) = \langle S(X), Y \rangle U(u)$  ( $U$  spacelike) ;  $II_u(X, Y) = -\langle S(X), Y \rangle U(u)$  ( $U$  timelike) .

For  $S^n$  we let  $U(u) = -u$ , so  $S(X) = X$  for  $X \in T_u S^n$ . Thus  $II_u(X, Y) = -\langle X, Y \rangle u$ , and the normal curvature vector is  $z(u) = -u$ . (Starting with the

outward normal  $U(u) = u$  would lead to the same result—the sphere “bends towards” its normal curvature vector.)

For  $H^n \subset \mathbb{M}^{n+1}$ , we let  $U(u) = u$  (timelike), so  $S(X) = -X$ . Then  $II_u(X, Y) = \langle X, Y \rangle U$ , and the normal curvature vector is  $z(u) = u$ ; the hypersurface “bends towards” its normal curvature vector.

For  $S_1^n \subset \mathbb{M}^{n+1}$ , the deSitter spacetime, again taking  $U(u) = u$  as the unit normal at  $u$  (spacelike) we find  $S(X) = -X$ ,  $II_u(X, Y) = -\langle X, Y \rangle U$ , so the normal curvature vector is  $z(u) = -u$ . The hypersurface “bends towards” its normal curvature vector along spacelike curves, and away from it along timelike directions.