## Finite Complement Topology

August 21, 2020

• Is the finite Complement topology on  $\mathbb{R}$  Hausdorff?

No, It is not Hausdorff.

Let  $\tau$  represent the finite complement topology on  $\mathbb{R}$ . U is open in  $\tau$  if  $U = \emptyset$  or  $\mathbb{R} - U$  is finite. Hence, we can write every non-empty open set in  $\tau$  as  $\mathbb{R} - F$  where F is finite. In order to show that  $(\mathbb{R}, \tau)$  is non-Hausdorff, we would prove that the intersection of two arbitrary open sets in  $\tau$  is non-empty. This would then imply that no two points have disjoint neighborhoods.

*Proof.* Let  $U_1$  and  $U_2$  be two open sets in  $\tau$ .  $U_1$  and  $U_2$  can be written as  $\mathbb{R} - F_1$  and  $\mathbb{R} - F_2$  where  $F_1$  and  $F_2$  are finite sets.

$$U \cap V = (\mathbb{R} - F_1) \cap (\mathbb{R} - F_2) = \mathbb{R} - (F_1 \cup F_2)$$

Since,  $F_1 \cup F_2$  is finite,  $\mathbb{R} - (F_1 \cup F_2)$  is non empty

• Is this topology finer or coarser than the usual one?

Coarser.

*Proof.* Let  $\tau_f, \tau$  represent the finite complement topology and standard topology on  $\mathbb{R}$  respectively. If  $U \in \tau_f$ ,  $U \in \tau$  since  $\mathbb{R} - U$  is a finite set which is closed according to the usual topology. On the other hand, consider  $(a, b) \in \tau$  where  $a, b \in \mathbb{R}$  and a < b.  $\mathbb{R} - (a, b)$  isn't finite, hence  $(a, b) \notin \tau_f$ . Since,  $\tau_f$  has fewer open sets than  $\tau$ , it is coarser

• What does  $\lim x_n = a$  mean in this topology?

It means that  $x_n$  eventually becomes constant and take on the value a infinitely many times. To see this, let  $U \in \tau_f$  be an open set around a. By definition,  $\mathbb{R} - U$  is finite. It follows then that  $x_n \to a$  since only finitely many elements of  $x_n$  are in  $\mathbb{R} - U$ . Consequently, for  $b \neq a$ ,  $V = \mathbb{R} - \{a\}$  is an open set in  $\tau_f$  such that  $b \in V$  and V misses infinitely many terms of  $x_n$ . This means that  $x_n$  cannot converge to b and in particular, no subsequence of  $x_n$  converges to b.