

## Complete metrizability

(of subsets of a complete metric space)

(Q) When is a topological space completely metrizable?

(i.e. its topology is given by a complete metric).

Thm 1 If  $A \subset M$   $(M, d)$  complete metric (example  $A = \mathbb{R}^2 \setminus \{0\}$ )

$A$  is completely metrizable  $\iff A$  is a  $G_\delta$  subset of  $M$ .

idea for  $(\Leftarrow)$  Suppose  $A$  is open in  $(M, d)$

Consider  $f(x) = d(x, M \setminus A)$  and  $\varphi(x) = \frac{1}{f(x)}$

$\varphi \in C(A; \mathbb{R}_+)$

The graph of  $\varphi$ :

$G = \{(x, t) \in A \times \mathbb{R}, t = \varphi(x)\}$  closed in  $M \times \mathbb{R}$

Hence  $G$  is complete metric (metric induced from  $M \times \mathbb{R}$ ) (complete)

$p: G \longrightarrow A$  is a homeo.

$(x, t) \longmapsto x$

hence  $A$  is ~~not~~ complete metrizable

Exercise 1: Complete the details of this outline of the proof.

(i) The graph  $G$  of  $\varphi$  is a closed subset of  ~~$A \times \mathbb{R}$~~   $M \times \mathbb{R}$

with the metric:

$$\rho((x, t), (y, s)) = d(x, y) + |s - t| \quad x, y \in M \quad s, t \in \mathbb{R}$$

(Hint:  $G = \{(x, t) \mid t = f(x) = 1\}$ )

(ii) The projection  $M \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow M$  restricted to  $G$ :

$$p: G \rightarrow A \quad \text{is a homeomorphism from } G \text{ to } A.$$

$$(x, \varphi(x)) \mapsto x$$

Note that the metric  $\rho$  is complete, so this shows  $A$  is completely metrizable. An explicit complete metric  ~~$\rho$~~  on  $A$  is given by:

$$d_1(x, y) = d(x, y) + \left| \frac{1}{d(x, A^c)} - \frac{1}{d(y, A^c)} \right|$$

( $A^c = M \setminus A$ ).

Ex. 2 Metric in a countable product of metric spaces  $M = \prod_{i=1}^{\infty} M_i$   
 $(M_i, d_i)$

$$d(x, y) = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2^i} \frac{d_i(x_i, y_i)}{1 + d_i(x_i, y_i)}$$

As we know,  $\frac{d_i(x_i, y_i)}{1 + d_i(x_i, y_i)}$  and  $d_i(x_i, y_i)$  are equivalent metrics on  $M_i$ .

Prove:  $(M, d)$  is complete  $\iff$  each  $(M_i, d_i)$  is complete.

Hint ( $\Leftarrow$ ) Let  $(x_n)$  Cauchy on  $M$ . Note (prove)  $p_i: (M, d) \rightarrow (M_i, d_i)$  is unif. cont. Thus  $(x_n^i)$  is Cauchy in  $(M_i, d_i)$ , for all  $i \geq 1$ .

( $\Rightarrow$ ) Embed  $(M_{i_0}, d_{i_0})$  into  $(M, d)$  isometrically, in a natural way.

Ex 3 Now assume  $A \subset M$  is a  $G_\delta$  set,  $(M, d)$  complete.

$$A = \bigcap_{i \geq 1} U_i \quad U_i \subset M \text{ open.}$$

Claim  $A$  is homeomorphic to a complete metric space.

Pf Find a metric  $d_i$  in  $U_i$  so that  $(U_i, d_i)$  is complete.

Then  $U = \prod_{i=1}^{\infty} U_i$  is complete (for the metric  $d$  in Ex. 2).

Ex. 3 Let  $\Delta = \{x \in U \mid x_1 = x_2 = \dots\}$  be the diagonal (recall all  $x_i \in M$ )

Show (a)  $g : A \rightarrow U$   
 $g(x) = (x, x, x, \dots)$  is an embedding, and  $g(A) = \Delta$

Since  $\Delta$  is a closed subset of a complete metric space, it is complete for the metric  $d$ . Hence  $g$  induces a complete metric on  $A$ .

Remark

The converse ( $A \subset M$  completely metrizable  $\Rightarrow A$  is a  $G_\delta$  in  $M$ ) is harder to prove.

Example (i)  $\mathbb{Q} \subset \mathbb{R}$  is not completely metrizable (since  $\mathbb{Q}$  is not a  $G_\delta$ )

(ii)  $\mathbb{I} = \mathbb{R} \setminus \mathbb{Q}$  is completely metrizable (since  $\mathbb{I}$  is a  $G_\delta$ ).

⊕ Also follows from the fact  $\mathbb{Q}$  is countable w/o isolated pts and problem 3.9

Theorem  $X$  metric,  $Y$  complete metric,  $A \subset X$  arbitrary

$f: A \rightarrow Y$  cont.

Let  $G = \{x \in \bar{A} \mid \lim_{a \rightarrow x} f(a) \text{ exists}\}$

Then  
Ex 4 (i)  $f$  extends continuously to  $G$  (exercise)

(ii)  $G$  is a  $G_\delta$  set in  $X$

Proof of (ii)

Let  $A_n = \{x \in \bar{A} \mid \exists U_x \text{ nbd of } x; \text{diam}(f(A \cap U_x)) < \frac{1}{n}\}$ .

Then  $G = \bigcap_{n \geq 1} A_n$ .

Each  $A_n$  is open in  $\bar{A}$ : if  $x \in A_n$ , then any  $y \in \bar{A} \cap U_x$  is also in  $A_n$ . Thus  $A_n = \bar{A} \cap U_n$  for some  $U_n$  open in  $X$ .

So  $G = \bigcap_{n \geq 1} A_n = \bar{A} \cap \bigcap_{n \geq 1} U_n$ , and  $\bar{A}$  is a  $G_\delta$ .

Corollary

$X$  complete,  $A \subset X$  completely metrizable. Then  $A$  is a  $G_\delta$  set.

Proof Apply the theorem to  $\text{id}: (A, d) \rightarrow (A, d_A)$ ,

where  $d_A$  is a complete metric on  $A$ . We have a cont. extension to:

$G = \{x \in \bar{A} \mid \forall n \geq 1 \exists U_x \text{ nbd of } x \text{ in } X; \text{diam}_{d_A}(A \cap U_x) \leq \frac{1}{n}\}$

$G$  is a  $G_\delta$ . Claim:  $G = A$ .

Let  
~~show~~  $x \in \bar{A}$

(7)

Proof: We may find  $r_n \searrow 0_+$  so that

$$\text{diam}_{d_A} (A \cap B_d(x, r_n)) \leq \frac{1}{n}.$$

and a sequence  $x_n \in B_d(x, r_n) \cap A$  w/  $d_A(x_n, x_m) \leq \frac{1}{n}$

(since  $x \in \bar{A}$ ), if  $m \geq n$ .

Thus  $x_n \xrightarrow{d} x$ ; and  $(x_n)$  is  $d_A$ -Cauchy, so

$x_n \xrightarrow{d_A} a$ , for some  $a \in A$ .

Since  $d, d_A$  define the same Hausdorff topology on  $A$ ,

$d\text{-}\lim x_n = a$ , so  $x = a$  and  $x \in A$ .