## Midterm 1

1) Fill in the [incomplete] truth-table below [read the statements carefully!]:

| $P$ | $Q$ | $R$ | $(P \wedge Q) \rightarrow R$ | $Q \vee \neg R$ | $[\neg((P \wedge Q) \rightarrow R)] \rightarrow(Q \vee \neg R)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| T | T | T | T | T | T |
| T | T | F | F | T | T |
| T | F | T | T | F | T |
| T | F | F | T | T | T |
| F | T | T | T | T | T |
| F | T | F | T | T | T |
| F | F | T | T | F | T |
| F | F | F | T | T | T |

2) Prove or disprove: $(A \cup B) \backslash C=A \cup(B \backslash C)$.

Solution. Here are the Venn diagrams:


So, the sets are different. Let then $A=B=C=\{1\}$. Then, $(A \cup B) \backslash C=\{1\} \backslash\{1\}=\varnothing$, but $A \cup(B \backslash C)=\{1\} \backslash \varnothing=\{1\}$. So, the statement is false.
3) Analyze the logical structure of the following statement: "There are exactly two other people besides Alice who are as smart as she is".
You may assume that the universe set is the set of all people, say $P$, so that you can write, say $\exists x(\ldots)$, instead of $\exists x \in P(\ldots)$, for "there is a person $x$ such that...".

Solution. Let $S(x, y)=$ " $x$ is as smart as $y$ " and let's denote Alice simply by $A$. Then,
$\exists x[\exists y(x \neq A \wedge y \neq A \wedge y \neq x \wedge S(x, A) \wedge S(y, A) \wedge \forall z(S(z, A) \rightarrow(z=x \vee z=y \vee z=A)))]$
4) Rewrite the [nonsensical] statement below as a positive statement [so no negations before quantifiers or parentheses/brackets, but $\notin$ and $\neq$ are allowed]. Here the universe is $\mathbb{R}$ [so $\exists x(\ldots)$ means $\exists x \in \mathbb{R}(\ldots)]$ and $I$ is the interval $(0,1)$.

$$
\neg[\forall x[(x \in I \vee x>10) \leftrightarrow(\exists y(x \cdot y=1))]]
$$

Solution. We have:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\neg & {[\forall x[(x \in I \vee x>10) \leftrightarrow(\exists y(x \cdot y=1))]] } \\
& \sim \exists x \neg[(x \in I \vee x>10) \leftrightarrow(\exists y(x \cdot y=1))] \\
& \sim \exists x[[\neg(x \in I \vee x>10) \wedge(\exists y(x \cdot y=1))] \vee[(x \in I \vee x>10) \wedge \neg(\exists y(x \cdot y=1))]] \\
& \sim \exists x[[(x \notin I \wedge x \leq 10) \wedge(\exists y(x \cdot y=1))] \vee[(x \in I \vee x>10) \wedge(\forall y(x \cdot y \neq 1))]] .
\end{aligned}
$$

5) Let $\mathcal{F}$ be a family of sets and $A$ be a set. Rewrite the statement

$$
\bigcup \mathcal{F} \subseteq \bigcap \mathscr{P}(A)
$$

without using $\subseteq, \nsubseteq, \mathscr{P}, \cup, \cap, \backslash,\{$,$\} or \neg .[$ You may use $\in, \notin,=, \neq, \wedge, \vee, \rightarrow, \forall$ and $\exists$, though.]

## Solution.

$$
\begin{aligned}
\bigcup \mathcal{F} \subseteq \bigcap \mathscr{P}(A) & \sim \forall x \in \bigcup \mathcal{F}(x \in \bigcap \mathscr{P}(A)) \\
& \sim \forall x[(x \in \bigcup \mathcal{F}) \rightarrow(x \in \bigcap \mathscr{P}(A))] \\
& \sim \forall x[(\exists X \in \mathcal{F}(x \in X)) \rightarrow(\forall Y \in \mathscr{P}(A)(x \in Y))] \\
& \sim \forall x[(\exists X \in \mathcal{F}(x \in X)) \rightarrow(\forall Y(Y \in \mathscr{P}(A) \rightarrow x \in Y))] \\
& \sim \forall x[(\exists X \in \mathcal{F}(x \in X)) \rightarrow(\forall Y(Y \subseteq A \rightarrow x \in Y))] \\
& \sim \forall x[(\exists X \in \mathcal{F}(x \in X)) \rightarrow(\forall Y((\forall y \in Y(y \in A)) \rightarrow x \in Y))]
\end{aligned}
$$

6) Let $A$ and $B$ be sets. Prove that $A \backslash(A \backslash B)=A \cap B$.

Proof. Let $x \in A \backslash(A \backslash B)$. Then, $x \in A$ and $x \notin A \backslash B$. The latter means that either $x \notin A$ or $x \in B$. But, we do have that $x \in A$, so we must have $x \in B$, and hence $x \in A \cap B$. Therefore, we have $A \backslash(A \backslash B) \subseteq A \cap B$.
Now let $x \in A \cap B$. Then, we have that $x \in A$ and $x \in B$. In particular, $x \in A$. Also, since $x \in B$, clearly $x \notin A \backslash B$. So, since $x \in A$ and $x \notin A \backslash B$, we get that $x \in A \backslash(A \backslash B)$. Thus, we've proved that $A \backslash(A \backslash B) \supseteq A \cap B$. Since we had already the other inclusion, we get the equality.
7) Let $\mathcal{F}$ and $\mathcal{G}$ be non-empty families of sets. Prove that $\bigcup \mathcal{F}$ and $\bigcup \mathcal{G}$ are disjoint iff for every $A \in \mathcal{F}$ and every $B \in \mathcal{G}$ we have that $A$ and $B$ are disjoint.

Proof. [ $\rightarrow$ ] Suppose that $\bigcup \mathcal{F} \cap \bigcup \mathcal{G}=\varnothing$ and let $A \in \mathcal{F}$ and $B \in \mathcal{G}$. Suppose that there is $x \in A \cap B$. [So, we should derive a contradiction.] Since $x \in A$ and $A \in \mathcal{F}$, we have [by definition of the union of a family of sets] that $x \in \bigcup \mathcal{F}$. Similarly, since $x \in B$ and $B \in \mathcal{G}$, we have that $x \in \bigcup \mathcal{G}$. Thus, $x \in \bigcup \mathcal{F} \cap \bigcup \mathcal{G}$, a contradiction [as $\bigcup \mathcal{F} \cap \bigcup \mathcal{G}=\varnothing$ ].
$[\leftarrow]$ Now assume that for every $A \in \mathcal{F}$ and every $B \in \mathcal{G}$ we have that $A$ and $B$ are disjoint. Suppose that there is $x \in \bigcup \mathcal{F} \cap \bigcup \mathcal{G}$. Thus, $x \in \bigcup \mathcal{F}$ and $x \in \bigcup \mathcal{G}$. The former says that there is $A \in \mathcal{F}$ such that $x \in A$, while the latter says that there is $B \in \mathcal{G}$ such that $x \in B$. But then $x \in A \cap B=\varnothing$ [by assumption], a contradiction.
8) Let $U$ be a non-empty set. Prove that for every $A \in \mathscr{P}(U)$, there is a unique $B \in \mathscr{P}(U)$ such that for every $C \in \mathscr{P}(U)$ we have $C \backslash A=C \cap B$. [Don't let the $\mathscr{P}(U)$ intimidate you. $U$ here is just "the universe", i.e., all sets in here are contained in this $U$.]

Proof. [Existence.] Given $A \subseteq U$, let $B=(U \backslash A)$. Then, given $C \subseteq U$, we have that $C \backslash A=C \cap B$ [needs proof!]: let $x \in C \backslash A$. Then, $x \in C$ and $x \notin A$. Since $C \subseteq U$, we have that $x \in U$. Since $x \notin A$, we have that $x \in U \backslash A=B$. Since also $x \in C$, we get $x \in C \cap B$. Conversely, if $x \in C \cup B=C \cup(U \backslash A)$, then $x \in C$ and $x \in U \backslash A$. The last one tells us that $[x \in U$ and $] x \notin A$. Since $x \in C$ also, we have that $x \in C \backslash A$.
[Uniqueness.] Suppose that $B^{\prime}$ has the same property as $B=U \backslash A$ [for a given $A$ ]. [We need to prove that $B^{\prime}=B$.] Then, taking $C=U$, we have that $U \backslash A=U \cap B^{\prime}=B^{\prime}$ [since $B^{\prime} \subseteq U$.] So, $B=U \backslash A=B^{\prime}$.

