1) [25 points] Compute the remainder of 2^{5353} when divided by 11. [Show work, including computations!]

Solution. We have:

$$5353 = 11 \cdot 486 + 7$$
$$486 = 11 \cdot 44 + 2$$
$$44 = 11 \cdot 4 + 0$$
$$4 = 11 \cdot 0 + 4.$$

So, $5353 = 7 + 2 \cdot 11 + 0 \cdot 11^2 + 4 \cdot 11^3$. Then, by *Fermat's Theorem*:

$$2^{5353} = 2^{7+2 \cdot 11+0 \cdot 11^2+4 \cdot 11^3} \equiv 2^{7+2+0+4} = 2^{13} = 2^{2+1 \cdot 11} \equiv 2^{2+1} = 8 \pmod{11}.$$

So, the remainder is 8.

Alternative Solution. Since if $p \nmid a$, where p is prime, we have that $a^{p-1} \equiv 1 \pmod{p}$, then with p = 11 and a = 2 we get that $2^{10} \equiv 1 \pmod{11}$. Then:

$$2^{5353} = 2^{535 \cdot 10 + 3} = (2^{10})^{535} \cdot 2^3 \equiv 1^{535} \cdot 8 = 8 \pmod{11}.$$

Hence, the remainder is 8.

2) [25 points] Find all integers x such that

$$3x \equiv 7 \pmod{10}$$
$$2x \equiv 4 \pmod{14}.$$

[If there is no such integer, explain how you could tell. You need to show work! Guessing solutions doesn't yield any credit.]

Solution. Start with the second equation. Since gcd(2, 14) = 2, and $2 \mid 4$, we can divide the second equation [including the modulus] by 2 and get

$$x \equiv 2 \pmod{7}.$$

So, x = 7k + 2, for $k \in \mathbb{Z}$.

Substituting in the first, we get $3(7k+2) \equiv 7 \pmod{10}$, so $21k \equiv 1 \pmod{10}$, or $k \equiv 1 \pmod{10}$.

Hence, k = 10l + 1, and so $x = 7 \cdot (10l + 1) + 2 = 70l + 9$, for $l \in \mathbb{Z}$.

Alternative solution: Since $7 \cdot 3 + (-2) \cdot 10 = 1$ [so $7 \cdot 3 \equiv 1 \pmod{10}$], we have that the first equation gives that $x \equiv 7 \cdot 7 = 49 \equiv 9 \pmod{10}$. So, x = 10k + 9, for some $k \in \mathbb{Z}$. [One could also use x = 10k - 1, since $9 \equiv -1 \pmod{10}$.]

Substituting in the second equation, we get: $2 \cdot (10k + 9) \equiv 4 \pmod{14}$, so $20k \equiv -14 \pmod{14}$, so $6k \equiv 0 \pmod{14}$. [With x = 10k - 1, we get $6k \equiv 6 \pmod{14}$.]

Now, gcd(6, 14) = 2 and $2 \mid 0$ [or $2 \mid 6$], so we do have a solution. Dividing through out [including modulus] by 2, we get $3k \equiv 0 \pmod{7}$ [or $3k \equiv 3 \pmod{7}$]. Now $5 \cdot 3 + (-2) \cdot 7 = 1$ [i.e., $5 \cdot 3 \equiv 1 \pmod{7}$], so multiplying by 5, we get $k \equiv 0 \pmod{7}$ [or $k \equiv 15 \equiv 1 \pmod{7}$]. So, k = 7l for $l \in \mathbb{Z}$ [or k = 7l + 1].

Substituting back, we get $x = 10 \cdot 7l + 9 = 70l + 9$, for $l \in \mathbb{Z}$ [or $x = 10 \cdot (7l + 1) - 1 = 70l + 9$ again].

3) [25 points] Prove that there are no integers x, y, z such that $x^2 + y^2 + z^2 = 999$.

[Note: This was a HW problem. You need to show work!]

Proof. Assume that are such integers x, y, and z. We then consider the equation modulo 8:

$$x^2 + y^2 + z^2 \equiv 999 \equiv 7 \pmod{8}$$
.

But all squares modulo 8 are congruent to either 0, 1, or 4 [as seen in the book and class]. If none of x^2 , y^2 , and z^2 is 4 modulo 8, then the sum is at most 3 and so cannot be 7 modulo 8.

So, assume, without loss of generality, the $z^2 \equiv 4 \pmod{8}$. Then, we have:

$$x^2 + y^2 \equiv 3 \pmod{8}.$$

Again, if neither x^2 nor y^2 is 4 modulo 8, the sum is less than or equal to 2, so, again, one of them must be 4.

Assume then, without loss of generality, that $y^2 \equiv 4 \pmod{8}$. Then,

$$x^2 \equiv -1 \equiv 7 \pmod{8},$$

but that is impossible, as observed above.

4) [25 points] Prove that if $a, b \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 2}$ are such that both gcd(a, b) and lcm(a, b) are squares, then both a and b must also be squares.

[**Hint:** In your HW you've proved that if $c \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 2}$ and its factorization into primes is $c = p_1^{g_1} \cdots p_k^{g_k}$, then c is a square if and only if all g_i 's are *even*. You can use this here without proving it.]

Proof. Let $a = p_1^{e_1} \cdots p_k^{e_k}$, $b = p_1^{f_1} \cdots p_k^{f_k}$, with p_i 's distinct primes and $e_i, f_i \ge 0$.

Then, $gcd(a, b) = p_1^{m_1} \cdots p_k^{m_k}$ and $lcm(a, b) = p_1^{M_1} \cdots p_k^{M_k}$ where $m_i = \min\{e_i, f_i\}$ and $M_i = \max\{e_i, f_i\}$. Since both gcd(a, b) and lcm(a, b) are squares, we have that m_i and M_i are both even.

Now, if $e_i \leq f_i$, then $e_i = m_i$ and $f_i = M_i$, and so both e_i and f_i are even.

If $e_i > f_i$, then $e_i = M_i$ and $f_i = m_i$, and so both e_i and f_i are, again, even.

Thus, we always have that both e_i and f_i are even [for all i]. Thus, both a and b are squares.