

MIDTERM 1 SOLUTIONS

1) [20 points] Let R be a PID and I be an ideal of R . Prove that every ideal of R/I is principal. [In particular, if I is a prime ideal, then R/I is also a PID.]

Proof. Let \bar{J} be an ideal of R/I . By correspondence, we have that $\bar{J} = J/I = \{a + I : a \in J\}$, for some ideal J of R . Since R is a PID, there exists $b \in R$ such that $J = (b)$.

So,

$$\bar{J} = \{a + I : a \in (b)\} = \{br + I : r \in R\} = \{(b + I)(r + I) : r + I \in R/I\} = (b + I).$$

So, \bar{J} is principal, and since it was arbitrary, R/I is a PID. □

2) [20 points] Let R be a commutative ring with 1 with no non-zero nilpotent element. [So, in R , if $a^n = 0$ for some $n \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$, then $a = 0$]. Prove that if $f \in R[x]$ is a zero divisor in $R[x]$, then there exists $b \in R \setminus \{0\}$ such that $b \cdot f = 0$. [Note I said “ $b \in R \setminus \{0\}$ ”, not “ $b \in R[x] \setminus \{0\}$ ”.]

Proof. Let $f = \sum_{i=0}^m a_i x^i \in R[x]$ be a nilpotent in $R[x]$. Thus, there exists $g = \sum_{i=0}^n b_i x^i \in R[x]$ such that $f \cdot g = 0$. Let m_0 and n_0 be the least indices such that $a_{m_0}, b_{n_0} \neq 0$. Without loss of generality, we may assume $m_0 = n_0 = 0$. [As if $(x^{m_0} f_1)(x^{n_0} g_1) = 0$, then $f_1 \cdot g_1 = 0$.]

Let $b = b_0^{m+1}$ [where $m = \deg f$]. Since $b_0 \neq 0$, we have that $b \neq 0$ by assumption. We prove, by induction on i , that $b_0^{i+1} \cdot a_i = 0$ [and hence $b \cdot a_i = b_0^{m-i} b_0^{i+1} \cdot a_i = 0$].

For $i = 0$, the result follows from the fact that the constant term of $f \cdot g$, namely $a_0 \cdot b_0$, must be zero.

Now, assume $a_j \cdot b_0^{j+1} = 0$ for all $j \in \{0, \dots, (i-1)\}$. Thus, we also have $b_0^i a_j = 0$ for all $j \in \{0, \dots, (i-1)\}$.

Now, look at the term of degree i in $f \cdot g$. Since this product is zero we have that

$$\sum_{j=0}^i a_j \cdot b_{i-j} = a_i \cdot b_0 + \sum_{j=0}^{i-1} a_j \cdot b_{i-j} = 0.$$

[Here, as usual, we have $a_j = 0$ if $j > m$ and $b_j = 0$ if $j > n$.] Multiplying by b_0^i , we get

$$a_i \cdot b_0^{i+1} + \sum_{j=0}^{i-1} a_j \cdot b_0^i \cdot b_{i-j} = 0.$$

Since $a_j \cdot b_0^i = 0$, we get that $a_i \cdot b_0^{i+1} = 0$, finishing the proof. □

3) [20 points] Prove that the quotient of a UFD by a prime ideal might not be a UFD. [Hint: We don't know many non-UFDs, so take a look at those!]

Proof. As we have seen, $\mathbb{Z}[\sqrt{-5}]$ is a domain, but not a UFD [as $6 = 2 \cdot 3 = (1 + \sqrt{-5})(1 - \sqrt{-5})$, and all 2, 3, $1 \pm \sqrt{-5}$ are irreducible].

Now, the minimal polynomial of $\sqrt{-5}$ is $x^2 + 5$ and so $\mathbb{Z}[\sqrt{-5}] \cong \mathbb{Z}[x]/(x^2 + 5)$. Since $\mathbb{Z}[x]$ is a UFD [since \mathbb{Z} is and if R is a UFD, then so is $R[x]$], this gives us our example. \square

4) [20 points] Let F , K_1 , K_2 and L be fields with $F \subseteq K_i \subseteq L$ for $i = 1, 2$.

- (a) Prove that the intersection of all subfields of L containing both K_1 and K_2 is a field. [This field is called the *compositum of K_1 and K_2* and it is denoted by $K_1 \cdot K_2$ or $K_1 K_2$. It is clearly the minimal common extension of K_1 and K_2 .]

Proof. Let $K_1 K_2$ be this intersection and $\alpha, \beta \in K_1 K_2$. Then, for *any* subfield E of L containing the K_i , we have that $\alpha, \beta \in E$. Since E is a field, we have that $\alpha \pm \beta$, $\alpha \cdot \beta$ and α/β , if $\beta \neq 0$, are all in E . So, they are also in $K_1 K_2$. \square

- (b) Prove that $K_1 \cdot K_2$ is the set of all $f(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_k)$, with $f \in F(x_1, \dots, x_k)$, for some $k \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$, defined at $(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_k)$ [i.e., the denominator of the rational function $f(x_1, \dots, x_k)$ does not vanish at $(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_k)$] and $\alpha_i \in K_1 \cup K_2$ for all i .

Proof. It's easy to see that the set described above, call it K' , is a field containing both K_i 's. So, $K_1 K_2 \subseteq K'$. But also, any field containing both K_i 's [and so also F] contains K' . Hence, they are equal. \square

- (c) Prove that if K_1 and K_2 are both algebraic over F , then $K_1 \cdot K_2$ [as above] is also algebraic over F .

Proof. Let $\alpha \in K_1 K_2$. By (b) we have that

$$\alpha = \frac{f(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_r)}{g(\beta_1, \dots, \beta_s)}, \quad f \in F[x_1, \dots, x_r], \quad g \in F[x_1, \dots, x_s], \quad \alpha_i, \beta_j \in K_1 \cup K_2.$$

Then, $\alpha \in E \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} F[\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_r, \beta_1, \dots, \beta_s]$. Since each α_i and β_j are either in K_1 or K_2 [both algebraic extensions of F], we have that each α_i and β_j is algebraic, and hence $F[\alpha_i]/F$ and $F[\beta_j]/F$ are finite extensions. So, $E/F = F[\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_r, \beta_1, \dots, \beta_s]/F$ is finite [of degree less than or equal to the product of $[F[\alpha_i] : F]$ and $[F[\beta_j] : F]$ for all i and j .] Since $\alpha \in E$, this means that α is algebraic over F .

Since α was arbitrary, $K_1 K_2/F$ is algebraic. \square

5) [20 points] Let p be a prime, $q = p^r$ for some $r \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$, and \mathbb{F}_q be the finite field with q elements [in some fixed algebraic closure of \mathbb{F}_p]. Prove that if $\sigma \in \text{Aut}(\mathbb{F}_q)$, then there exists some $t \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$ such that $\sigma(\alpha) = \alpha^t$ for all $\alpha \in \mathbb{F}_q$ and $\gcd(t, q - 1) = 1$. [It is true, in fact, that t must be a power of p , but you don't need to show that.]

Proof. Remember that since \mathbb{F}_q is a finite field, we have that $\mathbb{F}_q^\times = \langle \alpha \rangle$ [for some $\alpha \in \mathbb{F}_q$]. Since $\alpha \neq 0$, we have that $\sigma(\alpha) \neq 0$, i.e., $\sigma(\alpha) \in \mathbb{F}_q^\times = \langle \alpha \rangle$. Thus, $\sigma(\alpha) = \alpha^t$ for some $t \in \{1, 2, \dots, (q - 1)\}$. Since σ is onto and only 0 is sent to 0 by σ , we have that σ induces an automorphism for the *group* \mathbb{F}_q^\times . Since it has to be onto, we must have that $\sigma(\alpha) = \alpha^t$ must be another generator of \mathbb{F}_q^\times , and hence $\gcd(t, q - 1) = 1$ [from group theory]. \square