

# Midterm (In Class) - Solutions

## M552 – Abstract Algebra

### 1. Modules:

- (a) [10 points] Give an example of an *injective* homomorphism of [left]  $R$ -modules  $\phi : N \rightarrow M$  such that  $1 \otimes \phi : L \otimes_R N \rightarrow L \otimes_R M$  is *not* an injection for some [right]  $R$ -module  $L$ . [You do *not* have to repeat computations of tensor products that were done in class, in HW, or in Dummit and Foote.]

*Proof.* [This was done in class.] Let  $R = \mathbb{Z}$ ,  $N = \mathbb{Z}$ ,  $M = \mathbb{Q}$ , and  $\phi$  be the natural inclusion. Now, take  $L = \mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}$ . Then,  $\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Z} \cong \mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}$ , and  $\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Q} = 0$ , so  $1 \otimes \phi$  is not an inclusion. [So,  $\mathbb{Q}$  is not *flat*.]

□

- (b) [20 points] Let  $R$  be a commutative ring with 1. Show that if every  $R$  module is free, then  $R$  is a PID.

*Proof.* Let  $I$  be a non-zero ideal of  $R$  [not necessarily principal]. Then  $I$  is an  $R$ -submodule of  $R$ , and hence it's free. So, suppose that  $e_1$  and  $e_2$  are two distinct elements of a basis of  $I$ , i.e., that the rank of  $I$  is greater than one. Then, clearly  $e_1, e_2 \neq 0$ , while  $e_2e_1 - e_1e_2 = 0$  [since  $R$  is commutative], which is a contradiction, since  $\{e_1, e_2\}$  should be linearly independent.

Thus,  $I$  has rank one, if  $\{a\}$  is a basis, clearly  $I = Ra = (a)$ , and  $I$  is principal.

Now, we just need to show that  $R$  is an integral domain. So, let  $I = (a)$  be an ideal, with  $a \neq 0$ . By the above,  $ra \mapsto r$  is an isomorphism of  $R$ -modules. If there exists  $b \in R - \{0\}$  such that  $ba = 0$ , then, then, on one hand  $ba \mapsto b \neq 0$ , while on the other other we get  $ba = 0 \mapsto 0$ , giving us a contradiction. So,  $R$  is a domain [since it's already commutative with 1].

**Note:** In fact,  $R$  must be a field! Let  $I \neq R$  be an ideal and  $M = R/I$ . Then,  $R/I$  is free, which means that the annihilator of  $R/I$  is zero. [Just zero annihilates a basis element.] But  $I$  annihilates  $R/I$ , so we have  $I = 0$ . So, the ideals of  $R$  are  $I$  and  $(0)$ , and so [since  $R$  is commutative with 1],  $R$  must be a field [and hence a PID].

A better problem would have been to prove that if every *submodule of a free  $R$ -module* is free, then  $R$  is a PID. The proof above shows this, but I thought I would give a slightly easier problem.

Note that, together, these give the converse for two statements:

- If  $R$  is a field, then every  $R$ -module is free.
- If  $R$  is a PID, then every submodule of a free  $R$ -module is free.

□

## 2. Linear algebra:

- (a) [10 points] Let  $B \in M_n(\mathbb{C})$  [ $n \times n$  matrices with entries in  $\mathbb{C}$ ] be a *block diagonal* matrix. Prove that  $B$  is diagonalizable if, and only if, each block is. [You can use the algebra of block matrices without proof.]

*Proof.* Let  $B_i$  be a block of  $B$  and  $P_i$  an invertible matrix such that  $P_i^{-1}B_iP_i$  is in Jordan canonical form, say  $J_i$ . Then, the inverse of the block diagonal matrix  $P$  with  $P_i$  as blocks is a block diagonal matrix with  $P_i^{-1}$  as blocks. Then,  $P^{-1}BP$  is a block diagonal matrix with  $J_i$  as blocks. This is a Jordan canonical form of the matrix  $B$  [since each  $J_i$  is made of Jordan blocks, and so  $B$  is made of Jordan blocks].

Then, clearly  $B$  is diagonalizable if, and only if, each  $J_i$  is diagonal, i.e., each block is diagonalizable.

**Note:** One could also prove that  $m_B = \text{lcm}(m_{B_1}, \dots, m_{B_n})$ , again using simple block diagonal matrix algebra. This would say that  $m_B$  has only simple roots if, and only if, each  $m_{B_i}$  does. □

- (b) [20 points] Let  $A, B \in M_n(\mathbb{C})$  be two *diagonalizable* matrices. Prove that there is [a *single*]  $P \in \text{GL}_n(\mathbb{C})$  [i.e., an invertible matrix] such that *both*  $P^{-1}AP$  and  $P^{-1}BP$  are diagonal [so they are *simultaneously diagonalizable*] if, and only if,  $AB = BA$ . [**Hint:** Look at eigenspaces.]

*Proof.* Suppose  $P^{-1}AP$  and  $P^{-1}BP$  are both diagonal. Then, they commute, giving us  $P^{-1}ABP = P^{-1}APP^{-1}BP = P^{-1}BPP^{-1}AP = P^{-1}BAP$ . Since  $P$  is invertible, this gives us  $AB = BA$ .

Assume now  $AB = BA$ . Let  $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$  be an eigenvalue of  $A$  and  $V_{A,\lambda}$  its corresponding eigenspace. Then, if  $v \in V_{A,\lambda}$ , we have  $AB(v) = BA(v) = B(\lambda v) = \lambda(Bv)$ . So,  $B(v) \in V_{A,\lambda}$ . Hence,  $V_{A,\lambda}$  is invariant under  $B$ . Hence, the matrix associated to  $B$  with respect to the basis of eigenvectors of  $A$  [which exists since  $A$  is diagonalizable] is a block matrix, each block corresponding to an eigenspace [or eigenvalue].

By part (a), since  $B$  is diagonalizable, each block is. So, there is change of basis of  $V_{A,\lambda}$  such that the corresponding block is diagonal, i.e., the new basis is formed of eigenvalues of  $B$ . Note that this new basis is also formed of eigenvectors of  $A$ , since it is a basis for an eigenspace of  $A$ .

Therefore, this new basis is made of eigenvalues of  $A$  and  $B$  *simultaneously*, and so the transition matrix from the canonical basis to this new one diagonalizes both matrices at the same time. □