1) [25 points] Use the *Extended Euclidean Algorithm* to write the GCD of 69 and 48 as a linear combination of themselves. *Show work!*[Hint: You should get 3 for the GCD!]

Solution. We have:

$$69 = 48 \cdot 1 + 21$$

$$48 = 2 \cdot 21 + 6$$

$$21 = 6 \cdot 3 + 3$$

$$6 = 3 \cdot 2 + 0.$$

So, gcd(186, 69) = 3. Now:

$$3 = 1 \cdot 21 + (-3) \cdot 6$$

= 1 \cdot 21 + (-3) \cdot [48 + (-2) \cdot 21]
= 7 \cdot 21 + (-3) \cdot 48
= 7 \cdot [69 + (-1) \cdot 48] + (-3) \cdot 48
= 7 \cdot 69 + (-10) \cdot 48/

i.e.,

$$3 = 7 \cdot 69 + (-10) \cdot 48.$$

2) [15 points] Express 194 in base 3. Show work!

Solution. We have:

$$194 = 3 \cdot 64 + 2$$

$$64 = 3 \cdot 21 + 1$$

$$21 = 3 \cdot 7 + 0$$

$$7 = 3 \cdot 2 + 1$$

$$2 = 3 \cdot 0 + 2.$$

So, $194 = 2 + 1 \cdot 3 + 0 \cdot 3^2 + 1 \cdot 3^3 + 2 \cdot 3^4 = (21012)_3$.

3) [30 points] Let $r, r', m \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0\}$. Prove that if (r, m) = (r', m) = 1, then (rr', m) = 1. [Note: This was a HW problem.]

Proof. Suppose that p is a prime such that p divides both rr' and m. [This would mean that $p \mid (rr', m)$, and hence we need to get a contradiction.] Since p is prime, *Euclid's Lemma* tells us that either $p \mid r$ or $p \mid r'$. But that means that p is a common divisor of either r and m [as $p \mid m$ by assumption] or r' and m. But both are impossible as the respective GCDs are 1. Therefore, there is no prime common divisor of rr' and m. Thus (rr', m) = 1 [as if it was not one, this GCD would have a prime factor which would also be a common divisor.]

4) [30 points] Let $a, b, c \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0\}$. Prove that if $a \mid bc$ and d = (a, b), then $a \mid dc$. [**Hint:** I shouldn't have to say this, but use *Bezout's Theorem*.]

Proof. By Bezout's Theorem, there are $r, s \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that:

$$d = ra + sb.$$

Also, since $a \mid bc$, there is $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that bc = ak. Then:

$$dc = c(ra + sb) = (cr)a + s(bc) = (cr)a + s(ak) = (cr + sk)a.$$

Since $cr + sk \in \mathbb{Z}$, we have that $a \mid dc$.