Extra Credit Problem

(Due in class on Monday 10/30.)

Math 455

Problem from this years "UT Math Contest" (Fermat II) for high school students.

Problem: Let $a, b, c \in \{1, 2, ..., 2005\}$ and

$$f(X) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} aX^{101} + bX^{100} + c.$$

Prove that if f(2006) is prime, then f(X) has no integral root, i.e., there is no $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that

$$a n^{101} + b n^{100} + c = 0.$$

Proof. Suppose that $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ is a root. Then

$$c = -a n^{101} - b n^{100} = -n^{100}(an+b).$$

Since, c > 0, we must have an + b < 0, and since a, b > 0, we must have n < 0.

If $n \leq -2$, then

$$c \ge -(-2)^{100}(-2a+b) = 2^{100}(b-2a) \ge 2^{100} > 2005$$

[Remember that -2a + b < 0.] But this cannot happen [since $c \le 2005$].

So, [since n < 0 and $n \ge -2$] we must have n = -1, and thus c = a - b. Then,

$$f(2006) = a \, 2006^{101} + b \, 2006^{100} + (a - b) = a \, (2006^{101} + 1) + b \, (2006^{100} - 1)$$

is a prime. On the other hand $2006 \equiv -1 \pmod{3}$ [since 2007 = 2006 + 1 is divisible by 3.] So,

$$f(2006) \equiv a (2006^{101} + 1) + b (2006^{100} - 1)$$
$$\equiv a ((-1)^{101} + 1) + b ((-1)^{100} - 1)$$
$$\equiv a(-1+1) + b(1-1)$$
$$\equiv 0 \pmod{3}.$$

Thus, 3 divides the prime f(2006), and so this prime should be 3. But,

$$f(2006) = a \, 2006^{101} + b \, 2006^{100} + c > 2006^{101} + 2006^{100} + 1$$

[since a, b, c > 0], and so it cannot be 3.

Therfore, if f(2006) is prime, then f(X) has no integral root.

| | _ | _ | _ | |
|-----|---|---|---|---|
| 1 | | | | I |
| 1 | | | | I |
| - 1 | | | | I |